1:1 LOUISIANA GAMING LOUISIANA CONTROL BOARD **BOARD OF DIRECTORS' MEETING** August 16, 2012 Louisiana State Capitol House Committee Room 1 Baton Rouge, Louisiana TIME: 10:00 A.M. **APPEARANCES**

2 DALE HALL

LGCB Board of Directors' Meeting - 8-16-2012, (Pages 1:1 to 82:24)

3	CHAIRMAN
4	
5	VELMA ROGERS
6	Vice-Chairman
7	
8	AYRES BRADFORD
9	Board Member
10	
11	ROBERT JONES
12	Board Member
13	
14	MARK STIPE
15	Board Member
16	
17	JAMES SINGLETON
18	Board Member
19	
20	DENISE NOONAN
21	Board Member
22	
23	MAJOR CLAUDE MERCER
24	Board Member
25	
	3
1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED
2	
3	MAJOR MARK NOEL
4	Ex-Officio Board Member

6					
7	LAN	A TRAMONTE			
8	Exe	cutive Assistant to the Chairma	n		
9					
10					
11	REF	PORTED BY:			
12	SHI	ELLEY G. PAROLA, CSR, RPR			
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
		4			
1		PAGE			
2	l.	CALL TO ORDER	7		
3	II.	PUBLIC COMMENTS		8	
4	III.	APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES			10
5	IV.	REVENUE REPORTS		11	
6	V.	COMPLIANCE REPORTS			16
7	VI.	CASINO GAMING ISSUES			
8		A. Consideration of request by	У		

9	Margaritaville Resort (Casino,	
10	No. R011000841, for	waiver	of
11	location of ship servic	e	
12	generator	20	
13	B. Consideration of peti	ition by	,
14	Creative Casinos, No.	R01650	02995,
15	for approval of name	change	of
16	licensee from Creative	e Casino	os of
17	Louisiana, L.L.C., to Ar	nerista	r
18	Casino Lake Charles, L	LC.	27
19	C. Update on PNK (Batc	n Roug	ge) d/b/a
20	L'Auberge Baton Roug	ge, No.	
21	R011000801	31	L
22	D. Consideration of Cer	tificate	of
23	Compliance for the Al	ternate	è
24	Riverboat Inspection	of the	
25	gaming vessel of PNK		
	5		
1	PAG	E	
2	(Baton Rouge) Partner	ship d/	b/a
3	L'Auberge Baton Roug	e, No.	
4	R011000801	36	
5	E. Consideration of petit	ion by	PNK
6	(Baton Rouge) Partner	ship d/	b/a
7	L'Auberge Baton Roug	e, No.	
8	R011000801, for deter	minatio	on of
9	substantial completion	١,	
10	commencement of ga	ming	
11	operations and releas	e of	

12	escrowed funds		51
13	VII. VIDEO GAMING	SSUES	
14	A. Presentation of	implemen	tation by
15	Louisiana State	Police of Ac	t 161,
16	2012 Legislative	Session	60
17	VIII. CONSIDERATION	OF PROPO	SED SETTLEMENTS
18	/APPEALS		
19	1. In Re: Stingray	s Inc., d/b/a	а
20	Stingray's No. 26	505209704	
21	(proposed settle	ement)	72
22	2. In Re: Allied Co	mmunicati	ons,
23	LLC, d/b/a Allied	Communic	cations
24	- No. PO865028	68 (propose	ed
25	settlement)	73	
	6		
1		PAGE	
2	3. In Re: 818 Incor	porated d/	b/a
3	The Bachelors 11	1 - No.	
4	2600114011 (арן	oeal)	75
5	IX. ADJOURNMENT		80
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			

15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	7
1	I. CALL TO ORDER
2	CHAIRMAN HALL: I'd like to welcome
3	everyone and call the meeting to order.
4	If you would, Miss Tramonte, would you
5	please call the roll.
6	THE CLERK: Chairman Hall?
7	CHAIRMAN HALL: Here.
8	THE CLERK: Miss Rogers?
9	MS. ROGERS: Here.
10	THE CLERK: Mr. Bradford?
11	MR. BRADFORD: Here.
12	THE CLERK: Mr. Jones?
13	MR. JONES: Here.
14	THE CLERK: Mr. Stipe?
15	MR. STIPE: Here.
16	THE CLERK: Mr. Singleton? [No
17	response.] Miss Noonan?

18	MS. NOONAN: Here.
19	THE CLERK: Major Mercer?
20	MAJOR MERCER: Here.
21	THE CLERK: Colonel Edmonson?
22	MAJOR NOEL: Major Noel for Colonel
23	Edmonson.
24	THE CLERK: Secretary Smith? [No
25	response.]
	8
1	CHAIRMAN HALL: And we have more
2	than five members and a quorum.
3	II. PUBLIC COMMENTS
4	CHAIRMAN HALL: At this time, I
5	would like, if we could, please, if
6	there's anyone who's not on the agenda
7	today that would like to make a comment
8	about something that is, now would be
9	the time to do so. So if we have any
10	public comment this morning? And if
11	not yes, ma'am.
12	MS. GRODNER: Thank you. I'm Donna
13	Grodner.
14	CHAIRMAN HALL: Can you come if
15	you would, please, come to the table and
16	identify yourself, and I'll have to ask
17	you, as much as I may not like it, the
18	way it might sound, I need you to speak
19	into the microphone because it's
20	recorded.

21	MS. GRODNER: Thank you. Good
22	morning, ladies and gentlemen. I'm
23	Donna Grodner, and I'm here this morning
24	to bring to this board's attention
25	several recent articles that appeared in
	9
1	the Chicago Tribune. And I'm not sure
2	if you ladies and gentlemen are aware of
3	these articles, but they are making a
4	laughing stock out of this state and
5	indirectly this honorable board.
6	Now, I'm going to leave a copy of
7	these on the table, but what are these
8	articles saying? On Friday, July 20th,
9	2012, the Chicago Tribune published an
10	article wherein they discussed a certain
11	individual named, Nicky Nichols, who's
12	been found suitable by this board to
13	have bingo and video poker licenses.
14	On Thursday, the day before, they
15	denied seven of his companies' licenses.
16	They go through this article, and the
17	Board Chairman, Mr. Aaron Jaffe,
18	discusses the troubling associations
19	that he has with certain Louisiana
20	legislators.
21	Now, for example
22	CHAIRMAN HALL: Miss Grodner, I
23	really don't want to interrupt you.

