Board Meeting LGCB 3-19-15, (Pages 1:1 to 87:24)

1:1	LOUISIANA GAMING LOUISIANA CONTROL BOARD
2	
3	
4	BOARD OF DIRECTORS' MEETING
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	THURSDAY, MARCH 19, 2015
10	
11	Louisiana State Capitol
12	House Committee Room 1
13	Baton Rouge, Louisiana
14	
15	
16	
17	TIME: 10:00 A.M.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	2
1	APPEARANCES
2	

RONNIE JONES 3 Chairman (At Large) Third Congressional District 4 June 30, 2019 5 6 FRANKLIN AYRES BRADFORD (Economic Planner) 7 Fifth Congressional District June 30, 2019 8 9 MARK STIPE (Attorney) 10 Seventh Congressional District June 30, 2014 11 12 DENISE NOONAN (At Large) 13 First Congressional District June 30, 2015 14 15 MAJOR CLAUDE MERCER (Law Enforcement) 16 Fifth Congressional District June 30, 2018 17 18 CLAUDE D. JACKSON (At Large)

19 Fourth Congressional District

June 30, 2018

20 21 ROBERT W. GASTON, III (At Large) 22 Sixth Congressional District June 30, 2015 23 24 LANA TRAMONTE **Principal Assistant** 25 3 APPEARANCES CONTINUED 1 2 3 TRUDY M. SMITH **Confidential Assistant** 5 MAJOR MIKE NOEL Ex-Officio Board Member 6 Louisiana State Police 7 8 JARROD CONIGLIO **Assistant Secretary** 9 Department of Revenue 10 11 REPORTED BY: 12 SHELLEY G. PAROLA, CSR, RPR **Baton Rouge Court Reporters** 13 14

```
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
1
                           PAGE
2 I.
                                    7
        CALL TO ORDER
3 II.
        PUBLIC COMMENTS
                                       8
                                        12
4 III.
       APPROVAL OF MINUTES
5 IV.
        REVENUE REPORTS
6 V.
        VIDEO POKER ISSUES
7
        A. Consideration of the following
        truckstops:
8
9
        1. Three Little Ones, LLC, d/b/a
10
          Relay Station Frierson - No.
          1600514830 (transfer of interest) 18
11
12
        2. GFY Enterprises, LLC, d/b/a Relay
          Station Greenwood-No. 0900515347
13
          (transfer of interest)
14
                                    18
15
        3. Little Big Horn, LLC, d/b/a Relay
16
          Station Port - No. 0900515517
17
          (transfer of interest)
                                    18
```

- 4. Three Little Ones No. 2, LLC,
- 19 d/b/a Outpost Greenwood No.
- 20 0906516819 (transfer of interest) 18
- 21 5. Outpost Travel Centers, LLC,
- 22 d/b/a Outpost Plain Dealing No.
- 23 0802515610 (transfer of interest) 18
- 24 6. Outpost Travel Centers, LLC,
- 25 d/b/a Outpost Minden -

- 1 PAGE
- 2 No. 6000515644 (transfer of
- 3 interest)
- 4 7. Outpost Travel Centers, LLC,
- 5 d/b/a Outpost Lake Providence -

- 6 No. 1800515763 (transfer of
- 7 interest) 18
- 8 VI. CASINO GAMING ISSUES
- 9 A. Consideration of Certificate of
- 10 Compliance for the Alternate
- 11 Riverboat Inspection of the gaming
- 12 vessel of Golden Nugget Lake Charles,
- 13 LLC No. R016502995 24
- 14 B. Consideration of renewal application
- 15 for pari-mutuel live racing facility
- 16 license of Churchill Downs Louisiana
- 17 Horse Racing Company, LLC, d/b/a Fair
- 18 Grounds Race Course No. T013602541 27
- 19 VII. CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS/
- 20 APPEALS IN THE FOLLOWING:

21	1. In Re: I-220 Travel Plaza,	LLC,	
22	d/b/a Goldmine Casino - I	No.	
23	0801512159 (proposed se	ettlement)	52
24	2. In Re: Melvin J. Enmon -	No.	
25	PO40023346 (proposed se	ettlement)	55
	6		
1	PAGE		
2	3. In Re: Riverbend Trucksto	ps & Palace	
3	Casino, Inc., d/b/a Terrebo	nne	
4	Truckstop & Casino - No. 5	500515516	
5	(proposed settlement)	57	
6	4. In Re: Ashley Johnson -		
7	No. PO40046601 (appeal)	59	
8	5. In Re: Kyria Davis - No. Po	040062476	
9	(appeal) 6	51	
9 10	(appeal) 6. In Re: Dennis L. Brown -	51	
	,		
10	6. In Re: Dennis L. Brown -		
10 11	6. In Re: Dennis L. Brown - No. PO40061570 (appeal)	66	
10 11 12	6. In Re: Dennis L. Brown - No. PO40061570 (appeal) 7. In Re: Shelia R. Mosby -	66	
10 11 12 13	6. In Re: Dennis L. Brown -No. PO40061570 (appeal)7. In Re: Shelia R. Mosby -No. PO40060186 (appeal)	66 74	
10 11 12 13 14	6. In Re: Dennis L. Brown - No. PO40061570 (appeal) 7. In Re: Shelia R. Mosby - No. PO40060186 (appeal) 8. In Re: Gene A. Pyron -	66 74	
10 11 12 13 14 15	 6. In Re: Dennis L. Brown - No. PO40061570 (appeal) 7. In Re: Shelia R. Mosby - No. PO40060186 (appeal) 8. In Re: Gene A. Pyron - No. PO40056749 (appeal) 	66 74 82	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 6. In Re: Dennis L. Brown - No. PO40061570 (appeal) 7. In Re: Shelia R. Mosby - No. PO40060186 (appeal) 8. In Re: Gene A. Pyron - No. PO40056749 (appeal) 	66 74 82	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 6. In Re: Dennis L. Brown - No. PO40061570 (appeal) 7. In Re: Shelia R. Mosby - No. PO40060186 (appeal) 8. In Re: Gene A. Pyron - No. PO40056749 (appeal) 	66 74 82	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 6. In Re: Dennis L. Brown - No. PO40061570 (appeal) 7. In Re: Shelia R. Mosby - No. PO40060186 (appeal) 8. In Re: Gene A. Pyron - No. PO40056749 (appeal) 	66 74 82	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 6. In Re: Dennis L. Brown - No. PO40061570 (appeal) 7. In Re: Shelia R. Mosby - No. PO40060186 (appeal) 8. In Re: Gene A. Pyron - No. PO40056749 (appeal) 	66 74 82	

7

		_	 		_		_
1	1	(Δ	 11) ()	R)⊢	ĸ

- 2 CHAIRMAN JONES: Good morning and
- 3 welcome to the regular monthly meeting,
- 4 the March meeting of Louisiana Gaming
- 5 Control Board.
- 6 Miss Tramonte, would you call the
- 7 roll
- 8 THE CLERK: Chairman Jones?
- 9 CHAIRMAN JONES: Here.
- 10 THE CLERK: Mr. Bradford?
- 11 MR. BRADFORD: Here.
- 12 THE CLERK: Mr. Stipe?
- 13 MR. STIPE: Here.
- 14 THE CLERK: Mr. Singleton? [No
- response.] Miss Noonan?
- 16 MS. NOONAN: Here.
- 17 THE CLERK: Major Mercer?
- 18 MAJOR MERCER: Here.
- 19 THE CLERK: Mr. Jackson?
- 20 MR. JACKSON: Here.
- 21 THE CLERK: Mr. Gaston? [No
- response.] Colonel Edmonson?
- 23 MAJOR NOEL: Major Noel for Colonel
- 24 Edmonson.
- 25 THE CLERK: Secretary Barfield.

1 MR. CONIGLIO: Jarrod Coniglio here 2 for Secretary Barfield. 3 II. PUBLIC COMMENTS 4 CHAIRMAN JONES: We have a quorum. 5 We may conduct business. Anyone wish to 6 address the Board for any matter coming 7 before the Board today? It's an 8 opportunity for public comment. 9 III. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: Do I have a motion 11 to waive reading and approve the 12 minutes? 13 MAJOR MERCER: I'll move. 14 CHAIRMAN JONES: By Mr. Mercer --15 MS. NOONAN: I'll second. 16 CHAIRMAN JONES: -- and by Miss 17 Noonan. All in favor? [Collective 18 "aye."] Opposed? [No response.] 19 Motion carries. 20 Before we get to the revenue 21 reports, just a couple of quick 22 announcements. First of all, I 23 understand there's a wreck somewhere on 24 the Interstate 10, so Mr. Singleton and 25 others may be a little bit late getting 9 1 here. I'm not sure if Churchill Downs' 2 representatives have made it yet or not.

You here? You made it. You got around

4	it. Well, Mr. Singleton should be here
5	then. Thank you.
6	As you know, the Legislature is
7	coming into session soon, so we're going
8	to have to juggle rooms a little bit.
9	We're pleased that we've locked down,
10	with the help of our good friends, the
11	LaSalle Building. For the next two
12	months, we'll be meeting on April the
13	13th, which is on a Monday, and May the
14	18th, which is also on a Monday. And if
15	you-guys are creatures of habit like I
16	am, it's going to be hard to remember
17	that it's Mondays not Thursdays, but
18	that's simply just a function of having
19	to move because the Legislature is in
20	session. But we'll be at 617 North
21	Third Street, and we appreciate the help
22	that we have been given from Revenue on
23	this.
24	Also, I want to let you know that
25	after years, we successfully moved the
	10
1	hearing office. They've been on Florida
2	Boulevard for some time, and they've
3	been in a leased building that was less
4	than optimum for a number of reason.
5	And Cliff and I when I first came

onboard, my predecessor, Dale Hall, had

7	been working diligently to try and find
8	them an alternate location, and he just
9	hadn't been able to do it; and it's
10	taken me this long.
11	But with the Undersecretary's Office
12	at Public Safety and Cliff, we've been
13	able to find them some space in the
14	Iberville Building. We have a hearing
15	room that we'll be sharing with other
16	tenants over there. I still haven't
17	been able to wrap my head around the
18	fact that I have to pay the State rent,
19	but nevertheless, we'll do so. We do
20	have a new home. We had to make some
21	renovations and pay for them to move in;
22	but we do have a new home, and we're
23	glad for that.
24	Last year the Legislature passed
25	Act it was Act 130 of the last
	11
1	regular legislative session which
2	required the Gaming Control Board to
3	prepare an annual report to the
4	Legislature on Gaming Technology, and
5	the State Police and the Attorney
6	General's Office worked tirelessly to
7	produce this report. Copies have been
8	provided to all the Board Members. We
9	are working to have this posted on the

10	Gaming Board's website, and if
11	necessary, we'll be happy to appear
12	before any legislative committee to
13	discuss the findings. I just wanted to
14	alert you to the fact that it's going to
15	be posted and available for you very
16	soon out there.
17	And finally, I want to congratulate
18	my Vice-Chair and my good friend, Ayres,
19	who I understand was, what, made
20	Chairman, North Louisiana Economic
21	Partnership, and representative of the
22	board up there. What was that,
23	yesterday?
24	MR. BRADFORD: [Nods head.]
25	CHAIRMAN JONES: Congratulations.
23	CHAINWAN JOINES. Congratulations.

