

LGCB Board of Directors' Meeting, (Pages 1:1 to 59:24)

1:1 LOUISIANA GAMING CONTROL BOARD

2

3 BOARD OF DIRECTORS' MEETING

4

5

6

7

8 THURSDAY, JUNE 20, 2019

9

10 House Committee Room 1

11 Louisiana State Capitol

12 900 North Third Street

13 Baton Rouge, Louisiana

14

15

16

17 TIME: 10:00 A.M.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

1 APPEARANCES

2

RONNIE JONES

3 Chairman (At Large)

June 30, 2019

4

5 JAMES SINGLETON

(Public/Business Administration)

6 Second Congressional District

June 30, 2020

7

8 JULIE BERRY

(CPA)

9 Third Congressional District

June 30, 2024

10

11 CLAUDE D. JACKSON

(At Large)

12 Fourth Congressional District

June 30, 2021

13

14 ELTON LAGASSE

(At Large)

15 First Congressional District

June 30, 2021

16

17 HARRY AVANT

(At Large)

18 Fourth Congressional District

June 30, 2024

19

20 O. LAMAR POOLE, JR.  
(Economic/Community Planner)

21 Sixth Congressional District  
June 30, 2019

22

23 MAJOR CHUCK MCNEIL  
Louisiana State Police

24

25

3

1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED

2

3

4 MICHAEL LEGENDRE  
Louisiana Department of Revenue

5

6 TRUDY SMITH  
Confidential Assistant

7

8 REPORTED BY:

9 SHELLEY G. PAROLA, CSR, RPR  
Baton Rouge Court Reporters

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

4

1	PAGE
2 I. CALL TO ORDER	6
3 II. PUBLIC COMMENTS	7
4 III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES	7
5 IV. REVENUE REPORTS	8
6 V. CASINO GAMING ISSUES	
7 A. Consideration of Certificate of	
8 Compliance for the Alternate	
9 Riverboat Inspection of the	
10 gaming vessel of Louisiana	
11 Riverboat Gaming Partnership	
12 d/b/a DiamondJacks	
13 Casino & Resort -	
14 No. R010800195	14
15 B. Consideration of Certificate of	
16 Compliance for the Alternate	
17 Riverboat Inspection of the	
18 gaming vessel of Belle of	
19 Orleans, LLC, d/b/a Amelia	

20 Belle Casino - No. R013600020 18

21 C. Consideration of Petition for  
22 Approval of Transfer of  
23 Interests Among Affiliated  
24 Entities by Louisiana Riverboat  
25 Gaming Partnership d/b/a

5

1 PAGE

2 DiamondJacks Casino & Resort  
3 - No. R010800195 20

4 VI. CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED  
SETTLEMENT & REHEARING

5

6 1. In Re: Silvy, LLC, d/b/a Comet  
7 Inn - No. 2600115770  
8 (settlement) 40

9 2. In Re: Tork Choun - No.  
10 PO40054465 (rehearing) 42

11 VII. ADJOURNMENT 56

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22  
23  
24  
25

6

1 I. CALL TO ORDER

2 CHAIRMAN JONES: Good morning, and  
3 welcome to the June meeting of the  
4 Louisiana Gaming Control Board.

5 Miss Smith, would you call the roll.

6 MS. SMITH: Chairman Jones?

7 CHAIRMAN JONES: Here.

8 MS. SMITH: Mr. Avant?

9 MR. AVANT: Here.

10 MS. SMITH: Miss Berry?

11 MS. BERRY: Here.

12 MS. SMITH: Mr. Jackson?

13 MR. JACKSON: Here.

14 MS. SMITH: Mr. Lagasse?

15 MR. LAGASSE: Here.

16 MS. SMITH: Mr. Patrick? [No  
17 response.]

18 Mr. Poole?

19 MR. POOLE: Here.

20 MS. SMITH: Mr. Singleton?

21 MR. SINGLETON: Here.

22 MS. SMITH: Mr. Stipe? [No  
23 response.]

24 Colonel Reeves?

25 MAJOR MCNEIL: Major McNeil for

7

1 Colonel Reeves.

2 MS. SMITH: Secretary Robinson?

3 MR. LEGENDRE: Michael Legendre for

4 Secretary Robinson.

5 CHAIRMAN JONES: And we have a

6 quorum. We may conduct business. I

7 know Mr. Stipe called this morning. He

8 is stuck on Interstate 10 somewhere

9 between here and Lafayette and behind a

10 couple 18-wheelers that go tied up next

11 to each other. So he may come in later,

12 or he may not make it at all.

13 I would just ask with respect to

14 mobile devices, if you would put them on

15 silent. If you have a call, just take

16 it outside.

## 17 II. PUBLIC COMMENTS

18 CHAIRMAN JONES: At this time, we

19 offer the opportunity for anyone who has

20 any public comment on any matter on the

21 posted agenda. [No response.] No

22 public comment.

## 23 III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

24 CHAIRMAN JONES: We'll move to

25 Approval of the Minutes. Do I have a

8

1 motion to waive reading and approve the

2 minutes?

3 Miss Berry.

4 MR. SINGLETON: Second.

5 CHAIRMAN JONES: And a second by

6 Mr. Singleton.

7 Any objection? [No response.]

8 Without objection, the motion

9 carries. The minutes are approved.

#### 10 IV. REVENUE REPORTS

11 CHAIRMAN JONES: I now call Revenue

12 Reports. Good morning.

13 MS. JACKSON: Chairman Jones, Board

14 Members, my name is Donna Jackson with

15 Louisiana State Police Gaming

16 Enforcement Division.

17 Before presenting this month's

18 revenues, I wanted to state for the

19 record that the Division issued revised

20 revenue figures due to an error

21 discovered after last month's board

22 meeting. The revision resulted in a

23 \$1,287,000 reduction of riverboat

24 revenues from \$1,47.8 million to \$146.5

25 million and a corresponding reduction in

9

1 fees due of \$276,205. The revised

2 report is included in your chart folders

3 and has been posted to the website.

4 Moving on to the current month, the



5 15 operating riverboats generated  
6 Adjusted Gross Receipts of \$160,060,019  
7 in May. This total represents an  
8 overall increase from last month of  
9 \$13.6 million or 9 percent, but a  
10 decrease of \$4.9 million or 3 percent  
11 from last May.

12 Adjusted Gross Receipts for fiscal  
13 year 2018-2019 to date are almost  
14 \$1,712,000,000, a decrease of \$46  
15 million or 3 percent from fiscal year  
16 2017-2018.

17 During May, the State collected fees  
18 totaling \$34,412,904. As of May 31st,  
19 2019, the State has collected  
20 \$368 million in fees for fiscal year  
21 2018-2019.

22 Next is a summary of the May 2019  
23 gaming activity for Harrah's New Orleans  
24 found on page three. During May,  
25 Harrah's generated \$26,406,783 in gross

10

1 gaming revenue, an increase of \$3  
2 million or 13 percent from last month,  
3 but a decrease of 2 percent from last  
4 May.