24	MS. GRODNER: Yes, sir.
25	CHAIRMAN HALL: I would appreciate
	10
1	it if you would leave those for us, but
2	that issue has nothing to do with
3	anything that's before this board today.
4	MS. GRODNER: Your Honor, it's my
5	understanding that this is public
6	comment, and I think anything nationally
7	that places dispersion on this state and
8	on this Board is something of grave
9	concern to every citizen in the state,
10	particularly with regard to gambling.
11	CHAIRMAN HALL: And I think
12	MS. GRODNER: Now, if they are
13	finding this gentleman unsuitable, the
14	question becomes, why are we?
15	CHAIRMAN HALL: That is the issue.
16	They did not find there was no
17	suitability ruling on him as an
18	individual. It was denial of his
19	license applications, and the public
20	comment period is for the matters that
21	are before the Board today. Now, this
22	issue may be a matter before the Board
23	at some time in the near future, but as
24	of today, it's not a matter before the
25	Board. And our business today is to

1	continue with those things that are on
2	the agenda as we're required to do by
3	public law.
4	MS. GRODNER: Certainly. And I do
5	appreciate the Board's indulgence in
6	allowing me to leave these materials
7	with you.
8	CHAIRMAN HALL: And I do appreciate
9	it, and we are aware of that. And there
10	may be something there I have not seen,
11	but I believe I've probably seen all of
12	it. But I will look through it
13	personally and make sure that it gets
14	the proper attention.
15	MS. GRODNER: Thank you so much for
16	your time.
17	CHAIRMAN HALL: Would you mind
18	Lana, would you get Miss Trudy to
19	retrieve that off the table.
20	III. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES
21	CHAIRMAN HALL: If we could, I'd
22	like to have a motion please to waive
23	the reading of the minutes and approval
24	of the minutes from last meeting.
25	MS. ROGERS: I so move.
	12
1	CHAIRMAN HALL: Moved by Miss
2	Rogers
3	MS. NOONAN: I'll second.

4	CHAIRMAN HALL: seconded by
5	Miss Noonan. Anyone opposed? [No
6	response.] Then the motion carries.
7	IV. REVENUE REPORTS
8	CHAIRMAN HALL: Revenue Reports.
9	All right, Revenue Reports, please.
10	MS. JACKSON: Good morning, Chairman
11	Hall.
12	CHAIRMAN HALL: Good morning.
13	MS. JACKSON: My name is Donna
14	Jackson with the Louisiana State Police
15	Gaming Audit Section.
16	The riverboat revenue report for
17	July 2012 is shown on page one of your
18	handout. During July, the twelve
19	operating riverboats generated Adjusted
20	Gross Receipts of \$138,646,980, a
21	decrease of \$1.3 million or 1 percent
22	from last month, and a decrease of
23	\$20 million or 13 percent from
24	July 2011. The decline can be partially
25	attributed to this July having only four
	13
1	full weekends while last July had five.
2	During July, the State collected fees
3	totaling \$29,809,101.
4	Next is the summary of the July 2012
5	gaming activity for Harrah's New Orleans
6	found on page three. During July,

7	Harrah's generated \$23,907,519 in gross
8	gaming revenue. July revenues are down
9	\$4 million or 15 percent from last
10	month, and down \$3.5 million or
11	13 percent from July 2011. During July,
12	the State received \$5,095,890 in minimum
13	daily payments.
14	Slots at the Racetracks revenues are
15	shown on page four. During July, the
16	four racetrack facilities combined
17	generated Adjusted Gross Receipts of
18	\$35,017,972, a slight increase of
19	1 percent from last month, but a
20	\$3 million or almost 8 percent decrease
21	from July 2011. During July, the State
22	collected fees totaling \$5,312,226.
23	Overall in July, Riverboats,
24	Landbased and Slots at the Racetracks
25	generated \$198 million in Adjusted Gross
	14
1	Receipts, which is almost \$27 million or
2	12 percent less than the previous July.
3	Are there any questions before I
4	present the Harrah's employee numbers?
5	[No response.]
6	Harrah's New Orleans is required to
7	maintain at least 2,400 employees and a
8	bi-weekly payroll of \$1,750,835. This
9	report covers the two pay periods in

10	July 2012. For the first pay period,
11	the Audit Section verified 2,420
12	employees with a payroll of \$1,997,000.
13	For the second pay period, the Audit
14	Section verified 2,418 employees with a
15	payroll of \$1,997,000. Therefore,
16	Harrah's met the employment criteria
17	during July.
18	Questions?
19	CHAIRMAN HALL: Any questions? [No
20	response.] Thank you very much.
21	MR. BOSSIER: Good morning, Chairman
22	Hall and Board Members. My name is Jim
23	Bossier with the Louisiana State Police
24	Gaming Audit Section. I'm reporting
25	video gaming information for July 2012
	15
1	as shown on page one of your handout.
2	During July 2012, 28 new video
3	gaming licenses were issued: Seventeen
4	bars, eight restaurants and three
5	truckstops. Twelve new applications
6	were received by the Gaming Enforcement
7	Division during July and are currently
8	pending in the field: Four bars, six
9	restaurants and two device owners.
10	The Gaming Enforcement Division
11	assessed \$0 and collected \$50,500 in
12	penalties in July. There are currently

13	\$1,012 in outstanding fines. Please
14	refer to page two of your handout.
15	There are presently 14,504 video
16	gaming devices activated at 2,128
17	locations.
18	Net device revenue for July 2012 was
19	\$48,138,159, a \$1.3 million decrease or
20	2.6 percent when compared to net device
21	revenue for June 2012, and \$1.7 million
22	decrease or 3.4 percent when compared to
23	July 2011.
24	Net device revenue for fiscal year
25	2013 is \$48,138,159, a \$1.7 million
	16
1	decrease or 3.4 percent when compared to
2	net device revenue for fiscal year 2012.
3	Page three of your handout shows a
4	comparison of net device revenue.
5	Total franchise fees collected for
6	July 2012 are \$14,373,780, a \$364,000
7	decrease when compared to June 2011, and
8	\$468,000 decrease when compared to
9	July 2011.
10	Total franchise fees collected for
11	fiscal year 2013 are \$14,373,780, a
12	\$468,000 or 3.2 percent decrease when
13	compared to last year's franchise fees.
14	Page four of your handout shows a

comparison of franchise fees.