- 1 We're proud of you. Thank you for
- 2 carrying our flag.
- 3 IV. REVENUE REPORTS
- 4 CHAIRMAN JONES: At this point,
- 5 we'll call for Revenue Reports. Good
- 6 morning.
- 7 MS. JACKSON: Good morning, Chairman
- 8 Jones --
- 9 CHAIRMAN JONES: Good morning.
- 10 MS. JACKSON: -- and Board Members.
- 11 My name is Donna Jackson with the
- 12 Louisiana State Police Gaming

- 13 **Enforcement Division.** 14 The riverboat revenue report for February 2015 is shown on page one of 15 16 your handout. 17 During February, the 15 operating 18 riverboats generated Adjusted Gross 19 Receipts of \$164,451,685, up 20 \$2.6 million or 1.6 percent from last 21 month, and up almost \$22 million or 22 15 percent from last February. Adjusted 23 Gross Receipts for fiscal year 2014-2015 24 to date are \$1,212,000,000, an increase 25 of almost \$83 million or 7 percent from 13 1 fiscal year 2013-2014. 2 During February, the State collected 3 fees totaling \$35 million. As of 4 February 28th, 2015, the State has 5 collected over \$260 million in fees for 6 fiscal year 2014-2015.
- 7 Next is a summary of the 8 February 2015 gaming activity for 9 Harrah's New Orleans found on page 10 three. During February, Harrah's 11 generated \$26,393,892 in gross gaming 12 revenue, a slight increase of .7 percent 13 from last month, but a decrease of 14 \$6 million or 19 percent from last 15 February. Fiscal year-to-date gaming

16	revenues for 2014-2015 are \$217 million,
17	a decrease of \$5 million or 2 percent
18	from fiscal year 2013-2014.
19	During February, the State received
20	\$4.6 million in minimum daily payments.
21	As of February 28, 2015, the State has
22	collected \$40 million in fees for fiscal
23	year 2014-2015.
24	Slots at the Racetrack revenues are
25	shown on page four. During February,
	14
1	the four racetrack facilities combined
2	generated Adjusted Gross Receipts of
3	\$33,261,167, an increase of \$1.5 million
4	or 5 percent from last month, but a
5	decrease of \$1 million or three percent
6	from last February.
7	Adjusted Gross Receipts for fiscal
8	year 2014-2015 to date are \$253 million,
9	a slight increase of .2 percent or
10	\$400,000 from fiscal year 2013-2014.
11	During February, the State collected
12	fees totaling \$5 million. As of
13	February 28, 2015, the State has
14	collected over \$38 million in fees for
15	fiscal year 2014-2015.
16	Overall in February, riverboats,
17	land-based and Slots at the Racetracks
18	combined generated \$224 million in

19	Adjusted Gross Receipts and \$45 million
20	in state fees.
21	Are there any questions before I
22	present the Harrah's employee
23	information?
24	CHAIRMAN JONES: Board Members, any
25	questions? The board's clear.
	15
1	MS. JACKSON: Harrah's New Orleans
2	is required to maintain at least 2,400
3	employees and a bi-weekly payroll of
4	\$1,750,835. This report covers the two
5	pay periods in February 2015.
6	For the first pay period, the
7	Division verified 2,432 employees with a
8	payroll of \$1,913,000. For the second
9	pay period, the Division verified 2,463
10	with a payroll of \$1,926,000.
11	Therefore, Harrah's met the employment
12	criteria during February.
13	Questions?
14	CHAIRMAN JONES: Questions? Thank
15	you.
16	MR. BOSSIER: Good morning, Chairman
17	Jones and Board Members. My name is Jim
18	Bossier with the Louisiana State Police
19	Gaming Audit Section. I'm reporting
20	video gaming for February 2015 as shown
21	on page one of your handout.

22	Twenty new video gaming licenses
23	were issued during February: Fifteen
24	bars, three restaurants, one truckstop
25	and one device owner's. Fourteen new
	16
1	applications were received by the Gaming
2	Enforcement Division during February and
3	are currently pending the field: Five
4	bars, six restaurants, one truckstop and
5	two device owner's.
6	The Gaming Enforcement Division
7	assessed \$2,000 and collected \$3,000 in
8	penalties in February, and there are
9	currently \$1,750 in outstanding fines.
10	Please refer to page two of your
11	handout.
12	There are presently 14,230 video
13	gaming devices activated at 1,920
14	locations. Net device revenue for
15	February 2015 was \$54.6 million, a
16	\$5.7 million or 11.7 percent increase
17	when compared to January 2015, and a
18	\$1.9 million or 3.6 percent increase
19	when compared to February 2014.
20	Net device revenue so far for fiscal
21	year 2015 is \$392.4 million, an \$8.5
22	million or 2.2 percent increase when
23	compared to fiscal year 2014. Page
24	three of your handout shows a comparison

of net device revenue.

17

- 1 Total franchise fees collected for
- 2 February 2015 were \$16.4 million, a
- 3 \$1.8 million increase when compared to
- 4 January 2015, and a \$600,000 increase
- 5 when compared to February 2014.
- 6 Total franchise fees collected for
- 7 fiscal year 2014 are \$117.6 million, a
- 8 \$2.8 million increase or 2.4 percent
- 9 when compared to fiscal year 2014. Page
- four of your handout shows a comparison
- of franchise fees.
- Does anybody have any questions?
- 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: Any questions,
- Board? No, sir.
- 15 MR. BOSSIER: Thank you.
- 16 CHAIRMAN JONES: Thank you very
- 17 much.
- 18 V. VIDEO POKER ISSUES
- 19 A. Consideration of the following truckstops:
- 20 1. Three Little Ones, LLC, d/b/a
- 21 Relay Station Frierson No.
- 22 1600514830 (transfer of interest)
- 23 2. GFY Enterprises, LLC, d/b/a Relay
- 24 Station Greenwood-No. 0900515347
- 25 (transfer of interest)

18

1 3. Little Big Horn, LLC, d/b/a Relay

- 2 Station Port No. 0900515517
- 3 (transfer of interest)
- 4 4. Three Little Ones No. 2, LLC,
- 5 d/b/a Outpost Greenwood No.
- 6 0906516819 (transfer of interest)
- 7 5. Outpost Travel Centers, LLC,
- 8 d/b/a Outpost Plain Dealing No.
- 9 0802515610 (transfer of interest)
- 10 6. Outpost Travel Centers, LLC,
- 11 d/b/a Outpost Minden -
- 12 No. 6000515644 (transfer of
- 13 interest)
- 7. Outpost Travel Centers, LLC,
- 15 d/b/a Outpost Lake Providence -
- 16 No. 1800515763 (transfer of
- 17 interest)
- 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: At this point,
- we'll move to video poker. We have
- 20 consideration of the following transfers
- 21 of interest in truckstops. We'll
- 22 consider items enumerated one through
- seven on your agenda in globo.
- 24 Good morning.
- 25 MS. HIMEL: Good morning, Chairman

- 1 Jones, Members of the Board. I'm A.A.G.
- 2 Dawn Himel. I'll be presenting these
- 3 matters to the Board.
- 4 These are transfers of 100 percent

5	of the membership interest in Three
6	Little Ones, LLC, which holds one Type 5
7	license; 60 percent of the membership in
8	GFY Enterprises, LLC, and Little Big
9	Horn, LLC, which are both issued one
10	Type 5 license each; and 50 percent of
11	the membership in Three Little Ones No.
12	2, LLC, which holds one Type 5 license
13	and Outpost TravelCenters, LLC, which
14	holds three Type 5 licenses.
15	On March 31st, 2014, Robert E. Horn
16	passed away. He was survived by his
17	wife, Mary E. Horn, his son, Robert H.
18	Horn and three grandchildren. At the
19	time of his death, he was the sole
20	member of Three Little Ones, LLC, a
21	60 percent member of GFY Enterprises and
22	Little Big Horn, LLC, and a 50 percent
23	member of Three Little Ones No. 2 and
24	Outpost TravelCenters, LLC.
25	Other than bequests of certain
	20
1	property, he left the residual of his
2	estate, which contains all the
3	membership interest that I just
4	discussed in these licensees, into
5	trusts, and those trusts were for the
6	benefit of his grandchildren, his son
7	and his wife. In his will he created

8	credit shelter trusts for his three
9	grandchildren, of which they are the
10	income and principal beneficiaries of
11	their own respective trusts. His wife
12	is the trustee of those, and his son is
13	the backup trustee.
14	He also left a marital trust for the
15	benefit of his son, for which his wife
16	is the income beneficiary and trustee
17	during her lifetime. His wife is the
18	trustee of all the trusts, and Robert
19	Horn is backup of every trust.
20	As a succession is still pending,
21	right now the testamentary trusts
22	together all hold all of his percentage.
23	And Trooper James Cannon conducted
24	an investigation of the transfers, and
25	he is present this morning to report his
	21
1	findings.
2	TROOPER CANNON: Good morning,
3	Chairman and Board Members.
4	CHAIRMAN JONES: Good morning.
5	TROOPER CANNON: I investigated the
6	transfers that occurred in these
7	licensees due to the death of Robert E.
8	Horn.
9	Mary E. Horn is Robert E. Horn's
10	surviving spouse and is the trustee of