5 Revenues for fiscal year 2018-2019  
6 to date are \$269 million, an increase of  
7 \$4.3 million or 2 percent from fiscal

8 year 2017-2018. During May, the State  
9 received \$5,081,967 in minimum daily  
10 payments. As of May 31st, 2019, the  
11 State has collected \$58 million in fees  
12 for fiscal year 2018-2019.

13 Next I'll present the revenues for  
14 Slots at the Racetracks. During May,  
15 the four racetrack facilities combined  
16 generated Adjusted Gross Receipts of  
17 \$31,503,037, an increase of \$3 million  
18 or 11 percent from last month, and an  
19 increase of \$800,000 or 2.7 percent from  
20 May 2018.

21 Adjusted Gross Receipts for fiscal  
22 year 2018-2019 to date are \$325 million,  
23 an increase of \$4 million -- I'm sorry,  
24 an increase of \$4 million or 1 percent  
25 from fiscal year 2017-2018. Sorry about

11

1 that.

2 During May, the State collected  
3 \$4,779,011 in fees. As of May 31st,  
4 2019, the State has collected  
5 \$49 million in fees for fiscal year  
6 2018-2019.

7 Overall in May, Riverboats,  
8 Landbased and Slots at the Racetracks  
9 combined generated \$218,000,000 in AGR  
10 and \$44 million in state fees. These

11 revenues represent a decrease from  
12 May 2018 of \$4.6 million or 2 percent.

13 Are there any questions before I  
14 present the Harrah's employee  
15 information?

16 CHAIRMAN JONES: Board Members, any  
17 questions? [No response.]

18 The board is clear.

19 MS. JACKSON: Harrah's New Orleans  
20 is required to maintain at least 2,400  
21 employees and a bi-weekly payroll of  
22 \$1,750,835. This report covers pay  
23 periods in May 2019. For the first pay  
24 period, the Division verified 2,516  
25 employees with a payroll of \$2,192,000.

12

1 For the second pay period, the Division  
2 verified 2,563 employees with a payroll  
3 of \$2,218,000. For the third pay  
4 period, the Division verified 2,551  
5 employees with a payroll of \$2,187,000.  
6 Therefore, Harrah's met the employment  
7 criteria during May.

8 CHAIRMAN JONES: Board Members, any  
9 questions? [No response.]

10 No questions.

11 MS. JACKSON: Thank you.

12 CHAIRMAN JONES: Thank you.

13 Good morning.

14 MR. BOSSIER: Good morning, Chairman  
15 Jones and Board Members. My name is Jim  
16 Bossier with the Louisiana State Police  
17 Gaming Enforcement Division here to  
18 report video gaming statistics for  
19 May 2019 as shown on page one of your  
20 handout.

21 Twelve new video gaming licenses  
22 were issued during May: Seven bars,  
23 four restaurants and one device owner's.  
24 Eleven new applications were received by  
25 the Gaming Enforcement Division during

13

1 May and are currently pending in the  
2 field: Six bars and five restaurants.

3 The Gaming Enforcement Division  
4 assessed \$8,764, collected \$8,859 in  
5 fines during May, and there are  
6 currently \$3,350 in outstanding fines.  
7 Please refer to page two of your  
8 handout.

9 There are presently 13,013 video  
10 gaming licenses activated at 1,665  
11 locations.

12 Net device revenue for May 2019 was  
13 \$54.2 million, a \$1.1 million, or  
14 2.1 percent, increase when compared to  
15 April 2019, and a \$3.6 million increase,  
16 or 7.1 percent, when compared to May

17 2018.

18 Net device revenue so far for fiscal  
19 year 2019 is \$560.8 million, a  
20 \$26.3 million, or 4.9 percent, increase  
21 when compared to fiscal year 2018. Page  
22 three of your handout shows a comparison  
23 of net device revenue.

24 Total franchise fees collected for  
25 May 2019 were \$16.2 million, a \$300,000

14

1 increase when compared to April 2019,  
2 and a \$1.1 million increase when  
3 compared to May 2018.

4 Total franchise fees collected for  
5 fiscal year 2019 are \$167.9 million, a  
6 \$7.8 million increase, or 4.9 percent,  
7 when compared to fiscal year 2018. Page  
8 four of your handout shows a comparison  
9 of net device -- excuse me, page four of  
10 your handout shows a comparison of  
11 franchise fees.

12 Does anybody have any questions?

13 CHAIRMAN JONES: Board Members, any  
14 questions on video poker? [No  
15 response.]

16 There are no questions. Thank you  
17 very much.

18 V. CASINO GAMING ISSUES

19 A. Consideration of Certificate of Compliance

20 for the Alternate Riverboat Inspection of  
21 the gaming vessel of Louisiana Riverboat  
22 Gaming Partnership d/b/a DiamondJacks Casino  
23 & Resort - No. R010800195

24 CHAIRMAN JONES: We'll now move to  
25 Casino Gaming Issues, and first up is,

15

1 Consideration of Certificate of  
2 Compliance for the Alternate Riverboat  
3 Inspection of gaming vessel Louisiana  
4 Riverboat Gaming Partnership doing  
5 business as DiamondJacks Casino &  
6 Resort, No. R010800195.

7 Good morning.

8 MS. LANDRY: Good morning, Chairman  
9 Jones and Board Members. I'm Assistant  
10 Attorney General Lisha Landry. With me  
11 is Mr. Jeff Boyle of ABSC. We're here  
12 in the matter of issuance of the  
13 Certificate of Compliance to Louisiana  
14 Riverboat Gaming Partnership doing  
15 business as DiamondJacks Casino &  
16 Resort.

17 On April 11th, 2019, ABSC began the  
18 inspection process for the approval of  
19 DiamondJacks Casino's Certificate of  
20 Compliance. For more details on this  
21 inspection, I now turn the presentation  
22 over to Mr. Boyle.

23 MR. BOYLE: Good morning, Chairman  
24 Jones and Board Members. My name is  
25 Jeff Boyle with ABS Consulting here to

16

1 report on the annual certification for  
2 DiamondJacks Casino.

3 The inspectors, Doug Chapman and  
4 Pete Bullard, did, on April 11th, attend  
5 the riverboat, the Margaret Mary, to  
6 conduct the annual inspection in  
7 accordance with the Alternate Inspection  
8 Program. The inspectors reviewed fire  
9 protection measures, egress routes,  
10 observed emergency lighting, tested the  
11 emergency generator, inspected the  
12 mooring system and conducted a fire  
13 drill. The deficiencies are noted on  
14 page six, seven and eight of your  
15 report.

16 A follow-up inspection was done on  
17 May 9th and May 30th, and all items are  
18 considered satisfactory.

19 The 2019th annual survey as required  
20 by the Louisiana Gaming Control Board is  
21 now complete and presents no safety  
22 concerns to its patrons or employees on  
23 the riverboat. It is the recommendation  
24 of ABSG that DiamondJacks Casino be  
25 issued the Certificate of Compliance.