15

16	Does anybody have any questions?
17	CHAIRMAN HALL: Anyone? No
18	questions. Thank you.
19	V. COMPLIANCE REPORTS
20	CHAIRMAN HALL: Next will be the
21	compliance reports.
22	MS. BROWN: Good morning, Chairman
23	Hall, Board Members. Today I'll present
24	the staff reports on riverboat casino
25	and racetrack casino licensees'
	17
1	compliance with employment and
2	procurement conditions for the second
3	quarter of 2012. The second quarter
4	reports were taken from figures reported
5	by 12 of the 15 operating riverboats to
6	the Louisiana Gaming Control Board.
7	In the second quarter of 2012,
8	approximately 11,675 people were
9	employed by the riverboat industry. Of
10	that number, 11,341 were Louisiana
11	residents; 7,183 were minorities and
12	6,620 were women. Two licensees
13	achieved total compliance the second
14	quarter of 2012. They are Boomtown
15	Casino West Bank and Hollywood Casino.
16	Next I'll address employment. All
17	licensees with exception of two either
18	met or exceeded their voluntary

19	conditions in all of the subcategories
20	under the main category of employment,
21	DiamondJacks fell short of its total
22	employment goal by achieving 642 out of
23	a goal of 650. Treasure Chest was short
24	of meeting its female employment goal.
25	Treasure Chest achieved 51.4 out of a
	18
1	goal of 51.86.
2	Next I'll address procurement. The
3	licensees are grouped according to three
4	subcategories which appear in your
5	report. They're Louisiana procurement,
6	minority procurement and female
7	procurement.
8	Louisiana procurement: Three
9	licensees did not achieve compliance
10	with their voluntary conditions, and
11	they are Amelia Belle, who achieved 73.7
12	out of a goal of 80; Boomtown Bossier
13	achieved 75.10 out of a goal of 80; and
14	L'Auberge du Lac achieved 72.5 out of
15	80.
16	Minority procurement: Seven
17	licensees did not achieve compliance
18	with their voluntary conditions, and
19	they are Sam's Town who achieved 24.8
20	out of 25 percent; Horseshoe achieved
21	11.9 out of 35; the Belle of Baton

22	Rouge, 6.4 out of 15; Treasure Chest,
23	13.5 out of 15; Amelia Belle, 7.6 out of
24	30; St. Charles, 5.8 out of 10; and
25	Eldorado, 23.8 out of 25.
	19
1	Regarding female procurement, one
2	licensee did not achieve compliance with
3	its voluntary conditions. That licensee
4	is Horseshoe Casino, who achieved 20.9
5	out of a goal of 35.
6	Are there any questions regarding
7	the riverboat reports?
8	CHAIRMAN HALL: Any questions?
9	MS. BROWN: Okay.
10	CHAIRMAN HALL: I don't see any.
11	MS. BROWN: Now I'll begin with the
12	racetrack. In the second quarter of
13	2012, approximately 1,872 people were
14	employed by the racetrack casino
15	industry. Of that number, 1,627 were
16	Louisiana residents; 1,038 were
17	minorities, and 1,131 were women.
18	Two racetrack casino licensees
19	achieved total compliance, including
20	Louisiana Downs and Churchill Downs.
21	Delta Downs did not achieve its
22	Louisiana employment conditions. It
23	achieved 69.2 out of a goal of
24	80 percent, and Evangeline Downs was

25	short of achieving its minority
	20
1	procurement condition. It achieved 4.1
2	out of a goal of 5.
3	Are there any questions regarding
4	the racetrack reports?
5	CHAIRMAN HALL: Any questions? [No
6	response.] I don't see any.
7	MS. BROWN: Thank you.
8	VI. CASINO GAMING ISSUES
9	A. Consideration of request by Margaritaville
10	Resort Casino, No. R011000841, for waiver
11	of location of ship service generator
12	CHAIRMAN HALL: Next up is Casino
13	Gaming Issues, and I believe the first
14	is Consideration of Request by
15	Margaritaville Casino for a waiver of
16	location for the ship's service
17	generator, and I believe we have the
18	Attorney General's Office and
19	Mr. Francic from ABSC.
20	Mr. Mike Tyler, thank you.
21	MR. TYLER: Thank you very much,
22	Chairman. Chairman Hall and Board
23	Members, I'm Assistant Attorney General,
24	Michael Tyler, and today I'm joined by
25	John Francic of ABS Consulting.
	21

1 On or about May 25th, 2012, Bossier

2	Casino Venture, Incorporated, d/b/a
3	Margaritaville Resort Casino, submitted
4	a request for deviation from the Guide
5	for Alternate Inspection of Riverboat
6	Gaming Vessels in the State of Louisiana
7	to ABSC with regard to the placement of
8	the licensee's ship service generator.
9	For more on this request and the
10	determination of ABSC, I now turn this
11	matter over to John Francic of ABS
12	Consulting.
13	MR. FRANCIC: Good morning, Chairman
14	and Board Members. My name's John
15	Francic with ABS Consulting. And we
16	initially received the request through
17	Margaritaville, but upon our plan
18	review, when we were looking at the
19	design, we noticed that the vessel
20	didn't have a ship service generator.
21	So we questioned, you know, where is the
22	ship service generator? They have their
23	emergency generator onboard but not a
24	ship service generator.
25	So we went back to the
	22
1	Margaritaville and asked the simple
2	question: Where's the ship service
3	generator. They said, it's onshore. So
4	I said, it's not supposed to be onshore;

- 5 it's supposed to be on the vessel. And
- 6 they said, well, we're going to leave it
- 7 there. I says -- this is when the
- 8 request came up.
- 9 So right now the ship service
- 10 generator is located on the shore
- instead of on the vessel, and this is,
- you know, what the request is for.
- 13 CHAIRMAN HALL: If I could, just to
- make sure that everybody understands,
- that this is -- this current generation,
- as you know, it's built in a moat. It's
- 17 not going to move. The requirements
- that were there for some period of time
- were because the ship service generator
- 20 had to follow the ship.
- 21 Well, the ship's not going anywhere,
- so the location of the ship service
- 23 generator is not the same issue that it
- 24 may have been previously when the boats
- were required to cruise, and so that's
 - 23
- 1 the reason for that -- that they're
- 2 asking for the variance of where that is
- 3 located, which is what we're considering
- 4 today.
- 5 Are there -- Mr. Stipe?
- 6 MR. STIPE: Your approval, I
- 7 suppose, of this -- or your