11	the grandchildren's trust, the trustee
12	and income beneficiary for life of the
13	marital trust and the executrix of
14	Robert E. Horn's estate.
15	Mary E. Horn previously submitted to
16	suitability on all of the licenses as
17	Robert E. Horn's spouse. I conducted an
18	updated criminal check on her.
19	Robert H. Horn is Robert E. Horn's
20	only surviving child and is the
21	principal beneficiary of the marital
22	trust. Robert H. Horn was already a
23	member of all of the licensees except
24	for Three Little Ones, LLC; therefore,
25	Robert H. Horn and his wife, Mary S.
	22
1	Horn, previously submitted to
2	suitability on the licenses for GFY
3	Enterprises, LLC, Little Big Horn, LLC,
4	Three Little Ones No. 2 and Outpost
5	TravelCenters, LLC. They have now
6	submitted to suitability on Three Little
7	Ones, LLC, and I conducted updated
8	criminal checks on them.
9	Robert H. Horn, II, Regan Kylie Horn
10	and Molly Katherine Horn are Robert E.
11	Horn's only surviving grandchildren.
12	They are the principal and income
13	beneficiaries of their own individual

14	trusts. Robert H. Horn, II, and Regan
15	Kylie Horn submitted to suitability on
16	all of the licensees, and I conducted a
17	suitability investigation on both of
18	them. Molly Katherine Horn is a minor
19	and is not required to submit to
20	suitability at this time. Her parents,
21	Robert H. Horn and Mary S. Horn, and the
22	trustee of her trust, Mary E. Horn, have
23	submitted to suitability on all of the
24	licenses.
25	Richard M. Gonzales and Denise M.
	23
1	Gonzales are associated with Three
2	Little Ones No. 2 and Outpost
3	TravelCenters, LLC. I also conducted
4	updated criminal checks on them.
5	I found no information that would
6	preclude the continued licensing of
7	these licensees or that would preclude
8	associated persons required to be found
9	suitability for participating or
10	continuing to participate in Louisiana's
11	gaming industry.
12	MS. HIMEL: The Office of the
13	Attorney General has reviewed the file
14	compiled as a result of Division's
15	investigation, and we found nothing to
16	preclude the continued licensing of the

17 licensees. 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: Board Members, any 19 questions of the Attorney General's 20 Office or State Police? The Board 21 appears to be clear. 22 Do I have a motion to approve the 23 transfers of interest? 24 MS. NOONAN: I'll move. 25 CHAIRMAN JONES: By Miss Noonan, 24 1 second by Mr. Jackson. All in favor? 2 [Collective "aye."] Opposed? [No 3 response.] The motion carries, 4 transfers have been approved. Thank you 5 very much. 6 VI. CASINO GAMING ISSUES 7 A. Consideration of Certificate of Compliance 8 for the Alternate Riverboat Inspection of 9 the gaming vessel of Golden Nugget Lake 10 Charles, LLC - No. R016502995

CHAIRMAN JONES: Up first is:

Consideration of the Certificate of

Nugget Lake Charles, LLC, No.

RO16502995. Good morning.

Compliance for the Alternate Riverboat

Inspection of the gaming vessel Golden

MR. THOMPSON: Good morning, Mr.

Chairman, Board Members. I'm Buddy

Thompson, Assistant Attorney General.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20	With me is John Francic of the American
21	Bureau of Shipping Consultants, also
22	known as ABSC. We're here in the matter
23	of the Certificate of Compliance for
24	Golden Nugget Lake Charles, LLC.
25	At last month's board meeting, a
	25
1	temporary Certificate of Compliance
2	expiring on March 31st, 2015, was issued
3	to Golden Nugget Lake Charles, LLC,
4	pending the successful completion of
5	certain deficiencies. All of these
6	deficiencies have been satisfactorily
7	completed, and Golden Nugget therefore
8	seeks the issuance of its permanent
9	Certificate of compliance which will
10	expire on December 31, 2015.
11	For more on this, I turn the
12	presentation over to John Francic.
13	MR. FRANCIC: John Francic with ABS
14	Consulting to report on the final
15	inspection for the Golden Nugget Casino.
16	The surveyors, Sam Maupin and Pete
17	Bullard, attended the Golden Nugget to
18	check the progress of clearing the
19	deficiencies issued on December 5th,
20	2014.
21	On March 3rd and 4th and March 11th
22	and 12th, the deficiencies were

23	inspected for documentation review and
24	found satisfactory. The Golden Nugget
25	Casino's met the initial construction
	26
1	requirements, and therefore ABS
2	Consulting has recommended that the
3	Certificate of Compliance be issued.
4	MR. THOMPSON: We now present these
5	findings to the Board and request that
6	upon the Board accepting the
7	supplemental reports of ABSC, the Board
8	will move for the issuance of Golden
9	Nugget's permanent Certificate of
10	Compliance until December 31, 2015.
11	CHAIRMAN JONES: Any questions for
12	the Attorney General's Office or ABSC?
13	Mr. Hodgkins, did you wish to address
14	the Board?
15	MR. HODGKINS: I'm fine unless
16	somebody has some questions.
17	CHAIRMAN JONES: If you're fine,
18	we're fine.
19	MR. HODGKINS: We're ready to get
20	moving.
21	CHAIRMAN JONES: Very good. Do I
22	have a motion to issue the Certificate
23	of Compliance?
24	MR. JACKSON: I'll make a motion.
25	CHAIRMAN JONES: By Mr. Jackson,

1	second by Mr. Bradford. All in favor?
2	[Collective "aye."] Opposed? [No
3	response.] The motion carries. The
4	Certificate of Compliance is issued.
5	Thank you.
6	B. Consideration of renewal application for
7	pari-mutuel live racing facility license of
8	Churchill Downs Louisiana Horse Racing Company,
9	LLC, d/b/a Fair Grounds Race Course - No.
10	T013602541
11	CHAIRMAN JONES: Next:
12	Consideration of renewal application for
13	pari-mutuel live racing facility license
14	of Churchill Downs Louisiana Horse
15	Racing Company, LLC, doing business as
16	Fair Grounds Race Course, No.
17	T013602541.
18	Good morning.
19	MR. THOMPSON: Good morning,
20	Mr. Chairman. Again, I'm Buddy
21	Thompson, Assistant Attorney General.
22	With me are Louisiana State Police
23	Auditor Trnessia Ware and Investigative
24	Trooper Michael Daniel.
25	We're here in the matter of the
	28
1	renewal of the slot machine gaming
2	license of Churchill Downs Louisiana

3	Horse Racing Company, LLC, d/b/a Fair
4	Grounds Race Course in New Orleans,
5	Louisiana.
6	Slot machine gaming licenses are
7	issued for five-year terms, and the
8	license for Churchill Downs Louisiana is
9	set to expire on April 18th, 2015. As
10	part of the renewal process, the A.G.'s
11	Office worked with counsel for the
12	licensee to review license conditions
13	previously placed on the licensee by the
14	Board in an effort to update the license
15	conditions. Conditions were initially
16	placed on the licensee in 2005 when it
17	was issued a license to conduct slot
18	machine gaming. Additional conditions
19	were placed on the licensee in 2007 in
20	connection with the temporary slots
21	facility and in 2008 in connection with
22	the permanent slots facility.
23	All conditions that were completed,
24	satisfied and no longer applicable were
25	removed. Some changes were made to the
	29
1	conditions. There was some editing of
2	other conditions. The licensee has
3	accepted and agreed to be bound by the
4	conditions.
5	A proposed resolution, subject to

6	the proposed Statement of Conditions
7	authorizing the renewal of the license
8	commencing April 18th, 2015, has been
9	prepared for the Chairman's signature if
10	the Board approves the renewal.
11	At this time, State Police Auditor,
12	Trnessia Ware, will present her findings
13	to the Board, and then Investigative
14	Trooper Michael Daniel will present his
15	findings. Also, there are
16	representatives of the licensee that are
17	here and able to answer any questions
18	the Board may have.
19	CHAIRMAN JONES: Miss Ware.
20	MS. WARE: Good morning, Chairman
21	Jones and the Members of the Board. My
22	name is Trnessia Ware with Louisiana
23	State Police Corporate Securities Audit.
24	Licensee, Churchill Downs Louisiana
25	Horse Racing Company doing business as
	30
1	Fair Grounds Race Course and Slots, is
2	owned 100 percent by Calder Race Course.
3	Calder is wholly owned by Churchill
4	Downs Management Company whose sole
5	member is the ultimate parent company,
6	Churchill Downs Corporation.
7	Fair Grounds Race Course is the only
8	slot at the track casino in the New

9	Orleans market. The New Orleans market
10	consists of three riverboat casinos and
11	one land-based casino.
12	For fiscal year 2013-2014, Fair
13	Grounds Race Course ranked fifth in the
14	New Orleans market with gross receipts
15	of approximately \$46 million, or 1.9
16	percent of total revenues generated by
17	Louisiana riverboat, land-based and
18	Slots at the Track casinos.
19	In 2014, Fair Grounds budgeted
20	approximately \$2.1 million for capital
21	expenditures and projects it will spend
22	approximately \$5 million for years 2015
23	and 2016. For years ended 2017 through
24	2018, capital expenditures are budgeted
25	approximately \$4.1 million and for 2019
	31
1	approximately \$2 million.
2	Churchill Downs allocates
3	administrative services fees based on
4	Fair Grounds' needs for expenses
5	incurred in management and supporting
6	Fair Grounds' operations. Page 12 of
7	your report shows the amount of
8	administrative service fees paid for the
9	years ended 2010 through 2014.
10	In conclusion, no financial issues
11	came to our attention to preclude the

12	Board from approving the Fair Grounds
13	Race Course license for a period of five
14	years effective April 18, 2015.
15	Trooper Michael Daniel will now
16	present his findings.
17	TROOPER DANIEL: Good morning,
18	Chairman Jones and Members of the Board.
19	Trooper Michael Daniel with Louisiana
20	State Police Gaming Enforcement
21	Division.
22	I was assigned to conduct a
23	suitability investigation regarding the
24	license renewal of Churchill Downs
25	Louisiana Horse Racing Company, LLC,
	32
1	doing business as Fair Grounds Race
2	Course and Slots. This consisted of
3	inquires through federal, state and
4	local law enforcement agencies,
5	computerized criminal history databases,
6	civil institutions and gaming regulatory
7	agencies.
8	During the suitability
9	investigation, no information was
10	discovered which would preclude
11	licensing of Churchill Downs Louisiana
12	Horse Racing Company, LLC, doing
13	business as Fair Grounds Race Course and
14	Slots, associated entities, or key