1 MS. LANDRY: We now present these  
2 findings to the Board and request that  
3 upon the Board's accepting the report  
4 submitted by ABSC, you will move for the  
5 issuance of DiamondJacks Casino's  
6 Certificate of Compliance.

7 CHAIRMAN JONES: Thank you. I  
8 understand the licensee would like to  
9 make a few comments before we -- before  
10 we move on.

11 Are there any questions at this  
12 point of the A.G. or ABSC? [No  
13 response.]

14 That's going to be later on the  
15 agenda, excuse me.

16 All right, so are there any  
17 questions? [No response.] There are no  
18 questions.

19 Do I have a motion to issue the  
20 Certificate of Compliance?

21 MR. LAGASSE: Sir?

22 CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Lagasse.

23 Are there any objections? [No  
24 response.]

25 Without objection, the motion

1 carries, and we'll hear from  
2 DiamondJacks later. Thank you.



3 B. Consideration of Certificate of Compliance for  
4 the Alternate Riverboat Inspection of the  
5 gaming vessel of Belle of Orleans, LLC, d/b/a  
6 Amelia Belle Casino - No. R013600020.

7 CHAIRMAN JONES: We'll now move to,  
8 Consideration of Certificate of  
9 Compliance for the Alternate Riverboat  
10 Inspection of the gaming vessel Belle of  
11 Orleans, LLC, doing business as Amelia  
12 Belle Casino, No. R013600020.

13 MS. LANDRY: Assistant Attorney  
14 General Lisha Landry and Jeff Boyle of  
15 ABSC now here in the matter of the  
16 issuance of the Certificate of  
17 Compliance for Belle of Orleans, LLC,  
18 doing business as Amelia Belle Casino.

19 On May 8th, 2019, ABSC began the  
20 inspection process for the approval of  
21 Amelia Belle Casino's Certificate of  
22 Compliance. For more details on this  
23 inspection, I now turn the presentation  
24 over to Mr. Boyle.

25 MR. BOYLE: Chairman Jones and Board

19

1 Members, my name is Jeff Boyle with ABS  
2 Consulting here to report on the annual  
3 certification for Amelia Belle Casino.

4 The inspectors, Doug Chapman and  
5 Pete Bullard, did, on May 8th, attend

6 the riverboat Belle of Orleans to  
7 conduct the annual inspection in  
8 accordance with the Alternate Inspection  
9 Program.

10 The inspectors reviewed fire  
11 protection, egress routes, observed  
12 emergency lighting, tested emergency  
13 generator, inspected the mooring system  
14 and conducted a fire drill. A few items  
15 were noted during the inspection but all  
16 were corrected before the inspection  
17 team departed the riverboat.

18 The 2019th annual survey, as  
19 required by the Louisiana Gaming Control  
20 Board, is complete and presents no  
21 safety concerns to its patrons or  
22 employees on the riverboat. It is the  
23 recommendation of ABS Consulting that  
24 Amelia Belle be issued the Certificate  
25 of Compliance.

20

1 MS. LANDRY: We now present these  
2 findings to the Board and request that  
3 upon the Board's accepting the report  
4 submitted by ABSC, you'll move for the  
5 issuance of the Certificate of  
6 Compliance for Amelia Belle Casino.

7 CHAIRMAN JONES: Board Members, any  
8 questions with respect to this

9 application? [No response.]

10 There are no questions.

11 MR. SINGLETON: Move.

12 CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Singleton -- I  
13 have a motion and a second to issue the  
14 Certificate of Compliance.

15 Any objection? [No response.]

16 COURT REPORTER: Who was the second?

17 CHAIRMAN JONES: Mr. Singleton and  
18 Mr. Avant.

19 Thank you.

20 MS. LANDRY: Thank you.

21 C. Consideration of Petition for Approval of  
22 Transfer of Interests Among Affiliated Entities  
23 by Louisiana Riverboat Gaming Partnership d/b/a  
24 DiamondJacks Casino & Resort - No. R010800195

25 CHAIRMAN JONES: Now we move to the

21

1 second -- second part of DiamondJacks.

2 We have, Consideration of Petition for  
3 Approval of the Transfer of Interests  
4 Among Affiliated Entities by Louisiana  
5 Riverboat Gaming Partnership doing  
6 business as DiamondJacks Casino &  
7 Resort, No. R010800195.

8 Good morning.

9 MS. MOORE: Good morning, Chairman  
10 Jones, Members of the Board. I'm  
11 Assistant Attorney General Charmaine

12 Moore appearing in the matter of the  
13 Petition of Louisiana Riverboat Gaming  
14 Partnership doing business as  
15 DiamondJacks Casino & Resort seeking the  
16 Board's approval of the transfer of its  
17 parent company, Legends Holding, LLC,  
18 from PGP Investors, LLC, to Peninsula  
19 Pacific Entertainment, LLC.

20 Legends Holding is a wholly owned  
21 subsidiary of PGP Investors and  
22 indirectly holds a 100 percent interest  
23 in the licensee. Peninsula Pacific  
24 Entertainment, LLC, is a wholly owned  
25 subsidiary of Peninsula Pacific

22

1 Entertainment Intermediate Holdings,  
2 LLC, which, in turn, is a wholly owned  
3 subsidiary of Peninsula Pacific  
4 Entertainment Holdings, LLC. That  
5 entity is owned 85.3 -- 84.3 percent by  
6 PGP Investors, LLC, and 15.7 percent by  
7 other investors and employees, none of  
8 whom own more than 5 percent.

9 All three entities were formed for  
10 the purpose of consolidating PGP  
11 Investors' gaming properties under one  
12 funding source. The proposed transfer  
13 will be made in the form of a capital  
14 contribution from PGP Investors to

15 Pacific -- to Peninsula Pacific  
16 Entertainment. The proposed transfer of  
17 an indirect interest in this licensee is  
18 both the transfer of an ownership  
19 interest greater than 5 percent and a  
20 change of control. As such, prior Board  
21 approval is required.

22 Our office has reviewed the petition  
23 for approval and the act of contribution  
24 of membership interest relative to the  
25 proposed transaction, and upon

23

1 consideration of the applicable statutes  
2 and rules and review of the documents,  
3 our office finds no legal impediment to  
4 the approval of the transfer and  
5 contribution of one hundred percent of  
6 the membership interest in Legends  
7 Holding, LLC, to Peninsula Pacific  
8 Entertainment, LLC.

9 A proposed Resolution has been  
10 submitted for the Board's consideration.

11 MS. FICKLIN: Morning, Mr. Chairman,  
12 and Board Members. I'm Evie Ficklin.  
13 I'm a gaming auditor with Louisiana  
14 State Police.