8	recommendation is that the generator
9	provide power to a hundred percent of
10	all systems.
11	MR. FRANCIC: Correct. The
12	generator needs to supply just like
13	it was a stand-alone vessel, that
14	generator has to supply the whole vessel
15	itself.
16	MR. STIPE: And if we grant this
17	waiver, that's the way it is going to be
18	constructed.
19	MR. FRANCIC: Yes. That's our
20	intent, and if we get the design
21	calculations and make sure that happens,
22	that's our intent to make sure that
23	generator to shore is going to
24	supplement that entire casino that
25	vessel.
	24
1	CHAIRMAN HALL: If I could,
2	Mr. Stipe, because I know what you're
3	talking about. There was a lot of
4	discussion about this. And the petition
5	listed a specific generator, but the
6	resolution deals only with the location
7	of a generator. It does not deal with
8	any specific generator, because the
9	requirement is that when they lose shore
10	power, that after whatever second delay,

11	that there should be enough power that
12	the customers on that vessel do not know
13	that power was lost. It should be just
14	like they had never lost shore power.
15	And the generator described, there is a
16	question whether it would do that or
17	not. So they'll have to meet that
18	requirement.
19	So this resolution only deals with
20	where they put that generator, not with
21	any specific generator or what that
22	generator does or does not do, because
23	that requirement already exists.
24	MR. STIPE: Okay.
25	CHAIRMAN HALL: Is that a fair
	25
1	description, Mr. Tyler?
2	MR. TYLER: Yes. That's a fair
3	description based upon what we've
4	received from ABSC.
5	CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Are there any
6	other questions about it?
7	MR. SINGLETON: I move approval of
8	the resolution. I think we have a
9	resolution.
10	CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes, sir. We do,
11	and so we have a motion to adopt the
12	resolution. Do I have a second?
13	MAIOR MERCER: Second.

14	CHAIRMAN HALL: We do have a second
15	from Major Mercer. If you'd read the
16	resolution into the record,
17	Miss Tramonte.
18	THE CLERK: On the 16th day of
19	August, 2012, the Louisiana Gaming
20	Control Board did, in a duly noticed
21	public meeting, consider the issue of
22	Bossier Casino Venture, Incorporated's
23	request for a deviation from the Guide
24	of Alternate Inspection of riverboat
25	gaming vessels in the State of
	26
1	Louisiana, and upon motion duly made and
2	second, the Board adopted the following
3	resolution.
4	Be it resolved that upon the
5	recommendation of ABS Consulting,
6	Bossier Casino Venture, Incorporated, be
7	granted a deviation from the Guide for
8	Alternate Inspection of riverboat gaming
9	vessels in the State of Louisiana and be
10	allowed to locate a ship service
11	generator land side as approved by ABS
12	Consulting.
13	Thus done and signed in Baton Rouge,
14	Louisiana, this 16th day of August 2012.
15	CHAIRMAN HALL: Miss Tramonte, if
16	you would, please does anybody have

- any questions regarding the resolution?
- 18 If not, Miss Tramonte, would you call
- the roll.
- 20 THE CLERK: Miss Rogers?
- 21 MR. SINGLETON: Yes.
- 22 THE CLERK: Mr. Bradford?
- 23 MR. BRADFORD: Yes.
- 24 THE CLERK: Mr. Jones?
- 25 MR. JONES: Yes.

27

- 1 THE CLERK: Mr. Stipe?
- 2 MR. STIPE: Yes.
- 3 THE CLERK: Mr. Singleton?
- 4 MR. SINGLETON: Yes.
- 5 THE CLERK: Miss Noonan?
- 6 MS. NOONAN: Yes.
- 7 THE CLERK: Major Mercer?
- 8 MAJOR MERCER: Yes.
- 9 THE CLERK: Chairman Hall?
- 10 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes. And the motion
- 11 carries. The resolution is adopted.
- 12 B. Consideration of petition by Creative Casinos,
- No. R016502995, for approval of name change of
- 14 licensee from Creative Casinos of Louisiana,
- 15 L.L.C., to Ameristar Casino Lake Charles, LLC
- 16 CHAIRMAN HALL: All right. Next up
- 17 we have another resolution. Mr. Tyler,
- are you with this one?
- 19 MR. TYLER: Am I with this one? I

20 don't think I'm with this one. 21 CHAIRMAN HALL: And this deals with 22 Creative Casinos' approval to change --23 a change of name from Creative Casinos 24 of Louisiana, LLC, to Ameristar Casino 25 Lake Charles, LLC. 28 1 MR. DUNCAN: Good morning, 2 Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board. My 3 name's Kelly Duncan from Jones Walker 4 Law Firm. We're here today to seek 5 approval of a change in the name of 6 Creative Casinos of Louisiana, LLC, to 7 Ameristar Casino Lake Charles, LLC, and 8 the reason that this request is being 9 made is that the purchase agreement that 10 was presented to the Board and the 11 transfer that was the subject of that 12 purchase agreement that was ultimately 13 approved by the Board in June provides 14 that the name Creative could only be 15 used for a 90-day period. So we're 16 bumping up on that 90-day period in 17 early September, and for that reason 18 we're before the Board today. 19 What I've attached to the petition 20 are the amendments to the Articles of 21 Organization, which if the Board 22 approves this name change, would be

23	filed with the Secretary of State, and
24	I've also attached an amendment to the
25	operating agreement for Creative Casinos
	29
1	of Louisiana, LLC, both of which reflect
2	the name change, again subject to the
3	approval by this Board.
4	I'm happy to answer any questions
5	that any member might have.
6	CHAIRMAN HALL: Any questions? [No
7	response. Do I have a motion?
8	MR. BRADFORD: So moved.
9	CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Mr. Bradford
10	moves to adopt the resolution.
11	MS. NOONAN: [Raises hand.]
12	CHAIRMAN HALL: I have a second.
13	Miss Tramonte, if you would, please,
14	read the resolution into the record.
15	THE CLERK: On the 16th day of
16	August, 2012, the Louisiana Gaming
17	Control Board did, in a duly noticed
18	public meeting, consider the issue of
19	Creative Casinos of Louisiana, LLC's,
20	petition to approve name change of
21	licensee, and upon motion duly made and
22	second, the Board adopted the following
23	resolution.
24	Be it resolved that the requested
25	name change from Creative Casinos of

1	Louisiana, LLC, to Ameristar Casino Lake
2	Charles, LLC, is approved. Be it
3	further resolved that licensee submit to
4	the Board a copy of the executed
5	Articles of Amendment and all other
6	filings made with the Louisiana
7	Secretary of State necessary to
8	effectuate the name change within five
9	days of the filing.
10	Thus done and signed in Baton Rouge
11	Louisiana, this 16th day of August,
12	2012.
13	CHAIRMAN HALL: Miss Tramonte, if
14	you would, please, call the roll.
15	THE CLERK: Miss Rogers?
16	MS. ROGERS: Yes.
17	THE CLERK: Mr. Bradford?
18	MR. BRADFORD: Yes.
19	THE CLERK: Mr. Jones?
20	MR. JONES: Yes.
21	THE CLERK: Mr. Stipe?
22	MR. STIPE: Yes.
23	THE CLERK: Mr. Singleton?
24	MR. SINGLETON: Yes.
25	THE CLERK: Miss Noonan?
	31
1	MS. NOONAN: Yes.
2	THE CLERK: Major Mercer?