15	personnel.
16	At this time, I will gladly answer
17	any questions you may have.
18	CHAIRMAN JONES: Board, do we have
19	any questions for the Attorney General's
20	Office or State Police before I bring
21	Churchill forward? Nothing at this
22	time.
23	Would representatives from Churchill
24	Downs please come to the table and
25	introduce yourself. Welcome.
	33
1	MR. BRYANT: Chairman Jones and
2	Members of the Gaming Control Board,
3	good morning.
4	CHAIRMAN JONES: Good morning.
5	MR. BRYANT: I'm Tim Bryant. I'm
6	the President of Fair Grounds Race
7	Course and Slots, and I've got with me
8	David Waguespack, who is our attorney,
9	and Joe Rivera who is our regulatory
10	compliance officer. And we're open to
11	any questions you may have.
12	CHAIRMAN JONES: Let me start out,
13	first of all, by you and I visited last
14	year. I came by, and it just so
15	happened that I came by before the
16	legislative session started; and we
17	talked about what improvements had been

18	made at the track, what improvements
19	needed to be made at the track. And I
20	clearly understand that our jurisdiction
21	extends to the slots facility, and the
22	racing racing commission has
23	jurisdiction over pari-mutuel wagering;
24	but it's hard to separate those two
25	facilities in my head, so that if I see
	34
1	problems in one area, I think it affects
2	the other area.
3	I was concerned at that time and
4	you and I talked about some of the
5	concerns at the property, and it's no
6	secret that Representative Connick
7	attempted to address some of the issues
8	of recapitalization with the bill filed
9	last year; and I watched the testimony,
10	House criminal justice, and that was a
11	train wreck.
12	So my first question to
13	representatives of Churchill Downs is:
14	What have you done in the interim?
15	MR. BRYANT: Well, I think, as you
16	know, we had several conditions put on
17	us by the racing commission, and we made
18	several commitments to the Legislature
19	last year. And we've met those
20	conditions and commitments; and if

21	you I'm sure you've reached out to
22	some legislatures, and I know at our
23	March 2nd meeting that we held with the
24	Louisiana Racing Commission that they
25	unanimously voted that we were, in fact,
	35
1	meeting the conditions of our license
2	and the commitments that we made.
3	The meet has gone extremely well,
4	and the message was delivered and was
5	received loud and clear last year,
6	Chairman Jones; and I think I'm
7	hoping that you are hearing the same
8	thing that we're hearing at the Fair
9	Grounds, in that from owners, trainers,
10	horseman, guests, employees, that they
11	have noticed a noticeable difference
12	between this year and last year.
13	We've had a very solid meet. Our
14	pari-mutuel annual is up when the
15	industry, on the same days that they
16	race, is down, so we're bucking the
17	trend there. By no means is everything
18	that we've done going to solve the
19	issues with horse racing, but I tell you
20	what, we had one heck of a meet this
21	meet; and we're closing it out strong.
22	As you know, we're coming to the end
23	of our meet. We've only got eight race

24	days left, so look forward to closing it
25	out and being able to present the final
	36
1	numbers, but overall I think we have
2	absolutely received the message; and we
3	have absolutely delivered on the
4	commitments that were made this time
5	last year.
6	CHAIRMAN JONES: And I think we all
7	understand the challenges to the
8	pari-mutuel industry. I mean, if you
9	look at the tracks around the state, I
10	mean, everybody has their own unique
11	challenges when it comes to horse
12	racing, and that's not just limited to
13	Louisiana. I mean, that's a national
14	trend, and we also understand that
15	that's one of the reasons we have Slots
16	at the Track, to help sort of keep
17	things going.
18	I understand you had a very
19	successful weenie dog race.
20	MR. BRYANT: We did.
21	CHAIRMAN JONES: I got a good report
22	on that. I'm sorry I wasn't there.
23	I would ask how you plan to deal
24	with the issue of the smoking ban that's
25	forthcoming in Orleans Parish.

1	MR. BRYANT: Well, I think the
2	Gaming Control Board or the Louisiana
3	State Police did a study of their own
4	and estimating that it could be a 20,
5	25 percent decrease in revenue. That's
6	something that's obviously a great
7	concern to us. We're we're moving
8	forward with ways to mitigate that as
9	much as possible.
10	As it stands now, it looks like the
11	writing's on the wall April 22nd that
12	that goes into effect unless something
13	changes, but with the way we're moving
14	forward is we're going to have to figure
15	out ways to, one, keep the smoking
16	guests to come to us. So we're in the
17	process of building out and met with the
18	LSP this week on a smoking patio that's
19	more convenient to the guests that come
20	that do choose to smoke. And then also
21	we're going to have to look at other
22	avenues, potentially opening up other
23	OTBs in other locations to offset.
24	Not only as you know, not only is
25	this going to impact Churchill Downs.
	38
1	It's going to impact state which it
2	actually goes back to the city, the City
3	of New Orleans, and the horsemen. You

4	know, the horsemen are going to take a
5	hit on this, as well, and with the
6	industry, as you said, on a national
7	level having many different nuances
8	going on with it, that's not something
9	that's going to help.
10	But we're we have to move
11	forward, and, you know, if there's
12	the feedback that we're getting from the
13	city is there's not much room for any
14	kind of carve out. So we're moving
15	forward as if that's not going to
16	happen. Obviously, over the course of
17	time when we can physically demonstrate
18	the results of the nonsmoking, hopefully
19	there will be a seat at the table to
20	discuss what we can do to help mitigate
21	that loss.

22 CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Bryant, how 23 long have you been in gaming business --24 gaming hospitality? How many years have

you got?

39

MR. BRYANT: I've been in the gaming
 industry 20 years.
 CHAIRMAN JONES: Based on your
 experience, do you believe businesses

can go up because they've imposed a

6 smoking ban?

7	MR. BRYANT: No, absolutely not.
8	CHAIRMAN JONES: Because that was
9	some of the testimony that was presented
10	before the city council, and I've not
11	heard that from anybody else who is in
12	the business of gaming in the country;
13	and I'm curious to where that came from.
14	MR. BRYANT: I worked in Indiana and
15	Illinois and those jurisdictions, and
16	when Illinois passed legislation to get
17	rid of smoking, they just crossed the
18	state border and went over into Indiana.
19	That was very clear. This will be just
20	going over to the next parish.
21	CHARIMAN JONES: And that's you
22	know, this Board's in no position, but I
23	thought it was in I thought we had a
24	responsibility to the citizens of the
25	state for whom we work, and this is
	40
1	about economic development. And
2	anything that impairs economic
3	development is a concern of ours, and
4	that's why I asked State Police to look
5	at the overstudies that had been
6	conducted in Delaware and in Atlantic
7	City and elsewhere and simply project
8	what those findings were into a
9	potential impact in Orleans Parish; and

10	we provided that information to the city
11	council, and it was mostly dismissed as
12	being bad science. That's unfortunate,
13	but I think it's important that it be on
14	the table for discussion purposes.
15	Did you appear before the city
16	council or anyone from Churchill Downs
17	appear?
18	MR. BRYANT: We talked behind the
19	scenes, not in a public meeting. We did
20	not get up and speak about that. One of
21	the coauthors, Guidry, overseas the
22	district that we reside in, and I had
23	several meetings with her.
24	It's a personal issue. It's they
25	have a lot of passion behind what they
	41
1	were doing, and they really do think
2	it's the right thing overall. And it
3	was the message was delivered from us
4	to them that it's going to negatively
5	impact our business, and there's hopes
6	that if, you know, this moves forward as
7	projected, that we'll be able to go back
8	to the city council and discuss what we
9	can do to mitigate over you know, I
10	think the way it is now, to be quite
11	frank, Chairman Jones, is they don't
12	believe that. They don't believe the

13	studies that have been presented to
14	them, and they feel that business is not
15	going to drop.
16	So when we can demonstrate when
17	it happens, unfortunately, we're going
18	to have to show them that this is what
19	happens when you when you impose a
20	smoking ban in a gaming environment,
21	but, again, in our conversations, again,
22	it's a very passionate situation. You
23	know, we did hang low, so to speak, and
24	weren't on the forefront of trying to
25	fight this. We were trying to fight it
	42
1	behind the scenes, though. That's for
2	sure.
3	CHAIRMAN JONES: You know, just what
4	little I know about gaming, I would
5	suspect that your slots activity might
6	be impacted more than Harrah's. You
7	have an awful lot of local traffic.
8	MR. BRYANT: We do.
9	CHAIRMAN JONES: It's going to be
10	easy for people to drive to Jefferson
11	Parish, which is great for Jefferson
12	Parish. You know, the State will still
13	get its fees, but I just am concerned
14	and I'm you know, we're not going to
15	argue about the health benefits of not

16	smoking. I don't smoke, and I'm not
17	going to take any position different
18	from that. But I just think that it
19	still has to be part of the public
20	debate as to how this is going to impact
21	the economy of this state and Orleans
22	Parish. So I thank you for those
23	comments.
24	Board Members, any questions of
25	Churchill Downs? Mr. Stipe.
	43
1	MR. STIPE: You have an
2	administrative services agreement that's
3	used to allocate the back office
4	functions between a number of
5	facilities, including this one, as I
6	understand it. Is that
7	MR. BRYANT: From the corporate
8	office, that's just a corporate
9	allocation of, like, the cost to run the
10	corporation based out of Louisville,
11	Kentucky, is my understanding.
12	MR. STIPE: Sure. Is it a problem
13	for you to forward to us the methodology
14	that you use to allocate those costs?
15	MR. BRYANT: We'll certainly
16	we'll certainly get back in touch with

you. I don't see a problem with that at

all. I mean, we sent just the total

17

19	numbers, is what I'm presuming. That
20	came from corporate office.
21	MR. STIPE: And that's kind of what
22	I'm getting at is: I see where it's
23	allocated, and there's administrative
24	expenses allocated over a number of
25	facilities over a number of different
	44
1	venues; and so I'm asking you to forward
2	information to us
3	MR. BRYANT: Okay.
4	MR. STIPE: with the methodology
5	and the methodology and the thinking
6	behind that allocation that you're
7	using.
8	MR. BRYANT: Okay. We will touch
9	base with corporate and get back to you.
10	CHAIRMAN JONES: All right.
11	MR. STIPE: All right. This claim
12	that was pending and went to the racing
13	commission concerning purses with the
14	quarter horse owners, trainers and
15	jockeys, what's the status of that?
16	MR. BRYANT: I will turn that over
17	to our attorney, David Waguespack.
18	MR. WAGUESPACK: The lawsuit was
19	referred to the racing commission by the
20	district court judge, Judge Caruso, in
21	Civil District Court in Orleans Parish.