15 Ultimately parent, PGP Investors,  
16 identified as PGPI is classified as an  
17 investment company. Investments in

18 PGPI's portfolio for years 2016, 2017  
19 and 2018 are identified in the schedules  
20 of investments shown on pages 16 and 17  
21 of our report.

22 Legends Holding, DiamondJacks'  
23 indirect parent, has been an investment  
24 in PGPI's portfolio since PGPI acquired  
25 Legends and its subsidiaries in

24

1 June 2016. Peninsula Pacific  
2 Entertainment, LLC, or P2E, PGPI's newly  
3 formed subsidiary and the transferee in  
4 the proposed transaction, is shown as an  
5 investment in PGPI's 2018 portfolio.

6 Currently, PGPI directly owns one  
7 hundred percent of the equity interest  
8 in Legends and indirectly owns one  
9 hundred percent of DiamondJacks.

10 PGPI is requesting to transfer,  
11 through an act of contribution, its  
12 equity interest in Legends and its  
13 subsidiaries, including DiamondJacks, to  
14 P2E. PGPI's primary reasons for seeking  
15 to transfer these entities to P2E  
16 include the following: Currently, P2E  
17 is better situated to provide  
18 DiamondJacks' financial needs than PGPI.  
19 DiamondJacks has had financial  
20 challenges that have required cash

21 infusions from PGPI to maintain  
22 operations.

23 Most recently in 2018, PGPI invested  
24 \$6.3 million in Legends which, in turn,  
25 used the cash infusion to fund

25

1 DiamondJacks' maintenance, capital  
2 expenditures and expenses and pursue the  
3 strategic alternatives and to support  
4 DiamondJacks during its financial  
5 shortfalls due to operating losses.

6 PGPI management stated in its  
7 April 15th, 2019, correspondence that  
8 P2E has sufficient and readily available  
9 cash and allowances to support Legends,  
10 i.e., DiamondJacks' liquidity needs over  
11 the short to mid-term. Secondly, the  
12 primary purpose PGPI formed P2E on  
13 February 7th, 2019, was to consolidate  
14 PGPI's wholly owned gaming properties,  
15 including DiamondJacks, under one  
16 company, P2E.

17 Finally, in light of DiamondJacks'  
18 existing financial challenges requiring  
19 cash infusions and its as yet  
20 undetermined redevelopment  
21 opportunities, Legends as an investment  
22 does not present the criteria an  
23 investment generally requires to solicit

24 support and periodic capital raises from  
25 investors. Currently, P2E holds the

26

1 membership interest in one PGPI wholly  
2 owned gaming property, Colonial Downs  
3 Racetrack, a horse racing facility in  
4 New Kent, Virginia. P2E acquired  
5 Colonial Downs in November 2018 for  
6 \$23 million and estimates the cost to  
7 renovate the facility and the buildout  
8 of the five-plan satellite wagering  
9 facilities at \$300 million. Colonial  
10 Downs on-site satellite wagering  
11 facilities opened in April 2019 with  
12 live horse racing scheduled later this  
13 year in August.

14 P2E has a \$270 million credit  
15 agreement. Provide -- funding provided  
16 by the term loans is restricted to P2E's  
17 Virginia operations; however, P2E is  
18 allowed under the terms of its credit  
19 agreement to utilize equity and cash  
20 generated by P2E to provide cash  
21 infusions to Legends and DiamondJacks.  
22 In connection with P2E's credit  
23 agreement, Legends Holding and its  
24 subsidiaries are classified as  
25 unrestricted subsidiaries under that

27



1 credit agreement and as such are neither  
2 guarantors of the debt nor are they  
3 required to pledge membership or  
4 partnership interest. DiamondJacks  
5 projections for 2019 are provided in  
6 Attachment A.

7 Subsequent to our review of the  
8 financial and legal documentation  
9 related to the transfer of interest in  
10 this transaction, no financial matters  
11 came to our attention to preclude the  
12 Board's approval of the transfer of  
13 equity interest in Legends and its  
14 subsidiaries from PGPI to P2E.

15 Investigation Specialist Michelle  
16 O'Quinn will now present the results of  
17 her investigation.

18 MS. O'QUINN: Good morning, Mr.  
19 Chairman and Board Members. My name is  
20 Michelle O'Quinn, and I'm with Louisiana  
21 State Police Gaming Enforcement  
22 Division.

23 A background investigation was done  
24 on Peninsula Pacific Entertainment, LLC,  
25 Peninsula Entertainment Intermediate

28

1 Holdings, LLC, Peninsula Pacific  
2 Entertainment Holdings, LLC, and PGP  
3 Manager, LLC, and all of their

4 individuals. Based on the  
5 investigation, there was no information  
6 found which would preclude the Board  
7 from approving the organizational  
8 structure changes to Louisiana Riverboat  
9 Gaming Partnership doing business as  
10 DiamondJacks Casino & Resort.

11 MS. MOORE: That's it.

12 CHAIRMAN JONES: Before I call  
13 DiamondJacks' representatives a second  
14 time, are there any questions of the  
15 Attorney General's Office or State  
16 Police? [No response.]

17 There are no questions. Before we  
18 entertain a possible motion, I'd ask  
19 Brent Stevens up to the table. Thank  
20 you, ladies.

21 Good morning, gentlemen, introduce  
22 yourselves to the Board, if you would,  
23 please.

24 MR. WEST: Good morning, Mr.  
25 Chairman and Board Members. My name is

29

1 Paul West. I represent DiamondJacks as  
2 their local counsel. To my right is Mr.  
3 Brent Stevens who is the sole managing  
4 member of PGPI. I think Mr. Stevens  
5 would just like to explain a little bit  
6 about the transaction, although, Miss

7 Ficklin did a very good job of  
8 explaining it, and then answer any  
9 questions you might have.

10 MR. STEVENS: Good morning, Mr.  
11 Chairman, Members of the Board, thank  
12 you very much, Brent Stevens.

13 You know, I'm certainly  
14 disappointed. I had a lot of comments  
15 prepared but Miss Ficklin, I think,  
16 covered everything, and I'd just say  
17 that the way we thought about P2E when  
18 we created it in 2018 and Virginia was  
19 the first operation that we folded in,  
20 was to create a capital structure and a  
21 corporate organization that would be the  
22 focal point of gaming for PGPI.

23 So the way I think about PGPI is  
24 it's the ultimate holding company of  
25 gaming and then separately outside of

30

1 P2E any other investment we do in a  
2 separate designated subsidiary of PGPI,  
3 industrial portfolios other investments  
4 and so forth, but gaming is P2E. Today  
5 PGPI has, among its assets, a separate  
6 wholly owned subsidiary called Legends.  
7 We're simply taking Legends and putting  
8 in another gaming company. So that's  
9 the purpose of this transaction.