3	MAJOR MERCER: Yes.
4	THE CLERK: Chairman Hall?
5	CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes.
6	MR. DUNCAN: Thank you very much.
7	CHAIRMAN HALL: Motion carries.
8	Thank you, Mr. Duncan.
9	C. Update on PNK (Baton Rouge) d/b/a L'Auberge
10	Baton Rouge, No. R011000801
11	CHAIRMAN HALL: Next resolution up
12	for consideration is an update, or we
13	have an update, if you will, please,
14	before we consider the two petitions and
15	resolutions that we have for PNK
16	Partnership. If you would. Good
17	morning.
18	MR. GODFREY: Yes. Good morning,
19	Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board. I'm
20	Jack Godfrey, Executive Vice-President,
21	General Counsel and Secretary of
22	Pinnacle Entertainment, Inc., and
23	appearing with me today at the podium
24	are two executives to my left: Kirk
25	England, who is our Senior
	32
1	Vice-President of Construction, to my
2	right is Ricky Parenton, who is
3	Vice-President and General Manager of
4	L'Auberge Baton Rouge.
5	We also have a number of other

6	people in the audience that are going to
7	be available to address any questions
8	that the Board may have, and I'd ask
9	that they stand when I call their name.
10	First of all, Gino lafrate, who is our
11	Executive Vice-President of Regional
12	Operations. He oversees our Louisiana
13	operations. Bhavna Mistry, Senior
14	Vice-President of Design and
15	Construction, and Frank Brown, Director
16	of Compliance.
17	In addition, from Manhattan
18	Construction, our general contractor, we
19	have we have Duane Duffy and Tom Kramer,
20	and from our Architect of Record,
21	Manning Architects, we have Ray Manning
22	and Ray Heck. So these individuals will
23	be available in the event that you have
24	any questions.
25	Very happy to be here today. We
	33
1	were here last month asking for a short
2	extension of time to complete
3	construction. As you'll hear today from
4	Mr. England, construction is proceeding
5	at a pace, and we are on track for an
6	August 29, 2012, opening, subject to
7	your approval.
8	It was only a little over seven

9	years ago that Pinnacle Entertainment
10	was in front of this board on a similar
11	issue related to L'Auberge du Lac, the
12	last major casino riverboat operation
13	that was built in this state, and so we
14	find ourselves in a very similar
15	situation today. We're approximately
16	two weeks out from the expected opening
17	date, and as with all construction
18	projects, we still have a little work to
19	do, some permitting; but as you'll hear
20	from Mr. England, things are on track,
21	and we're confident that we're going to
22	make our deadline.
23	So with those brief introductory
24	remarks, I'm going to turn it over to
25	Mr. England to give you an update on the
	34
1	construction.
2	MR. ENGLAND: Good morning, Chairman
3	Hall, Members of the Board. I'm here
4	today to offer a summary of a
5	construction update, and I would like to
6	walk you through the project.
7	So as you enter into our facility,
8	all the roadway for the River Road has
9	been accepted by the DOTD and is open to
10	the public.
11	We'll go to the vessel. The vessel

is fully loaded with electronic games and tables, and they're currently going through the inspection process with the State Police. We also have a TCO from the City of Baton Rouge which allows our employees to go onboard the vessel and train and work on the table games and with the State Police to provide a training process prior to our openings. On the second level, we have the event center, which a lot of training is going on up there; and also we are cooking in the kitchens, and we're feeding the people on the vessel. As we go forward into the hotel, there's 12 stories. We have stocked up

As we go forward into the hotel, there's 12 stories. We have stocked up to nine with all furnishings, beds, linens and so forth, and we are in the process of going through a TCO -- excuse me, we had the TCO on August 3rd for the hotel, so our employees are in the hotel, also.

So as the entire project goes, I can answer specific questions about it, but we have been working diligently with ABS, the City of Baton Rouge and with the fire marshal from the beginning to do produce testing in the hundreds and

15	inspections in the hundreds. They've
16	been there nearly every day at our
17	project.
18	We are set to go next week with our
19	final inspections beginning on Monday,
20	which will lead to us getting a
21	certificate from the City of Baton
22	Rouge, and then we would get our fire
23	marshal inspection report, and hopefully
24	together with working with ABS, get
25	their Certificate of Compliance where we
	36
1	would be ready with all our life safety
2	by the end of next week in preparation
3	to a 29th opening.
4	So if you have any specific
5	questions, I'll try to answer them, or
6	my team members who we recently
7	introduced will be prepared to answer
8	for me or with me.
9	D. Consideration of Certificate of Compliance for
10	the Alternate Riverboat inspection of the
11	gaming vessel of PNK (Baton Rouge) Partnership
12	d/b/a L'Auberge Baton Rouge, No. R011000801
13	CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Very good.
14	Why don't we continue on then, if we
15	can, to the petitions that we have
16	dealing with this. I think the first
17	one is the alternate Certificate of

18	Alternate Compliance for the riverboat
19	gaming vessel, which means that we
20	really should have someone from ABSC
21	address this, and y'all someone from
22	Pinnacle I mean, if if someone
23	would like, you can remain at the table,
24	please. Thank you.
25	MR. TYLER: Chairman Hall, Board
	37
1	Members, I'm Assistant Attorney General,
2	Michael Tyler, and again I'm joined by
3	John Francic of ABSC. We come before
4	you seeking the issuance of a temporary
5	Certificate of Compliance to L'Auberge
6	Baton Rouge based upon the
7	recommendation of ABSC.
8	From July 23rd, 2010, to present
9	date, ABSC had monitored the
10	construction of the vessel to be
11	utilized at L'Auberge Baton Rouge. For
12	more on this and the findings of ABSC
13	throughout this process, I now turn this
14	matter over to John Francic of ABSC.
15	MR. FRANCIC: Good morning,
16	Chairman, Board Members. I'm John
17	Francic with ABS Consulting, and I'm
18	here to give you an update status on our
19	inspection of the L'Auberge Baton Rouge
20	Casino.