22	So presently it's pending before the
23	racing commission. They have an initial
24	hearing on April 20th. They requested
25	initial motions be filed regarding some
	45
1	preliminary issues, and so we await the
2	racing commission's review of the purse
3	issue which was raised by the lawsuit.
4	We obviously disagree with the
5	lawsuit strenuously; and, you know,
6	we'll do everything we can to defeat
7	them, and we think it will be.
8	MR. STIPE: What's the stated claim
9	or the worst case scenario of that
10	claim?
11	MR. WAGUESPACK: What they claim is
12	that the moneys collected from video
13	poker after the race meet ends or third
14	race meet ends at the end of March,
15	until the quarter horse meet begins in
16	August of each year, that all of those
17	moneys should go to the quarter horse
18	race meet, which is a ten-day race meet
19	that only exists under the law because
20	we had to have it in order to distribute
21	the money that is specifically allocated
22	to them from the slot machine gaming.
23	So the statute that deals with video
24	poker is silent as to which races get

25	the money, and it's always gone to the
	46
1	thoroughbreds meet; whereas, the slots
2	statues specifically allocates purses.
3	Seventy percent of the purse money goes
4	to the thoroughbred meet, and
5	thirty percent goes to the quarter
6	horses.
7	So we think in the context of all
8	the legislation about purses and quarter
9	horse racing, that it's very clear that
10	the money that's at issue here goes to
11	the thoroughbreds thoroughbred meet,
12	but there's a lawsuit that's been filed.
13	It's been filed not by HBPA, which is
14	really the statutory representative for
15	the horsemen. It's been filed by a
16	group of quarter horse owners who are
17	purporting to assert, as a class action,
18	the rights of all of that group despite
19	the fact that there's a statutory
20	representative who agrees with us on the
21	statute, the HBPA.
22	CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Bryant, I'm
23	just wondering. I'm assuming you're
24	local. You're the compliance
25	MR. BRYANT: He's local regulatory
	47
1	compliance, yes.

2	CHAIRMAN JONES: And,
3	Mr. Waguespack, you're local?
4	MR. WAGUESPACK: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN JONES: Nobody from
6	Kentucky is at the table then, right?
7	MR. BRYANT: Well, we will certainly
8	pass I am a Senior Vice-President of
9	Churchill Downs, Incorporated, and I can
10	deliver the message to Louisville,
11	Kentucky, whatever that message may be.
12	CHAIRMAN JONES: So when you say
13	"corporate," you're talking about
14	yourself, that came from "corporate,"
15	okay? So I'm just wondering why
16	corporate isn't at the table to answer
17	the question. That's my discomfort.
18	MR. BRYANT: Well, we will certainly
19	pass that along. I represent Churchill
20	Downs, Incorporated, and if there's
21	anything that I will certainly
22	deliver that message that they were
23	hoping
24	CHAIRMAN JONES: They send a message
25	to me by not being here, and that's
	48
1	troubling, okay?
2	MR. BRYANT: Okay.
3	CHAIRMAN JONES: I appreciate the
4	fact that you're vice-president, and you

- 5 and I have a great relationship; but
- 6 this "corporate" thing, I don't think
- 7 there's anybody here from "corporate"
- 8 other than you.
- 9 MR. WAGUESPACK: Chairman Jones,
- 10 I'll take the heat on that. They
- 11 regularly ask me -- and this is going
- 12 back for prior license renewals and
- prior matters before the Board -- as
- their local attorney, you know, should
- we have somebody from corporate? And so
- 16 I'll take the heat on that. They don't
- 17 have somebody from corporate here
- because I didn't think it was necessary,
- 19 and I apologize for that.
- 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: Well, a lot has
- 21 happened in the last five years, and a
- lot happened about a year ago. And you
- could have called me, all right? So you
- 24 made that decision. That's unfortunate,
- and if you're watching, you should come

- 1 back next time, okay?
- 2 MR. WAGUESPACK: I apologize.
- 3 MR. BRYANT: We will certainly pass
- 4 that on to corporate office.
- 5 CHAIRMAN JONES: Please do.
- 6 MR. BRYANT: Okay.
- 7 CHAIRMAN JONES: Any other

- 8 questions? Okay. Do we need to bring
- 9 Buddy back? Thank you, gentlemen.
- 10 MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank you very
- 11 much.
- 12 MR. BRYANT: Thank you.
- 13 MR. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman, the
- 14 Office of the Attorney General has
- reviewed the file compiled as a result
- of the investigation conducted by the
- 17 Office of State Police. Our review
- 18 indicates no information was found to
- 19 preclude the renewal of the slot machine
- 20 gaming license to Churchill Downs
- 21 Louisiana Racing Company, LLC, d/b/a
- 22 Fair Grounds Race Course.
- 23 A resolution has been prepared and
- submitted to the Board should the Board
- vote to approve the renewal.

- 1 CHAIRMAN JONES: Do I have a motion
- 2 to adopt the resolution?
- 3 MR. BRADFORD: So moved.
- 4 CHAIRMAN JONES: By Mr. Bradford.
- 5 Second --
- 6 MR. JACKSON: Second.
- 7 CHAIRMAN JONES: -- by Mr. Jackson.
- 8 Miss Tramonte, would you read the
- 9 resolution into the record.
- 10 THE CLERK: On the 19th day of March

11	2015, the Louisiana Gaming Control Board
12	did, in a duly noticed public meeting,
13	consider the license renewal application
14	of Churchill Downs Louisiana Horse
15	Racing Company, LLC, doing business as
16	Fair Grounds Race Course, and upon
17	motion duly made and second, the Board
18	adopted this resolution.
19	Be it resolved that the license
20	T013602541 to conduct slot machine
21	gaming issued to Churchill Downs
22	Louisiana Horse Racing Company, LLC, be
23	renewed for a term of five years
24	commencing April 18th, 2015, subject to
25	the Statement of Conditions to slot
	51
1	machine gaming license of Churchill
2	Downs Louisiana Horse Racing Company
3	attached hereto and made a part thereof.
4	Thus done and signed in Baton Rouge,
5	Louisiana, this 19th day of March 2015.
6	THE CLERK: Mr. Bradford?
7	MR. BRADFORD: Yes.
8	THE CLERK: Mr. Stipe?
9	MR. STIPE: Yes.
10	THE CLERK: Miss Noonan?
11	MS. NOONAN: Yes.
12	THE CLERK: Major Mercer?

MAJOR MERCER: Yes.

- 14 THE CLERK: Mr. Jackson?
- 15 MR. JACKSON: Yes.
- 16 THE CLERK: Chairman Jones.
- 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: Yes. The motion
- 18 carries. The resolution -- the motion
- 19 to adopt the resolution has been
- adopted.
- 21 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.
- 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: Thank you very
- 23 much, Buddy. Mr. Bryant, you may
- 24 forward the information from corporate
- 25 to my attention. I'll get it to Mr.

- 1 Stipe.
- 2 MR. BRYANT: Okay.
- 3 CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. We'll be
- 4 waiting on that. Thank you very much.
- 5 VII. CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS/APPEALS
- 6 IN THE FOLLOWING:
- 7 1. In Re: I-220 Travel Plaza, LLC, d/b/a
- 8 Goldmine Casino No. 0801512159 (proposed
- 9 settlement)
- 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: At this point, we
- come to Settlements and Appeals in the
- 12 following matters. First up is in
- regard to I-220 Travel Plaza, LLC, doing
- business as Goldmine Casino, No.
- 15 0801512159. This is a proposed
- settlement.

17	Good morning.
18	MR. HEBERT: Good morning, Chairman,
19	Members of the Board. Christopher
20	Hebert representing the Louisiana Office
21	of State Police in the matter of I-220
22	Travel Plaza, LLC, doing business as
23	Goldmine Casino.
24	Goldmine Casino holds a Type 5 video
25	draw poker gaming license and was
	53
1	previously owned by Rocky Top
2	Investments, LLC, and Rossbottom
3	Interest, LLC, with each member holding
4	50 percent membership interest.
5	On June 12th, 2014, the Division met
6	with representatives of Goldmine Casino.
7	During this meeting, the Division
8	discovered that Rocky Top Investments,
9	LLC, transferred its 50 percent
10	membership interest in Goldmine Casino
11	to Louisiana Truckstop and Gaming, LLC,
12	on December 6th, 2013.
13	On June 24th, 2014, the Division
14	received a copy of the assignment of
15	limited liability company membership
16	interest. On June 17, 2014, the
17	Division received a letter from Goldmine
18	Casino stating that Rossbottom Interest,
19	LLC, had changed its name to Louisiana

20	Truckstop and Gaming Interest, LLC, on
22	December 9, 2013, and amended its
22	articles of organization to reflect the
23	name change. The amended articles of
24	organization were filed with the
25	Secretary of State on December 10th of
	54
1	2013.
2	Goldmine Casino failed to timely
3	notify the Division of the transfer of
4	the 50 percent of the membership
5	interest in Goldmine Casino from Rocky
6	Top Investments, LLC, to Louisiana
7	Truckstop and Gaming, LLC. Goldmine
8	Casino also failed to timely notify the
9	Division of the name change of one of
10	its members, Rossbottom Interest, LLC,
13	to Louisiana Truckstop and Gaming
12	Interest, LLC.
13	In lieu of administrative action,
14	Goldmine Casino will pay a \$500
15	excuse me, \$500 for each of its two
16	violations for a total penalty of
17	\$1,000. The settlement therefore
18	provides for such a penalty. Hearing
19	Officer Reynolds has approved this
20	settlement, and we're here this morning
23	seeking the Board's approval. And I'd
22	be happy to answer any questions you

- 23 might have.
- 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: Any questions,
- 25 Board Members? There are no questions.