10 In terms of the operation of  
11 DiamondJacks, we have owned DiamondJacks  
12 since 2016. It has clearly represented  
13 the biggest, single challenge we've had  
14 as a gaming enterprise over 25 years.  
15 It's a function of a lot of things that  
16 I won't bore you with, but I think at  
17 the end of the day, we are deeply  
18 committed to this investment. We -- I  
19 think we've demonstrated in our  
20 acquisition some -- somewhere in a very  
21 low, single digit number. We've  
22 invested tens of millions of dollars  
23 just keeping it going. We're committed  
24 to the community, committed to the  
25 operation and evaluating as we move

31

1 forward, what is the best way to  
2 redevelopment or redeploy that license,  
3 and how do we make it work.

4 So far we have not had success in  
5 any transfer and movement of it, but we  
6 remain focused. We're encouraged by  
7 what we see out there, certainly recent  
8 legislation, and I think that's really  
9 it. I'm happy to take any questions you  
10 may have.

11 CHAIRMAN JONES: Miss Berry.

12 MS. BERRY: I was looking at the

13 financial statement of operations for  
14 the last three years --

15 MR. STEVENS: Sure.

16 MS. BERRY: -- and as you say, it's  
17 not -- it doesn't look very promising.  
18 What potential plans do you have to  
19 increase the revenue or the whole  
20 footprint of DiamondJacks to get it to  
21 be in a more competitive status?

22 MR. STEVENS: Good question. So  
23 first of all, you're absolutely right.  
24 This -- this has not been a good  
25 investment to date. The reason we made

32

1 this investment in the first place, it  
2 was very different then when, for  
3 example, we -- we moved the racing  
4 license from -- to Opelousas from  
5 Lafayette, which is Evangeline Downs,  
6 several years ago, but we saw a similar  
7 opportunity to either redevelop in  
8 Bossier or to possibly redistribute the  
9 license to a better market. We're not  
10 the only ones that are thinking about  
11 it. We're aware of the study. We're  
12 very encouraged by the study, and we  
13 tend to agree with the findings of that  
14 study by Spectrum.

15 But for the time being, ours is

16 really a job of evaluating a couple of  
17 things. Number one, what's the best  
18 place for the license? Number two,  
19 what's the best product for that  
20 location in the future? And that  
21 location could stay. It could be still  
22 its existing location and in the  
23 meantime continue to manage the business  
24 the best way we know how and to deliver  
25 the best product in a declining market

33

1 that is in woeful need of capital  
2 reinvestment, not just by DiamondJacks  
3 but by everyone. We have a competitor  
4 to the north in Oklahoma that is putting  
5 quite a -- quite a bit of pressure on  
6 the State of Louisiana, which includes  
7 Bossier and all of its competitors in  
8 Bossier and Shreveport.

9 So you could see -- you could see a  
10 whole host of alternatives. I'm not  
11 really at liberty to say, but I'll give  
12 you an example of what we're doing. So  
13 today we actually signed a purchase  
14 order for 124 new slot machines at the  
15 existing riverboat. We'll be moving  
16 those in over the course of the next  
17 four weeks. We're not ignoring the  
18 asset. We're not in any way, shape or

19 form trying to do anything manipulative  
20 or artificial in terms of the operation.  
21 We're trying to make the best of a  
22 pretty tough situation and be patient,  
23 which I think we've demonstrated, with  
24 the process within the State of  
25 Louisiana to make a final determination,

34

1 where should it go. Once we make that  
2 determination, we're going to invest  
3 capital.

4 MS. BERRY: I understand. It's a  
5 very challenging situation --

6 MR. STEVENS: Correct.

7 MS. BERRY: -- especially with  
8 Oklahoma. I can totally understand, and  
9 we appreciate y'all's continuing  
10 investment in the State of Louisiana.

11 MR. STEVENS: Thank you.

12 MS. BERRY: You don't think you're  
13 throwing good money at bad?

14 MR. STEVENS: Might be. I think I'm  
15 supposed to ask you.

16 MS. BERRY: Good point. Okay. You  
17 know, as long as y'all are monitoring it  
18 and just keep us informed of how it  
19 goes. I see the projections for '19  
20 look a little better but --

21 MR. STEVENS: Yeah, thanks to great

22 management and a great management team  
23 that understands the actual vision,  
24 there's -- you -- you'd be -- you can  
25 measure the level of excitement within

35

1 the organization. Despite what you may  
2 see optically in the physical asset,  
3 despite what you may see in the  
4 financial statements, there's a vision.  
5 It's a great one, and we'll be back.

6 MS. BERRY: Well, that's good. If  
7 your employees and your management are  
8 --

9 MR. STEVENS: Yeah.

10 MS. BERRY: -- positive, then that's  
11 going to make a comeback, so thank you.  
12 You've answered my questions.

13 MR. STEVENS: Thank you.

14 CHAIRMAN JONES: For the rest of the  
15 Board, I met with Brent several times  
16 over the last couple of years. I mean,  
17 obviously last year when he was -- tried  
18 to have some legislation passed to  
19 relocate the property in Tangipahoa  
20 Parish and that failed, and it's a  
21 challenging process at the legislature,  
22 to say the least. But he and I talk on  
23 a regular basis, so it's not like we're  
24 not keeping an eye on things there, and



25 I appreciate the fact that you haven't

36

1 locked the door and walked away. That's  
2 not good for your company. That's not  
3 good for the State of Louisiana. It's  
4 not good for the people in northwestern  
5 Louisiana, and I know that's the most  
6 challenged area in the state right now.

7 The question I would ask, do you  
8 have a sense that much of your customer  
9 base comes from Arkansas?

10 MR. STEVENS: No, that's not -- it's  
11 probably 4 percent.

12 CHAIRMAN JONES: I mean, most of the  
13 competition I believe is the tribal  
14 casinos, right?

15 MR. STEVENS: Yes.

16 CHAIRMAN JONES: So with the  
17 potential three casinos in Arkansas,  
18 that's not going to have a significant  
19 impact on what happens at DiamondJacks.

20 MR. STEVENS: No, it's not. We  
21 evaluated it. We're buying one of those  
22 applicants as well in Arkansas, and we  
23 considered that strategically as, okay,  
24 can we do this; does it make sense. And  
25 I -- I see this as maybe a 2 percent hit

37

1 to our operation if we chose to remain

2 in the existing configuration, and  
3 obviously if we do something  
4 different -- Miss Berry's question -- it  
5 won't be a relevant point for us. We'll  
6 be fine.

7 CHAIRMAN JONES: Remind me, how many  
8 employees -- about.

9 MR. STEVENS: I'm going to say 380.  
10 I may be wrong.

11 CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay, so this is  
12 really important to about 381 people.

13 MR. STEVENS: That's right.

14 CHAIRMAN JONES: If not, their  
15 families and the businesses --

16 MR. STEVENS: Yes.

17 CHAIRMAN JONES: -- that benefit  
18 from having that property in Bossier  
19 City. So we appreciate you coming  
20 before the Board, and, you know, I'm --  
21 we're always there with you. We want  
22 you to succeed.