21	We've been inspecting this vessel
22	for well over two years since the start
23	of construction. The report I provided
24	you this morning gives you kind of a
25	summary of what we've been doing during
	38
1	that period. There's some photos in
2	there. Kind of I draw from the all
3	the reports that we've done over the
4	past two years from the start of the
5	basin, the construction at the Bollinger
6	shipyard with the three barges that were
7	built down there and brought up to
8	on-site, placed in the slip and in the
9	construction forward there. So it kind
10	of gives you a little bit of a history
11	of where we've been and where we're at
12	right now.
13	Our final inspection of the casino
14	began on July 30th, and I brought a
15	couple of my team members here, Jeff
16	Bordoke (phonetic) and Mark Downey.
17	They're sitting behind me right here
18	just in case we have any inspection
19	detail questions. They've been on this
20	project for the past two years.
21	With the inspection, we've been
22	doing, you know, fire testing, fire
23	alarms, reviewing the fire plan, fire

24 dampers, testing, and the testing still25 is going on to date.

39 1 A dead weight survey was done on 2 August 7th; a preliminary stability 3 letter was issued. That's in the 4 report. That's the last part of the 5 report. 6 We conducted two fire drills this 7 past week, August 14th and again on 8 August 15. The one on August 15th we 9 did with St. George Fire Department. 10 Everything ran really well. The crew, 11 the team members knew their job 12 responsibility according to the station 13 bill we worked on last month that the 14 Board approved. They were enthusiastic. 15 They knew their job, and I think if 16 there was any problems onboard, that 17 they would be well competent to prepare 18 for an emergency. 19 In your report, there's two lists 20 there attached. There's one that says 21 there's life safety items, then non-life 22 safety items. Before we sign off on the 23 temporary Certificate of Compliance, we 24 want to make sure that the life safety 25 items are addressed, and in talking with

1	Pinnacle, that's our goal. We're
2	looking at doing this by the 23rd of
3	August, and those are the items that
4	we're looking at completing.
5	The remaining items on the next list
6	we're looking at a 90-day period to give
7	them time to complete those items, which
8	are non-life safety, but our ultimate
9	goal before opening is to make sure that
10	all life safety and safety to the
11	patrons onboard when they go there is
12	not jeopardized. So that's our main
13	intent and our main goal.
14	But overall, progress has been
15	really good. Pinnacle's been working
16	well with us, and to date we're moving
17	right along. We're not there yet, but
18	we're we're almost there.
19	MR. TYLER: Given that there are
20	still some items outstanding, we present
21	all of the findings that you have before
22	you today and ask that a mechanism be
23	put in place that once all life safety
24	items are cleared, that a temporary
25	Certificate of Compliance will be issued
	41
1	to L'Auberge Baton Rouge, and after the
2	expiration of 30 days or maybe sometime
3	prior to, if things are completed, they

4	then be allowed to come back for a final	
5	Certificate of Compliance.	
6	CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Do we have	
7	any questions right now? Mr. Stipe.	
8	MR. STIPE: Yeah. Kind of help me	
9	walk through this, if you don't mind.	
10	There is a list of outstanding non-life	
11	safety items.	
12	MR. FRANCIC: Yes.	
13	MR. STIPE: And those items, you're	
14	telling us those items need to be	
15	completed, but they're not they're	
16	not essential for the safety of the	
17	patrons prior to opening.	
18	MR. FRANCIC: Correct, yes.	
19	MR. STIPE: And those items are	
20	going to be completed your suggestion	
21	to the Board is those items will be	
22	completed within 90 days of opening.	
23	MR. FRANCIC: Yes. For example,	
24	we've like the dead weight survey we	
25	did on August 7th, it's hard to do with	
	42	
1	all the calculations because of all of	
2	the equipment onboard and actually	
3	account of everything. So once we get	
4	everything stabilized and they'll go	
5	back there to account for all the stuff	
6	and then adjust the ballast that's on	

7	the barges, make sure the strain gauge
8	is all level, it's not to one side. So
9	that's the reason we get a temporary
10	stability letter and then once we go
11	there to do a final, and that's within
12	that 90-day period.
13	MR. STIPE: And then secondly,
14	there's a list of outstanding life
15	safety items, and your inspection,
16	investigation report to date, plus
17	completing this punch list of
18	outstanding life safety items, in your
19	opinion, that will allow for this
20	facility to be safe for the patrons to
21	come and visit.
22	MR. FRANCIC: Yes.
23	MR. STIPE: These outstanding life
24	safety items need to be completed before
25	the opening date.
	43
1	MR. FRANCIC: Yes, and our goal on
2	that is August 23rd.
3	MR. STIPE: And if those are done,
4	based on your investigation and your
5	research, your examination of this
6	facility, this this facility would be
7	suitable, safe for the opening for the
8	public patrons to utilize.
9	MR. FRANCIC: Yes.

10	MR. STIPE: Okay. That's all I	
11	have.	
12	CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes, Mr. Singleton.	
13	MR. SINGLETON: Who issues their	
14	temporary license?	
15	MR. FRANCIC: We prepare the	
16	certificate; and then I'll sign it, and	
17	give it to the Chairman to sign. He's	
18	the ultimate. Once he signs it, it	
19	becomes official.	
20	MR. SINGLETON: So you sign it	
21	saying that everything is in order, and	
22	then the Chairman will sign off on that	
23	saying they can open.	
24	MR. FRANCIC: Yes.	
25	CHAIRMAN HALL: And, Mr. Singleton,	
	44	
1	a resolution really authorizing is	
2	written such that the Board is going to	
3	authorize me to accept that	
4	MR. SINGLETON: Okay.	
5	CHAIRMAN HALL: since it's not	
6	prepared for today's meeting where we	
7	are.	
8	And I would just like to say that I	
9	spent four almost four hours at the	
10	property the other day, and it's a	
11	tremendous amount of work going on.	
12	They're doing an excellent job in	