- 1 Do I have a motion to approve the
- 2 settlement? By Mr. Stipe and by
- 3 Mr. Mercer. All in favor? [Collective
- 4 "aye."] Motion carries, settlement's
- 5 approved.
- 6 2. In Re: Melvin J. Enmon No. PO40023346
- 7 (proposed settlement)
- 8 CHAIRMAN JONES: Please continue.
- 9 This would be in regards to Melvin J.
- 10 Enmon. That's No. PO40023346.
- 11 MR. HEBERT: Thank you again.
- 12 Christopher Hebert representing the
- 13 Louisiana Office of State Police in the
- 14 matter of Melvin Enmon.
- 15 By application dated September 2nd,
- 16 2014, Mr. Enmon sought approval of a
- 17 non-key gaming employee permit, and
- 18 after a background investigation was
- 19 performed, the permit was granted to
- 20 Mr. Enmon with an expiration date of
- 21 October 1st, 2016.
- 22 On October 19th, 2014, Mr. Enmon was
- arrested and charged with the violation
- 24 of Louisiana Revised Statute 14:98, DWI
- 25 second offense. Mr. Enmon failed to

1	timely notify the Division of his arrest
2	as required by gaming law.
3	In lieu of administrative action,
4	the parties have agreed that Mr. Enmon
5	will pay a civil penalty of \$250 for
6	this violation. Settlement, therefore,
7	provides for such a penalty. Hearing
8	Officer Reynolds has approved this
9	settlement, and we're here this morning
10	seeking the Board's approval.
11	I'd, again, be happy to answer any
12	questions that you might have.
13	CHAIRMAN JONES: Any questions on
14	the proposed settlement? There are no
15	questions. Do I have a motion to
16	approve the settlement?
17	MR. JACKSON: I make a motion.
18	CHAIRMAN JONES: Motion by Mr.
19	Jackson
20	MS. NOONAN: I'll second.
21	CHAIRMAN JONES: second by Ms.
22	Noonan. All in favor? [Collective
23	"aye."] Opposed? [No response.] The
24	settlement is approved.
25	3. In Re: Riverbend Truckstops & Palace Casino

- 1 Inc., d/b/a Terrebonne Truckstop & Casino No.
- 2 5500515516 (proposed settlement)

3	CHAIRMAN JONES: And in regard to
4	Riverbend Truckstops and Palace Casino,
5	Inc., doing business as Terrebonne
6	Truckstop & Casino, No. 5500515516.
7	MR. LEWIS: Good morning, Kanick
8	Lewis, Jr., representing the Office of
9	State Police. I'm an Assistant Attorney
10	General. We're here today regarding the
11	matter of Riverbend Truckstops & Palace
12	Casino doing business as Terrebonne
13	Truckstop & Casino.
14	Terrebonne is a Type 5 licensed
15	establishment located at 4065 [sic] West
16	Park Avenue in Gray, Louisiana.
17	On October 15th, 2014, the Division
18	conducted a compliance inspection at
19	Terrebonne. At the time of the
20	inspection, Terrebonne had a flier and a
21	billboard advertising daily cash
22	drawings and hot cash giveaways. The
23	flier stated some but not all of the
24	terms and conditions of the promotion.
25	Terrebonne's failure to display all
	58
1	rules, terms and conditions of the
2	promotions it offered during the month
3	of October in 2014 in a prominent manner
4	inside the licensed establishment, as
5	well as its promotional requirement that

- 6 the participant be present when the
- 7 winning ticket was called, violated
- 8 Louisiana gaming law.
- 9 In lieu of administrative action,
- 10 Terrebonne will pay a civil penalty of
- \$250 for its violation. Hearing Officer
- 12 Reynolds has signed the joint compromise
- and settlement agreement, and we're here
- 14 today seeking the Board's approval of
- the settlement agreement.
- 16 At this time, I'd be happy to answer
- any questions you may have.
- 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: Any questions of
- the Attorney General's Office? There
- are no questions. Do I have a motion to
- approve the settlement? By Mr.
- 22 Bradford, second by Mr. Stipe. All in
- 23 favor? [Collective "aye."] Any
- 24 opposed? [No response.] Motion
- 25 carries, settlement's approved. Thank

- 1 you very much.
- 2 4. In Re: Ashley Johnson No. PO40046601
- 3 (appeal)
- 4 CHARIMAN JONES: And now we come to
- 5 appeals. First up is in regard to
- 6 Ashley Johnson. That's No. PO40046601.
- 7 Is Miss Johnson here? Anyone
- 8 representing Miss Johnson? [No

9	response.] Please proceed.
10	MR. LEWIS: Again, Kanick Lewis,
11	Jr., Assistant Attorney General,
12	representing the Office of State Police.
13	We're here regarding the appeal of Miss
14	Ashley Johnson with the permit numbers
15	previously stated of PO40046601.
16	The Division recommended an
17	administrative action against the
18	non-key gaming permit for Miss Johnson
19	for a failure to timely notify the
20	Division of her August 14th, 2014,
21	arrest for criminal neglect of family by
22	the St. Landry Parish Sheriff's Office.
23	The hearing was held on January 6th,
24	2015. Miss Johnson was not present, and
25	therefore no evidence to she
	60
1	presented no evidence to prove she did
2	timely notify the Division of her
3	arrest.
4	Hearing Officer Reynolds issued a
5	decision on January 20th, 2015, ordering
6	Miss Johnson to pay a \$250 civil
7	penalty. On January 23rd, 2015,
8	Miss Johnson filed her request for an
9	appeal, and again no supporting
10	documentation was provided.
11	The penalty is based on statutory

- amounts set forth in the penalty
- schedule in gaming law for failure to
- 14 timely notify of an arrest. The
- 15 decision issued by Hearing Officer
- 16 Reynolds is in accordance with all
- applicable laws and should be affirmed.
- 18 At this time, I'd be happy to answer
- any questions you may have.
- 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: Board, any
- 21 questions?
- 22 MR. JACKSON: I make a motion that
- we uphold it.
- 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: Motion to affirm
- the hearing officer's decision by Mr.

- 1 Jackson --
- 2 MS. NOONAN: Second.
- 3 CHAIRMAN JONES: -- second by
- 4 Miss Noonan. All in favor? [Collective
- 5 "aye."] Opposed? [No response.] That
- 6 decision has been affirmed. Thank you.
- 7 MR. LEWIS: Thank you.
- 8 5. In Re: Kyria Davis No. PO40062476 (appeal)
- 9 CHAIRMAN JONES: Now in regard to
- 10 Kyria Davis, this is No. PO40062476.
- 11 Welcome back.
- MR. HEBERT: Thank you.
- 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: Is Kyria Davis
- 14 here? Good morning.

15	MS. DAVIS: Good morning.
16	CHAIRMAN JONES: Have a seat at the
17	table, Miss Davis. Just so you
18	understand how we're going to proceed
19	I'm going to permit you to speak in a
20	moment. You can't introduce anything
21	new that wasn't introduced at the
22	hearing, but if something has changed
23	since the hearing occurred that would
24	convince this board that the matter
25	should be reheard, you may share that
	62
1	with us.
2	MS. DAVIS: Okay.
3	CHAIRMAN JONES: Please proceed.
4	Tell us why you think the hearing
5	officer's decision should not be
6	affirmed.
7	MS. DAVIS: I was placed into a
8	diversion program where in six months
9	the charges that I did have is going to
10	be taken off my record, and I have to
11	follow, like, certain rules with the
12	diversion program; and I think that that
13	could help.

CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. So at the

time -- let me ask you a question. At

the time of the hearing, you had no

documentation to show what you were

14

15

16

18	participating in?
19	MS. DAVIS: I had a letter stating
20	that I was going to a meeting, but it
21	was the day after the hearing.
22	CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Please
23	proceed.
24	MR. HEBERT: Okay. Thank you.
25	Christopher Hebert representing the
	63
1	Division in this matter.
2	The issue here is whether the
3	hearing officer was correct in revoking
4	the non-key gaming employee permit of
5	Miss Davis based on her then pending
6	charge of theft of goods or charges
7	of theft of goods and possession of
8	marijuana. The Division contends that
9	the hearing officer's order revoking the
10	non-key gaming employee permit of
11	Miss Davis should be affirmed.
12	On the date of the revocation
13	hearing, the charges for theft of goods
14	and marijuana were still pending against
15	Miss Davis. Miss Davis was at the time,
16	therefore, unsuitable for and
17	disqualified from possessing a non-key
18	gaming employee permit.
19	Miss Davis was present at the
20	hearing, and she provided no evidence to

21	contradict the evidence and testimony
22	provided by the Division which showed
23	that her charges were at the time still
24	pending, though she did note that she
25	would be given the opportunity to enter
	64
1	pretrial diversion.
2	Now, in a letter February 7th of
3	this year, Miss Davis requested an
4	appeal before this Board, and if you
5	will take a look at her letter
6	requesting the appeal, Miss Davis
7	actually admits that she did, indeed,
8	take a good from the store without
9	paying for it, in addition to admitting
10	driving under the influence of alcohol,
11	as well as indicating that her
12	intentions were to engage in marijuana.
13	Subsequent to the December 15th
14	revocation hearing, the Division
15	received a copy of the minutes from the
16	24th Judicial District Court dated
17	December 17, 2014, which indicated that
18	Miss Davis was, in fact, placed into a
19	diversion program. This evidence was
20	not submitted during the administrative
21	hearing in this matter and was not
22	considered in the hearing officer's
23	decision; therefore, this evidence is

24 new evidence and should not be 25 considered by this Board.