23 MR. STEVENS: Thank you, Chairman  
24 Jones, I appreciate it.

25 CHAIRMAN JONES: Are there any other

38

1 questions from Board Members? [No  
2 response.]

3 MS. BERRY: (Indicating.)

4 CHAIRMAN JONES: We have a motion to

5 adopt the Resolution. Do we have a  
6 second? Mr. Poole.

7 Miss Smith, would you read the  
8 Resolution into the record.

9 MS. SMITH: On the 20th day of June,  
10 2019, the Louisiana Gaming Control Board  
11 did, in a duly noticed public meeting,  
12 consider the petition of Louisiana  
13 Riverboat Gaming Partnership d/b/a  
14 DiamondJacks Casino & Resort Bossier  
15 City, requesting the approval of the  
16 transfer of a hundred percent of the  
17 membership interest in Legends Holding,  
18 LLC, from PGP Investors, LLC, to  
19 Peninsula Pacific Entertainment, LLC,  
20 and upon motion duly made and seconded,  
21 the Board adopted this Resolution:

22 Be it resolved that the transfer of  
23 a hundred percent of the membership  
24 interest in Legends Holding, LLC, parent  
25 company of Louisiana Riverboat Gaming

39

1 Partnership d/b/a DiamondJacks Casino &  
2 Resort Bossier City, license No.  
3 RO10800195, is hereby approved.

4 CHAIRMAN JONES: Would you call the  
5 roll.

6 MR. POOLE: Mr. Chairman, I was just  
7 noticing, I just wanted to call out,

8 when she was reading she was saying,  
9 "Legend Holdings [sic]," But in my  
10 Resolution it says, "Legends Gaming."  
11 Is that a technicality or --

12 MS. SMITH: No. We were notified  
13 that there was an error in the  
14 Resolution -- the draft Resolution we  
15 sent you-all, and this is the correct  
16 Resolution. Thank you.

17 MR. POOLE: Thank you.

18 CHAIRMAN JONES: Thank you for  
19 catching that.

20 Please call the roll.

21 MS. SMITH: Mr. Avant?

22 MR. AVANT: Yes.

23 MS. SMITH: Miss Berry?

24 MS. BERRY: Yes.

25 MS. SMITH: Mr. Jackson?

40

1 MR. JACKSON: Yes.

2 MS. SMITH: Mr. Lagasse?

3 MR. LAGASSE: Yes.

4 MS. SMITH: Mr. Patrick -- sorry.

5 Mr. Poole?

6 MR. POOLE: Yes.

7 MS. SMITH: Mr. Singleton?

8 MR. SINGLETON: Yes.

9 MS. SMITH: Mr. Stipe? [No  
10 response.] He's not here, unanimous.

11 CHAIRMAN JONES: Yes. The motion  
12 carries. The Resolution is adopted.  
13 Thank you.

14 MR. STEVENS: Thank you-all very  
15 much.

16 VI. CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT &  
17 REHEARING

18 1. In Re: Silvy, LLC, d/b/a Comet Inn - No.  
19 2600115770 (settlement)

20 CHAIRMAN JONES: We have -- moving  
21 to, Consideration of Proposed  
22 Settlements and Petition for Rehearing.  
23 First up is in regard to Silvy, LLC,  
24 doing business as Comet Inn, No.  
25 2600115770. This is a proposed

41

1 settlement.

2 Good morning.

3 MR. GATHE: Good morning, Chairman  
4 Jones, Board Members, I'm Assistant  
5 Attorney General Jeremy Gathe here in  
6 the matter of the settlement of Silvy,  
7 LLC, doing business as the Comet Inn.

8 This settlement addresses the  
9 licensee's failure to maintain a valid  
10 ATC alcohol permit while operating as a  
11 Type 1 video draw poker establishment.  
12 The licensee also failed to notify the  
13 Division that its ATC alcohol permit

14 expired.

15 On February 28th, 2018, the  
16 licensee's ATC alcohol permit expired.

17 On May 31st, 2018, the ATC renewed and  
18 issued the licensee its ATC alcohol  
19 permit after the licensee paid all fees  
20 associated with the renewal. The  
21 Division verified that between the dates  
22 of the expired ATC permit, the video  
23 poker machines located at the licensed  
24 establishment were active, and the  
25 licensee generated a net revenue of

42

1 approximately \$12,025 from its video  
2 poker machines.

3 The civil penalty contained in this  
4 settlement is \$3,000, which is an amount  
5 consistent with violations of this type.

6 The settlement has been signed by the  
7 hearing officer and is now before the  
8 Board for final approval. And I'd be  
9 happy to answer any questions at this  
10 time.

11 CHAIRMAN JONES: Board Members, any  
12 questions with respect to this proposed  
13 settlement? [No response.]

14 There are no questions. Do I have a  
15 motion? Mr. Jackson, Mr. Lagasse.

16 Any objection? [No response.]

17 Without objection, the settlement's  
18 approved. Thank you.

19 2. In Re: Tork Choun - No. PO40054465

20 (rehearing)

21 CHAIRMAN JONES: We now move to a  
22 motion for rehearing request in the  
23 matter of Tork Choun which we heard last  
24 month. And have a seat, Mr. Long. If  
25 the two of you would --

43

1 MR. LONG: I'm waiting on my client,  
2 Your Honor.

3 CHAIRMAN JONES: Sir?

4 MR. LONG: My client is present as  
5 well.

6 CHAIRMAN JONES: And we're going to  
7 -- I'm going to permit each side three  
8 minutes to argue either against the  
9 rehearing or in favor of the rehearing,  
10 and then we'll proceed from that point  
11 forward. And the Division will go first  
12 since it's their request. Please  
13 proceed.

14 MR. GATHE: I'd like to reserve some  
15 time for rebuttal after counsel's  
16 argument.

17 Good morning, Chairman Jones, Board  
18 Members, I'm Assistant Attorney General  
19 Jeremy Gathe present before the Board in

20 the matter of Mr. Tork J. Choun. This  
21 matter comes before you as a result of  
22 the Division's motion of a rehearing of  
23 the Board's decision affirming Hearing  
24 Officer Reynolds' decision which denied  
25 the revocation of Mr. Choun's non-key

44

1 gaming employee permit. Today we're not  
2 here to relitigate any facts. Y'all  
3 have read the incorporated memorandum in  
4 support of a rehearing, and we believe  
5 the Board should grant the rehearing and  
6 reverse the hearing officer's decision  
7 for the following reasons.

8 First, the Division respectfully  
9 contends that the Board's decision is  
10 contrary to the law and evidence.  
11 Louisiana gaming law is clear where it  
12 states that a person may be disqualified  
13 from holding a gaming permit if the  
14 person has been found to be generally  
15 unsuitable. Mr. Choun is generally  
16 unsuitable and not a person of good  
17 character, honesty and integrity based  
18 on the evidence presented at the  
19 administrative hearing. And in short,  
20 the evidence was video surveillance of  
21 Mr. Choun wrongfully and intentionally  
22 placing chips from the rake slide into



23 his personal tote box and testimony  
24 provided by L'Auberge Lake Charles'  
25 Assistant Casino Manager, Jack Rounds,

45

1 and gaming agent, Trooper Michael  
2 Mallett. Additionally, the hearing  
3 officer's ruling in the present matter  
4 is inconsistent with some of its prior  
5 decisions, specifically the matter of  
6 Scott Anderson.