13	preparing to get ready. It's a
14	beautiful property, and it's going to be
15	a big asset to you know, to this
16	community, and I know that I'm you
17	know, from having looked at this
18	convinced that y'all are going to be
19	able to make those deadlines, but, you
20	know, ABSC is the one that has to make
21	that certification to me.
22	And then so that so that I have
23	that, if you will, the final word from
24	the experts that what we believe to be
25	true is, in fact, true. And we'll go
	45
1	forth from there, but do we have a
2	motion to entertain?
3	MS. NOONAN: I have a question.
4	CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes, Miss Noonan.
5	MS. NOONAN: Mr. Francic, do you
6	feel comfortable with this August 23rd
7	with this punch list for these life
8	the stuff that needs to be done? Are
9	you comfortable with that August 23rd?
10	Do you feel like with the progress
11	given, that they can have this done?
12	MR. FRANCIC: Well, we've been
13	moving along with the progress, yes,
14	but, you know, it's really up to
15	Pinnacle to make sure everything's

16	working. So, you know, that's the day
17	that we feel it's adequate, and we'll
18	see if this is going to happen.
19	MS. NOONAN: And does Pinnacle feel
20	comfortable with this?
21	MR. ENGLAND: Absolutely. This is
22	my favorite part of the project. It
23	absolutely is. Because when you walk
24	into a space one hour, you leave, you
25	come back, and the space takes and
	46
1	transforms. Commissioner Bradford, I'm
2	sure, can speak to this, being a
3	contractor. It is absolutely
4	incredible.
5	Just the hotel lobby, we walked
6	through it, as Chairman Hall said, and
7	you look at it two days ago. We walked
8	through it yesterday, and it's
9	unbelievable. All the tile's down.
10	There's the behind the front desk, the
11	front desk is in, and it was vacant the
12	day before. So this is the part, the
13	finish work.
14	The life safety piece is an
15	important piece, which is where you
16	spend most of your time, and once we get
17	through that on the 23rd, it's nothing
18	but finish work all the way home. And

19	we feel very comfortable. It's going to
20	be gorgeous.
21	MS. NOONAN: All right. Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN HALL: Anyone else?
23	Mr. Stipe? Did you have
24	MR. STIPE: No, no.
25	CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. I must have
	47
1	not cleared it from last. All right.
2	Do we have a motion to entertain, if you
3	will, or to adopt the resolution?
4	MS. NOONAN: I'll motion.
5	CHAIRMAN HALL: Motion by Miss
6	Noonan
7	MR. JONES: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN HALL: second by
9	Mr. Jones. Miss Tramonte, would you
10	read the resolution, please.
11	THE CLERK: On the 16th day of
12	August, 2012, the Louisiana Gaming
13	Control Board did, in a duly noticed
14	public meeting, consider the issue of
15	PNK Baton Rouge Partnership's request
16	for a riverboat gaming vessel
17	Certificate of Compliance and the report
18	and testimony of ABS Consulting, and
19	upon motion duly made and second, the
20	Board adopted the following resolution.
21	Re it resolved that upon the

22	satisfactory completion of all life
23	safety testing and the recommendation of
24	ABSC, PNK Baton Rouge Partnership be
25	issued a temporary riverboat gaming
	48
1	vessel Certificate of Compliance for the
2	alternate inspection of the gaming
3	vessel.
4	Be it further resolved that the
5	temporary riverboat gaming vessel
6	Certificate of Compliance issued to PNK
7	Baton Rouge Partnership shall expire
8	three months from the date of its
9	issuance or the date of a final
10	riverboat gaming vessel Certificate of
11	Compliance is issued, whichever comes
12	first.
13	Be it further resolved that members
14	of the Louisiana Gaming Control Board
15	hereby authorize, upon the receipt of
16	the recommendation of ABSC, the Chairman
17	of the Louisiana Gaming Control Board to
18	issue a temporary riverboat gaming
19	vessel Certificate of Compliance to PNK
20	Baton Rouge Partnership.
21	This done and signed in Baton Rouge,
22	Louisiana, this 16th day of August,
23	2012.
24	CHAIRMAN HALL: Any question on the

25	resolution? If not, please call the
	49
1	roll, Miss Tramonte.
2	THE CLERK: Miss Rogers?
3	MS. ROGERS: Yes.
4	THE CLERK: Mr. Bradford?
5	MR. BRADFORD: Yes.
6	THE CLERK: Mr. Jones?
7	MR. JONES: Yes.
8	THE CLERK: Mr. Stipe?
9	MR. STIPE: Yes.
10	THE CLERK: Mr. Singleton?
11	MR. SINGLETON: Yes.
12	THE CLERK: Miss Noonan?
13	MS. NOONAN: Yes.
14	THE CLERK: Major Mercer?
15	MAJOR MERCER: Yes.
16	THE CLERK: Chairman Hall?
17	CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes. The motion
18	carries.
19	MR. GODFREY: Thank you very much.
20	CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you. We have
21	another one.
22	MR. SINGLETON: Maybe just
23	something. Just a curiosity kind of a
24	question. I try to keep up with these
25	ethics rules from the State.
	50

1 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes.

2	MR. SINGLETON: Sometime I don't
3	keep up with them, and I know we've all
4	been invited to be there on the 29th.
5	Is that something permissible under
6	Louisiana ethics laws for us to go and
7	participate?
8	CHAIRMAN HALL: My understanding it
9	is. We do have the attorney here a
10	representative of the Attorney General's
11	Office to who may want to but it
12	is my understanding that it is and would
13	not violate an ethics rule for us to
14	attend.
15	MR. SINGLETON: To attend might be
16	one thing, but they had some things
17	at least the invitation I got, the
18	amenities that might be available to you
19	while you're there. I know we can't
20	gamble. That one is out of the
21	question, but if there's a steak
22	available or other things like that, are
23	we allowed to participate?
24	It might seem funny, but when you
25	look at the ethics laws here, I'm just
	51
1	always confused about what they say and
2	what you can or can't do.
3	MR. STRIDER: Chairman, we're unable
4	to give a direct opinion at this point,

- 5 but we can certainly get you an opinion
- 6 before the 29th. And we'll direct it to
- 7 the Chairman, and he'll distribute it
- 8 for us.
- 9 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes, sir, I will.
- 10 Very good answer. Thank you, sir. We
- do have to be careful, appearance,
- Mr. Singleton, and that is a very good
- 13 question; and I don't believe anyone
- 14 takes it lightly.
- 15 E. Consideration of petition by PNK (Baton Rouge)
- 16 Partnership d/b/a L'Auberge Baton Rouge, No.
- 17 R011000801, for determination of substantial
- 18 completion, commencement of gaming operations
- 19 and release of escrowed funds
- 20 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. We have
- 21 another one. This will be consideration
- 22 for determination for substantial
- 23 completion and commencement of gaming
- 24 operations and release of escrow funds,
- so it does multiple things. Yes, sir.