65

1 Based on these reasons, the Division 2 respectfully requests that this Board 3 uphold the hearing officer's decision 4 revoking the non-key gaming employee 5 permit issued to Miss Davis based on her 6 admission of the theft, an act which 7 automatically disqualifies her from 8 holding a non-key gaming employee 9 permit, or in the alternative, that this 10 Board remand this matter to the hearing 11 officer for a proper introduction and 12 consideration of new evidence, including 13 the appeal letter signed by Miss Davis 14 and dated February 7, wherein she admits 15 to the theft and her intent to engage in 16 marijuana. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Stipe. 18 MR. STIPE: And you've covered it. 19 I mean, the criminal charges were not --20 there was no final disposition of those 21 at the time of the hearing. 22 MR. HEBERT: Right. 23 MR. STIPE: And alternatively, 24 you're suggesting to us that a remand is 25 an option for us. Is that a fair

- 1 statement?
- 2 MR. HEBERT: Well, if the Board
- 3 doesn't find that based on her admission
- 4 of -- she actually admitted to the theft
- 5 in her appeal letter.
- 6 MR. STIPE: So that wasn't
- 7 considered at the hearing.
- 8 MR. HEBERT: That was not considered
- 9 at the hearing.
- 10 MR. STIPE: Okay. I just move we
- 11 remand it.
- 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: Let's get any other
- questions first. Any other questions?
- 14 We did have a motion to remand. We have
- 15 a second. All in favor? [Collective
- "aye."] Opposed? It shall be remanded.
- 17 You'll get another hearing.
- 18 COURT REPORTER: Mr. Chairman, who
- 19 seconded?
- 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Stipe voted and
- 21 Mr. Bradford seconded. I'm sorry.
- 22 COURT REPORTER: That's okay.
- 23 6. In Re: Dennis L. Brown No. PO40061570
- 24 (appeal)
- 25 CHAIRMAN JONES: Next up is in

- 1 regard to Dennis L. Brown. That's No.
- 2 PO40061570. Is Mr. Brown or any
- 3 representative of Mr. Brown present?

4	And just for the Board's
5	information, a person appealing does not
6	have to appear before the Board for the
7	Board to consider the appeal.
8	Please proceed.
9	MR. HEBERT: Again, Christopher
10	Hebert representing the Louisiana Office
11	of State Police in the matter of Dennis
12	Brown, Number 6 on your docket. The
13	issue here is whether the hearing
14	officer was correct in revoking the
15	non-key gaming employee permit of
16	Mr. Dennis Brown.
17	On the date of the revocation
18	hearing, Mr. Brown pled guilty to
19	improper photography, a charge which in
20	the State of Louisiana within the
21	Louisiana Revised Statute 14:283, Video
22	Voyeurism, an offense punishable by
23	imprisonment of more than one year.
24	Mr. Brown was therefore unsuitable
25	for and disqualified for possessing a
	68
1	non-key gaming employee permit pursuant
2	to Louisiana law, and the Division
3	contends that the hearing officer's
4	order revoking the non-key gaming
5	employee permit issued to Mr. Brown was
6	correct based on evidence available at

7	the time of the hearing.
8	Mr. Brown did appear at the hearing
9	and presented evidence which was weighed
10	by the hearing officer against the
11	evidence presented by the Division. In
12	his reasons for decision, the hearing
13	officer states that, though Mr. Brown
14	argued and submitted information based
15	on his position as to the future actions
16	and consequences of those actions in
17	respect to his plea and the charges
18	resulting in that plea, those assertions
19	are perspective. The reasons herein are
20	based solely on the evidence and law
21	before me at the hearing in this matter.
22	On January 9th, 2015, Hearing
23	Officer Reynolds ordered Mr. Brown's
24	non-key gaming employee permit revoked.
25	In a letter dated February 1st of this
	69
1	year, Mr. Brown requested an appeal
2	before this Board. Then on March 2nd,
3	2015, the Division received a copy of a
4	judgment of acquittal by the court from
5	the district court in and for Smith
6	County Texas dated January 16, 2015. It
7	appears that Mr. Brown originally pled
8	guilty to the charges of improper
9	photography or visual recording, then

10	was later acquitted and discharged from
11	his probation based on the
12	unconstitutionality of the statute under
13	which he was acquitted.
14	This evidence was not submitted
15	during the administrative hearing in
16	this matter and was not considered in
17	the hearing officer's decision.
18	Therefore, this is new evidence and
19	should not be considered by this Board.
20	Further, the Division respectfully
21	asserts that during both the
22	November 17th excuse me, during the
23	November 17th, 2015, revocation hearing
24	in this matter, the Division sought a
25	determination of suitability not only
	70
1	based on Mr. Brown's guilty plea but
2	also on grounds that Mr. Brown violated
3	the provisions of Louisiana Revised
4	Statute 27:27.A or that he should be
5	revoked based on general unsuitability.
6	The hearing officer issued his
7	decision based on the disqualification
8	of Mr. Brown due to his plea of guilty
9	to the charge of improper photography,
10	an offense punishable by imprisonment
11	for more than one year and the current
12	status and disposition of Mr. Brown's

L3	sentence and deferred adjudication
L4	relating to his plea and supervised
15	probation until July 22nd, 2019. There
16	was no determination as was sought by
L7	the Division regarding Mr. Brown's
L8	suitability to hold a non-key gaming
19	employee permit based on provisions of
20	Louisiana Revised Statue 27:28.A(1) and
21	2, as the hearing officer indicated that
22	the determination was not needed at the
23	time of the issuance of his decision and
24	order.
25	The Division argued on the date of
	71
1	the hearing and reurges to date that if
2	ever there was a case where a person was
3	not of good character, honesty and
4	integrity, we feel that this is that
5	case. The evidence at hearing has
6	clearly shown that Mr. Brown committed
7	an act involving prurient interest and
8	the bodies of innocent women, and even
9	more disturbing, innocent children, and
LO	that in and of itself is enough to find
l1	an individual a danger and threat to the
L2	public interest of this state; but what
13	further calls into question Mr. Brown's
L4	character is that he took the stand and
L5	lied about his actions.

16	There's no way to sugarcoat. I'm
17	asking this Board to consider that Mr.
18	Brown challenged statements by not one,
19	not two, but several officers who made
20	independent statements regarding what
21	Mr. Brown told them that he was going to
22	do with those photographs. Mr. Brown
23	repeatedly denied making those
24	statements on the stand, so much so that
25	Hearing Officer Reynolds allowed the
	72
1	matter be recessed, and when the matter
2	resumed, the Division was able to obtain
3	and play an audio confession of Mr.
4	Brown admitting to the very prurient
5	intent that was spelled out in the
6	officer's statements.
7	There is no doubt that we, as a
8	Division, and you, as a Board, are
9	charged with protecting the State's
10	interest. The Division feels strongly
11	that having a non-key gaming employee
12	permit is a privilege that should not be
13	afforded to Dennis Brown.
14	Based on these reasons, the Division
15	respectfully requests that this Board
16	uphold Hearing Officer Reynolds'
17	decision by revoking the non-key gaming
18	employee permit issued to Dennis Brown

19	not based on his pending charges but
20	based on the provisions of Louisiana
21	Revised Statute 27:28.A and because of
22	the evidence presented during
23	Mr. Brown's hearing. Mr. Brown has not
24	demonstrated that he is a person of good
25	character, honesty and integrity.
	73
1	In the alternative, we would pray
2	that this Board remand this matter to
3	the hearing officer for the proper
4	introduction and consideration of new
5	evidence submitted by Mr. Brown, as well
6	as consideration of the Division's
7	prayer that Mr. Brown be found
8	unsuitable based on provisions of
9	Louisiana Revised Statute 27:28.D, which
10	does allow for the hearing officer, in
11	making a suitability determination, to
12	consider evidence of or related to an
13	arrest, summons, charge or indictment or
14	the dismissal thereof, even if that
15	arrest, summons, charge or indictment
16	results in acquittal, deferred
17	adjudication, probation, parole or
18	pardon.
19	CHAIRMAN JONES: And that would also
20	include consideration of a criminal
21	charge filed outside the State of

- 22 Louisiana --
- 23 MR. HEBERT: Yes.
- 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: -- in your opinion?
- 25 MR. HEBERT: Yes, it would.

- 1 CHAIRMAN JONES: Any questions,
- 2 Board Members? Do I have a motion to
- 3 either remand or affirm?
- 4 MAJOR MERCER: I vote we affirm the
- 5 hearing officer.
- 6 CHAIRMAN JONES: We have a motion to
- 7 affirm.
- 8 MR. STIPE: Yes. That's his motion.
- 9 CHAIRMAN JONES: To affirm?
- 10 MAJOR MERCER: Yes.
- 11 CHAIRMAN JONES: We have a motion to
- 12 affirm from Mr. Mercer. Do I have a
- 13 second?
- 14 MS. NOONAN: I'll second.
- 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: Miss Noonan. All
- in favor? [Collective "aye."] Opposed?
- 17 No one opposed, the motion carries. The
- 18 decision is affirmed.
- 19 7. In Re: Sheila R. Mosby No. PO40060186
- 20 (appeal)
- 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: Next is in regard
- 22 to Sheila R. Mosby, No. PO40060186.
- 23 Good morning.
- 24 MS. MOSBY: Good morning.

25	CHAIRMAN JONES: Please have a seat
	75
1	at the table and just in your own words
2	explain to us why your matter should be
3	reconsidered without introducing a lot
4	of new evidence, okay? What's changed
5	since then that you can share with the
6	Board?
7	MS. MOSBY: Well, just like I stated
8	before, they had placed me in a program
9	where they wasn't going to contact me or
10	they shouldn't because the program that
11	I was in, they said that if I got any
12	return, they'll just take that. I felt
13	like I felt like that no one should
14	have contact me. My license was already
15	renewed, and also in the process of
16	renewing your license, you had to take
17	paperwork to show that you okay with
18	your taxes and that it was it was in
19	good standards.
20	And so I contact the IRS that they
21	sent me the papers, and we was going
22	back and forth. And I talked to them
23	and told them my situation, and I just
24	felt like he should have found all that
25	out, you know. So I just and, you

know, in the process of working midnight

2	shift and also working a part-time job,
3	it was just hard.
4	CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. All right.
5	If it's any consolation, I've dealt with
6	the IRS. I share your pain. Okay?
7	Mr. Hebert.
8	MR. HEBERT: Yes. Christopher
9	Hebert representing the Division in this
10	matter.
11	The issue this morning is whether
12	Hearing Officer Reynolds was correct in
13	ordering that Miss Mosby pay a \$250
14	civil penalty for her failure to remain
15	current in the filing and/or payment of
16	all taxes owed and ordering Miss Mosby's
17	permit be suspended if that civil
18	penalty was not paid within 30 days.
19	The Division contends that the
20	hearing officer's order should be
21	affirmed. The law provides that an
22	applicant for a license or a permit
23	shall remain current in the filing
24	and/or payment of taxes owed. The law
25	also provides that it is the
	77
1	responsibility of the gaming employee to
2	remain current in the payment and/or
3	filing of taxes owed.