7 Second, we're not here to disparage  
8 this Board in any way. We understand  
9 that you-all take your jobs very  
10 seriously as Board Members. I'm just  
11 simply stating that I was confused after  
12 the votes, and there may have been a  
13 possible misunderstanding of the vote  
14 amongst the Board Members with regard to  
15 Chairman Jones' motion to reverse the  
16 hearing officer's decision, specifically  
17 with what the effect was for either a no  
18 or yes vote. This is evidenced by some  
19 of the responses and some of the  
20 questions asked by the Board Members at  
21 the last board meeting.

22 So at this time, we respectfully  
23 request that the rehearing be granted  
24 and another vote be taken regarding  
25 whether or not the hearing officer's

1 decision should be reversed.

2 MR. LONG: Good morning.

3 CHAIRMAN JONES: Two minutes.

4 Please introduce yourself to the Board,  
5 please, Mr. Long.

6 MR. LONG: Members of the Board,  
7 we're here again, nothing new from the  
8 State. Talking about Scott Anderson,  
9 that was the dealer who was caught  
10 taking chips, admitted it and didn't  
11 show up for his hearing. He didn't hire  
12 a lawyer. He didn't fight the  
13 revocation hearing. He didn't present  
14 any evidence, and he didn't challenge  
15 any evidence. He didn't show up. Of  
16 course Judge Reynolds is going to rule  
17 in favor of the State. He didn't show  
18 up.

19 He says, well, he ruled in favor of  
20 Scott -- he ruled in favor of revoking  
21 Scott Anderson's license; he should have  
22 revoked John Choun's license. Different  
23 case, different facts. No new evidence.

24 Then they say, you don't know what  
25 you're doing. You didn't know what you

1 were doing when you voted; you got  
2 confused, or you got tricked. You

3 didn't get tricked. You voted in favor  
4 of this man to give him his reputation  
5 back, as I asked. Today I ask you the  
6 same thing, vote no and give him his  
7 reputation back. Thank you.

8 MR. GATHE: So with regard to the  
9 matter of Scott Anderson, the facts and  
10 the law of Scott Anderson are comparable  
11 to that of this case. The Division is  
12 here to ensure that the integrity of the  
13 gaming industry is ensure and ensure it  
14 from persons who are not suitable to  
15 hold a gaming permit.

16 Again, based on the facts and the  
17 evidence presented, the Division  
18 believes that Mr. Choun is generally  
19 unsuitable, and we respectfully request  
20 that a rehearing be granted and another  
21 vote be taken with regard to the hearing  
22 officer's decision.

23 CHAIRMAN JONES: Thank you.

24 MR. LAGASSE: I move that the  
25 request for a rehearing be granted.

48

1 MS. BERRY: I second.

2 CHAIRMAN JONES: Motion by  
3 Mr. Lagasse, second by Miss Berry to  
4 grant the request for a rehearing in  
5 this matter.

6 Any objection to that? [No  
7 response.] No objection, the motion  
8 carries.

9 We will proceed with the -- it will  
10 be a five-minute limit to make  
11 arguments. We've heard all the  
12 testimony in the last case. We still  
13 have the record.

14 MR. LONG: Your Honor, we -- we  
15 would ask to have a new date so that we  
16 can prepare for a rehearing. This is  
17 very prejudicial to my client to have to  
18 take and do a hearing right now with  
19 five minute's notice. We've been before  
20 various boards of the state. This is  
21 the fourth time we've had to come before  
22 the State. We would ask that we reset  
23 this for 30 days and allow me time to  
24 prepare to take this case. It's a full  
25 rehearing. We don't have time to set

49

1 any subpoenas to bring witnesses in.  
2 This is totally prejudicial to my  
3 client's due process rights.

4 CHAIRMAN JONES: We're just going to  
5 hear arguments this morning. It's not a  
6 rehearing. And if this is your fourth  
7 time, you're familiar with the case,  
8 you're familiar with the facts. We're

9 going to proceed. I'll permit five  
10 minutes to each side.

11 MR. LONG: Note my objection for the  
12 record, please.

13 CHAIRMAN JONES: Yes, sir,  
14 absolutely.

15 MR. GATHE: So thank you for  
16 granting a rehearing. The arguments are  
17 still the same. Mr. Choun is generally  
18 unsuitable. He's not a person of good  
19 character, honesty, integrity, and this  
20 is based on the evidence that was  
21 presented at the Administrative Hearing  
22 Office. That evidence entails video  
23 surveillance of Mr. Choun committing a  
24 theft at L'Auberge Lake Charles. The  
25 evidence is also the testimony of

50

1 Trooper Michael Mallett whom Mr. Choun  
2 admitted to committing a theft at the  
3 casino, and the evidence also is the  
4 Assistant Casino Manager, Jack Rounds',  
5 testimony to the effects of the video  
6 surveillance and why Mr. Choun committed  
7 a theft at L'Auberge Lake Charles.

8 And with that, we'd ask that the  
9 hearing officer's decision be reversed.

10 CHAIRMAN JONES: Thank you.

11 Mr. Long.

12 MR. LONG: Judge Reynolds has been a  
13 hearing officer for you for almost nine  
14 years. You have relied upon his good  
15 judgment and discretion in all these  
16 hearings that have come before you.  
17 Judge Reynolds heard all the witness  
18 testimony. He saw all the evidence. He  
19 heard all the arguments. He's a judge.  
20 He's a lawyer, and he's experienced in  
21 these matters. When he weighed the  
22 credibility and the evidence, he found  
23 that, yes, there was a violation of  
24 procedure, but there was no evidence  
25 that Mr. Choun intended to steal.

51

1 There's a difference between a mistake  
2 and theft. My client worked for that  
3 casino for ten years, not hint of  
4 impropriety.

5 Now, you know when you're looking at  
6 a theft, what are you looking for?  
7 You're looking at intent. A poker  
8 dealer deals about 35 to 40 hands an  
9 hour. He deals eight hours a day and  
10 takes about an hour-and-a-half break.  
11 That's about 240 hands a day that he  
12 deals. They looked at surveillance for  
13 14 days to see if John Choun had a  
14 pattern of stealing, and you know what

15 they found? In those 14 days, nearly 25  
16 hands -- 2,500 hands of dealing, they  
17 found two mistakes in 2,500 hands  
18 amounting to \$8.00. Does that sound  
19 like a thief to you, or does that sound  
20 like somebody who made a couple mistakes  
21 dealing a gambling game?