On June 30th of 2014, the Division

5	was notified that Miss Mosby was
6	delinquent in the payment and/or filing
7	of her federal taxes and returns, and by
8	letter dated July 14th, 2014, the
9	Division notified Miss Mosby of her tax
LO	problem and advised that if the tax
l 1	problem was not corrected within 30
12	days, that her permit would be
13	recommended for revocation, suspension
L4	and/or imposition of a civil penalty.
L 5	That letter was returned unclaimed
16	but subsequently served by personal
L7	service on September 18th, 2014. It
18	wasn't until October 30th of 2014, which
19	was more than 30 days after Miss Mosby
20	received the notice, that she was, in
21	fact, granted her tax clearance.
22	The hearing officer has consistently
23	ordered the suspension of a permit and
24	the imposition of a \$250 civil penalty
25	when a permittee has failed to timely
	78
1	receive a federal tax clearance. The
2	case at hand is no different than any of
3	the other tax delinquency violations
4	which have resulted in the ordering of a
5	payment of a \$250 civil penalty, and the
6	suspension of the permit if the penalty
7	is not paid within 30 days until such

8	time that that penalty or the
9	payment, excuse me, is made.
10	And based on those reasons, the
11	Division would respectfully request that
12	this Board affirm the hearing officer's

- 13 decision.
- 14 CHAIRMAN JONES: Miss Noonan.
- 15 MS. NOONAN: Miss Mosby, you do
- 16 understand that -- well, let me ask you
- 17 this question: Are you questioning that
- 18 they charge you the \$250 and/or the
- 19 license or both?
- 20 MS. MOSBY: I guess I'm disputing
- 21 the fact that I shouldn't had to go
- 22 through this anyway, and being that the
- 23 IRS didn't, they didn't do their job in
- 24 a timely manner, it kind of -- it kind
- 25 of put me behind, as well. So by the

- 1 time I got all the information to show
- 2 that everything was under control, it
- 3 was too late.
- 4 So I didn't feel like I should have
- 5 to pay the \$250.
- 6 MS. NOONAN: And just like Chairman
- 7 Jones, I've had to deal with the IRS. I
- 8 feel your pain.
- 9 Have there been any other issues
- 10 with Miss Mosby in the past, Mr. Hebert?

- 11 MR. HEBERT: None that I know of. 12 MS. NOONAN: And have you remained current in everything else? 13 14 MS. MOSBY: Yes, ma'am. MS. NOONAN: Thank you. 15 16 CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Stipe. 17 MR. STIPE: Ma'am, the Division sent 18 you a letter July 14th. Why was it 19 unclaimed? 20 MS. MOSBY: I didn't get it. 21 MR. STIPE: It was sent to 906 North 22 36th Street? 23 MS. MOSBY: That's what they said. 24 MR. STIPE: I guess my point that I 25 make generally is: The Division has a 80 1 lot of -- speaking to you but I guess to 2 a larger audience, I mean, the Division 3 has a lot of people, a lot of 4 individuals that they regulate. They 5 have a lot of institutions and a lot of 6 entities, a lot of facilities that they
- people getting the mail at the address
 that they've been given, and they really
 don't have the resources to go out and
 personally deliver all of these notices
 to people.

 MS. MOSBY: Like I said before, I

have to regulate. I think they rely on

14	was also working midnight shift and a
15	part-time job during the day.
16	MR. STIPE: I mean, that's the right
17	address, though, isn't it?
18	MS. MOSBY: Yes, sir, it is.
19	CHAIRMAN JONES: We have a motion, I
20	understand?
21	MR. BRADFORD: I do.
22	CHAIRMAN JONES: Any further
23	questions? No other questions.
24	MR. BRADFORD: Mr. Hebert, you
25	eloquently won me over on the Dennis
	81
1	Brown case, but I'm going to move to
2	remand this one to the hearing officer
3	for new evidence to be presented.
4	MS. NOONAN: And I'll second that.
5	CHAIRMAN JONES: We have a motion to
6	remand by Mr. Bradford and a second by
7	Miss Noonan. All in favor?
8	MR. HEBERT: I would just ask for a
9	clarification regarding what new
10	evidence that would be. At the time of
11	the hearing
12	MR. BRADFORD: Reconsideration of
13	the evidence, I guess. It may not be
14	new evidence.
15	CHARIMAN JONES: Okay. We've

rephrased the motion. The motion is

- 17 reconsideration of the case. We'll
- 18 remand. There's a motion to remand and
- 19 a second. All in favor? [Collective
- 20 "aye."]
- 21 MS. SMITH: If you remand, they can
- 22 consider new evidence.
- 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: Correct. Yes,
- absolutely, but he's not suggesting in
- the motion any new evidence, all right?

- 1 It's just a reconsider the case and
- 2 whatever can be presented at that time.
- 3 MS. SMITH: Well, the reason for
- 4 clarification, reconsideration means
- 5 only what they have.
- 6 CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. So I'm using
- 7 the wrong term -- the wrong legal term.
- 8 MS. SMITH: It would just be remand,
- 9 if there's new evidence, and to
- 10 reconsider.
- 11 CHAIRMAN JONES: Thank you for my
- 12 legal advice. I will not use the word
- anymore.
- 14 May I call for a vote now? All in
- favor? [Collective "aye."] Opposed?
- 16 [No response.] It shall be remanded.
- 17 Thank you.
- 18 8. In Re: Gene A. Pyron No. PO40056749 (appeal)
- 19 CHAIRMAN JONES: And finally, in

20	regard to Gene A. Pyron, that's No.
21	PO40056749. Is Gene Pyron with us?
22	There does not appear to be anyone here.
23	Mr. Hebert.
24	MR. HEBERT: Again, Christopher
25	Hebert representing the Division in the
	83
1	matter of Gene Pyron.
2	The issue in this matter is whether
3	the hearing officer was correct in
4	revoking the non-key employee permit of
5	Gene Pyron based on his impending charge
6	of theft of goods. The Division
7	contends that the hearing officer's
8	order revoking the non-key gaming
9	employee permit issued to Mr. Pyron
10	should be affirmed.
11	On the date of the revocation
12	hearing, the charge for theft of goods,
13	a misdemeanor, was pending against
14	Mr. Pyron. Mr. Pyron was therefore
15	unsuitable for and disqualified from
16	possessing a non-key gaming employee
17	permit pursuant to Louisiana law.
18	Mr. Pyron, nor anybody on his behalf,
19	appeared at the hearing. No evidence
20	was provided by Mr. Pyron to contradict
21	the evidence or testimony provided by
22	the Division regarding the pending theft

23	charge.
24	In a letter dated January 23rd,
25	2015, Mr. Pyron requested an appeal
	84
1	before this board. On February 13th,
2	2015, the Division received a copy of
3	court minutes from the Bossier City
4	Court indicating that the charge of
5	theft of goods was nolle prossed on
6	January 13, 2015. This evidence was not
7	submitted during the administrative
8	hearing in this matter and was not
9	considered in the hearing officer's
10	decision. Therefore, this evidence is
11	new evidence and should not be
12	considered by this Board.
13	Based on those reasons, the Division
14	respectfully requests that this Board
15	uphold the hearing officer's decision by
16	revoking the non-key gaming employee
17	permit issued to Mr. Pyron, or in the
18	alternative, that the Board remand this
19	matter to the hearing officer for the
20	proper introduction and consideration of
21	new evidence.
22	CHAIRMAN JONES: And you have
23	received the documents indicating that
24	the charge was nolle prossed?
25	MR. HEBERT: Yes.

1	CHAIRMAN JONES: Any questions? Do
2	I have a motion?
3	MR. JACKSON: I make a motion we
4	remand this.
5	CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Jackson
6	recommends excuse me, moves for a
7	remand. Second?
8	MS. NOONAN: I'll second.
9	CHAIRMAN JONES: Second by Miss
10	Noonan. All in favor of the motion?
11	[Collective "aye."] Opposition? [No
12	response.] It shall be remanded. Thank
13	you very much.
14	VIII. ADJOURNMENT
15	CHAIRMAN JONES: There being no
16	further business, do I have a motion to
17	adjourn?
18	MS. NOONAN: I'll move.
19	CHAIRMAN JONES: Miss Noonan and
20	Mr. Jackson. All in favor? [Collective
21	"aye."] Opposition? We shall stand
22	adjourned.
23	
24	
25	
	86
1	REPORTER'S PAGE

3	I, SHELLEY PAROLA, Certified Shorthand
4	Reporter, in and for the State of Louisiana, the
5	officer before whom this sworn testimony was
6	taken, do hereby state:
7	That due to the spontaneous discourse of this
8	proceeding, where necessary, dashes () have been
9	used to indicate pauses, changes in thought,
LO	and/or talkovers; that same is the proper method
L1	for a Court Reporter's transcription of a
L2	proceeding, and that dashes () do not indicate
L3	that words or phrases have been left out of this
L4	transcript;
L5	That any words and/or names which could not
L6	be verified through reference materials have been
L7	denoted with the word "(phonetic)."
18	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	SHELLEY PAROLA
	Certified Court Reporter #96001
25	Registered Professional Reporter
	87
1	STATE OF LOUISIANA
2	PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE
3	I, Shelley G. Parola, Certified Court

4 Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter, do

5	hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and
6	correct transcript of the proceedings given under
7	oath in the preceding matter on March 19th, 2015,
8	as taken by me in Stenographic machine shorthand,
9	complemented with magnetic tape recording, and
10	thereafter reduced to transcript, to the best of
11	my ability and understanding, using Computer-Aided
12	Transcription.
13	I further certify that I am not an
14	attorney or counsel for any of the parties, that I
15	am neither related to nor employed by any attorney
16	or counsel connected with this action, and that I
17	have no financial interest in the outcome of this
18	action.
19	Baton Rouge, Louisiana, this 21st day of
20	April, 2015.
21	
22	

SHELLEY G. PAROLA, CCR, RPR

CERTIFICATE NO. 96001

24