22 Now, I don't think any of you have  
23 been casino dealers, so you're going to  
24 have to understand -- have you ever done  
25 any hard work and in 2,500 events you

52

1 make two mistakes and your supervisor  
2 comes up and says, "You're a thief"?  
3 Can you imagine? Ten years of stellar  
4 record with the casino. And let me tell  
5 you something. When you're dealing  
6 craps and roulette and blackjack, they  
7 have \$5,000 chips on the table. If he  
8 was a thief, he would have got caught a  
9 long time ago, because the surveillance  
10 video does not blink. And if he was a  
11 thief, he would have been stealing  
12 hundred dollar chips and five hundred  
13 dollar chips and thousand dollar chips  
14 or five thousand dollar chips, because  
15 there's a lot of business at L'Auberge  
16 Casino in Lake Charles. Never a hint of  
17 any of any of that, but in 2,500 hands

18 of surveillance review, they found two  
19 mistakes, one for seven and one for one  
20 dollar. They looked afterwards. They  
21 didn't find any mistakes. If he's a  
22 thief, is he going to risk his career  
23 and his reputation over eight dollars,  
24 or is it a mistake?

25 Is it an honest mistake from a man

53

1 who had only dealt since December to  
2 May -- about six months -- but he was a  
3 dual rate. He only dealt two days a  
4 week, which means he had about  
5 three-and-a-half, four months experience  
6 dealing poker. He was what we call "a  
7 break-in dealer." A break-in dealer is  
8 a novice, and although he was good at  
9 handling chips and dealing the cards,  
10 the procedure was slack because he  
11 hadn't done it for ten years. He'd done  
12 it from November to May two days a week.

13 Nevertheless, a mistake is not a  
14 theft. Judge Reynolds saw that because  
15 he has experience in these kind of  
16 cases. A violation of procedure is not  
17 a theft. And everybody here use your  
18 common sense. If you're going to be a  
19 thief, you're going to make it worth the  
20 risk. You're not going to risk your



21 career over eight dollars.

22 Ladies and gentlemen, Mr. Choun has  
23 been here -- this will be the fourth  
24 time that he's come before the State of  
25 Louisiana. He's won every other

54

1 hearing. Every other hearing the  
2 evidence did not show that he was a  
3 thief. Today you're giving the State a  
4 chance to reargue it, but I don't hear  
5 any new arguments. I hear the same old  
6 arguments that you denied last time. I  
7 hear the same arguments that Judge  
8 Reynolds denied, the same arguments that  
9 the D.A. of Calcasieu Parish said, no,  
10 it's not a crime, and he dismissed the  
11 case. So if the D.A. of Calcasieu  
12 Parish says it's not a crime, Judge  
13 Reynolds says it's not a crime and  
14 you-all last time say it's not a crime,  
15 what has changed? Nothing.

16 Give this man his representation  
17 back. Thank you.

18 CHAIRMAN JONES: Thank you,  
19 Mr. Long. There being no further  
20 arguments, do I have a motion?

21 MR. LAGASSE: I move that we reverse  
22 the hearing officer's decision and  
23 revoke Mr. Choun's permit.

24 CHAIRMAN JONES: Let me make sure I  
25 understand the motion. Your motion is

55

1 to reverse the hearing officer's  
2 decision --

3 MR. LAGASSE: Reverse the decision  
4 and revoke Mr. Choun's permit.

5 CHAIRMAN JONES: Revoke the license.  
6 Is there a second to that motion?

7 MS. BERRY: Second.

8 CHAIRMAN JONES: Miss Berry seconds.

9 A "yes" vote would reverse the  
10 hearing officer's decision. A "no"  
11 vote -- and revoke the license. A "no"  
12 vote would be to sustain the hearing  
13 officer's decision. Everyone understand  
14 that?

15 MR. LAGASSE: Say that again.

16 CHAIRMAN JONES: A yes vote would be  
17 to reverse the hearing officer's  
18 decision and revoke the license. A no  
19 vote will sustain the hearing officer's  
20 decision.

21 Miss Smith, would you call the roll.

22 MS. SMITH: Chairman Jones?

23 CHAIRMAN JONES: Yes.

24 MS. SMITH: Mr. Avant?

25 MR. AVANT: Yes.

56

1 MS. SMITH: Mr. Berry?

2 MS. BERRY: Yes.

3 MS. SMITH: Mr. Jackson?

4 MR. JACKSON: Yes.

5 MS. SMITH: Mr. Lagasse?

6 MR. LAGASSE: Yes.

7 MS. SMITH: Mr. Poole?

8 MR. POOLE: Yes.

9 MS. SMITH: Mr. Singleton?

10 MR. SINGLETON: No.

11 MS. SMITH: Six in favor, one  
12 against.

13 CHAIRMAN JONES: So the motion  
14 carries. The will of the Board is that  
15 the hearing officer's decision is  
16 reversed and the license is revoked.  
17 Thank you, gentlemen.

18 MR. LONG: Please note our objection  
19 for the record, Your Honor.

20 CHAIRMAN JONES: Yes, sir, thank  
21 you. Thank you, Mr. Long.

22 VII. ADJOURNMENT

23 CHAIRMAN JONES: There being no  
24 further business, I'll entertain a  
25 motion for adjournment. Mr. Singleton

57

1 and Mr. Lagasse.

2

3

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

58

1  
2

REPORTER'S PAGE

3 I, SHELLEY PAROLA, Certified Shorthand  
4 Reporter, in and for the State of Louisiana, the  
5 officer before whom this sworn testimony was  
6 taken, do hereby state:

7 That due to the spontaneous discourse of this  
8 proceeding, where necessary, dashes (--) have been  
9 used to indicate pauses, changes in thought,  
10 and/or talkovers; that same is the proper method  
11 for a Court Reporter's transcription of a  
12 proceeding, and that dashes (--) do not indicate  
13 that words or phrases have been left out of this  
14 transcript;

15 That any words and/or names which could not  
16 be verified through reference materials have been  
17 denoted with the word "(phonetic)."

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 SHELLEY PAROLA

Certified Court Reporter #96001

25 Registered Professional Reporter

59

1 STATE OF LOUISIANA

2 PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE

3 I, Shelley G. Parola, Certified Court

4 Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter, do

5 hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and

6 correct transcript of the proceedings on June 20,

7 2019, as taken by me in Stenographic machine

8 shorthand, complemented with magnetic tape

9 recording, and thereafter reduced to transcript,  
10 to the best of my ability and understanding, using  
11 Computer-Aided Transcription.

12 I further certify that I am not an  
13 attorney or counsel for any of the parties, that I  
14 am neither related to nor employed by any attorney  
15 or counsel connected with this action, and that I  
16 have no financial interest in the outcome of this  
17 action.

18 Baton Rouge, Louisiana, this 26th day of  
19 July, 2019.

20

21

22

---

SHELLEY G. PAROLA, CCR, RPR

CERTIFICATE NO. 96001

23

24