- 1 MR. GODFREY: Yes. Mr. Chairman,
- 2 again, Jack Godfrey. The petition makes
- 3 the request that you just summarized,
- 4 and we would request and assume that it
- 5 would be according to the same
- 6 administrative procedure that we
- 7 discussed.

8	Our escrow agreement with the State
9	says that upon commencement of gaming
10	operations, the escrow moneys are
11	released. So, obviously, both Pinnacle
12	and the Board will have to provide the
13	escrow agent with written authorization
14	to do that, and we've requested that
15	that occur as soon as possible upon the
16	commencement of gaming operations.
17	CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Yes, sir.
18	MR. GAUTREAUX: I'll stay here if
19	you don't
20	CHAIRMAN HALL: No. Let me figure
21	out the right button.
22	MR. GAUTREAUX: Just to give the
23	Board a brief update of where we are, if
24	you remember, the conditions on this
25	license were placed on them
	53
1	September 18th, 2007, when the Board
2	approved the relocation of this licensee
3	from Lake Charles to Baton Rouge. It's
4	the traditional conditions that you are
5	used to regarding reporting requirement
6	and setting timelines to achieve certain
7	goals and benchmarks, defining the
8	project, setting minimum investment
9	requirement, obtaining permits and
10	certifications.

11	We reviewed Pinnacle's progress and
12	history regarding compliance with these
13	conditions. They have met and satisfied
14	the majority of the conditions. Those
15	left either involve the final completion
16	of the approved project and the
17	commencement of gaming, or those things
18	which are continuous in nature which
19	they'll be responsible for from here on
20	out, like following the rules and
21	regulations, abiding by Division
22	directives, reporting on the employment
23	and procurement requirements. So those
24	will continue on.
25	Of those still left outstanding that
	54
1	aren't continuing, most of them deal
2	with the substantial completion of the
3	project. Condition 8 requires before
4	they can commence operations that the
5	entire approved project has been
6	substantially completed and ready for
7	occupance and use. Condition 9 says
8	that it's the Board who determines when
9	the approved project is substantially
10	complete, and the mechanism used is the
11	Certificate of Substantial Completion by
12	the project architect, which is
13	submitted, stating that it's ready for

14	occupance and use.
15	Condition 11 requires that they
16	obtain the Certificate of Compliance,
17	which you heard just before, before they
18	can open, and Condition 14 requires a
19	preoperative inspection conducted by
20	Louisiana State Police before they can
21	open, which is currently scheduled for
22	August 27th, I believe.
23	CHAIRMAN HALL: Correct.
24	MR. GAUTREAUX: So they still have
25	to satisfy those conditions before they
	55
1	can open. We have a resolution
2	proposed since the Certificate of
3	Compliance has not been submitted yet
4	because they still have these final
5	things to do a resolution proposed
6	authorizing the Chairman that upon
7	confirmation that the certificate of the
8	architect has been submitted and
9	confirmation from State Police that
10	everything went okay on the preoperative
11	inspection, that a determination of
12	substantial completion can be made, and
13	they can be allowed to open on
14	August 29th.
15	And then as a final matter, as
16	Mr. Godfrey pointed out, if you

17	remember, PNK agreed to place \$25
18	million in escrow as an assurance the
19	project would be completed. Under the
20	escrow agreement, if PNK abandoned the
21	project or surrendered the license or
22	the Board revoked the license, then the
23	\$25 million would go to the State. On
24	the other hand, once the project is
25	complete and gaming commenced, \$25
	56
1	million would revert back to Pinnacle,
2	and that's Condition 27 of the
3	conditions.
4	The mechanism for it to revert back
5	to Pinnacle, as Mr. Godfrey said, is to
6	provide a written notice to the escrow
7	agent that the project is substantially
8	complete and gaming has commenced, and,
9	again, since the 29th falls in between
10	board meetings, the resolution would
11	authorize the Chairman to issue that
12	notice upon confirmation from the
13	Division that gaming has commenced.
14	CHAIRMAN HALL: Do we have any
15	questions?
16	MR. JONES: Just a curiosity
17	question. The \$25 million is still in
18	the escrow account. Who gets the
19	interest that it earned over the two

20	years? It didn't earn much with the	
21	current interest rates.	
22	MR. GAUTREAUX: No. It didn't earn	
23	much, and the agreement provides that	
24	Pinnacle kept the interest.	
25	CHAIRMAN HALL: Do we have a	
	57	
1	resolution excuse me, do we have a	
2	motion to adopt the resolution?	
3	MAJOR MERCER: I move we approve the	
4	resolution.	
5	CHAIRMAN HALL: Major Mercer.	
6	MR. JONES: Second.	
7	CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Jones seconds.	
8	Miss Tramonte, if you would, please,	
9	read the resolution.	
10	THE CLERK: On the 16th day of	
11	August, 2012, the Louisiana Gaming	
12	Control Board did, in a duly noticed	
13	public meeting, consider the issue of	
14	PNK Baton Rouge Partnership's petition	
15	for determination of substantial	
16	completion, commencement of gaming	
17	operations and release of escrow funds,	
18	and upon motion duly made and second,	
19	the Board adopted the following	
20	resolution.	
21	Be it resolved that the Chairman be	
22	authorized to accept the architect	

23	Certificate of Substantial Completion
24	and Readiness for Occupance and Use as
25	confirmed by the Division and to
	58
1	determine substantial completion of the
2	approved project on behalf of the Board.
3	Be it further resolved that the PNK
4	Baton Rouge Partnership's request to
5	commence gaming operations on
6	August 29th, 2012, be approved subject
7	to, one, successful completion of the
8	preoperative inspection to be conducted
9	by the Louisiana State Police Gaming
10	Enforcement Division, and, two, the
11	determination of substantial completion
12	of the approved project by the Chairman.
13	Be it further resolved that the
14	Chairman be authorized to execute the
15	notice to the escrow agent for release
16	of the escrow funds upon receipt of
17	confirmation from the Division that
18	gaming operations have commenced for the
19	approved project.
20	Thus done and signed in Baton Rouge,
21	Louisiana, this 16th day of August,
22	2012.
23	CHAIRMAN HALL: Questions? If you
24	would, Miss Tramonte, please call the
25	roll.

1	THE CLERK: Miss Rogers?
2	MS. ROGERS: Yes.
3	THE CLERK: Mr. Bradford?
4	MR. BRADFORD: Yes.
5	THE CLERK: Mr. Jones?
6	MR. JONES: Yes.
7	THE CLERK: Mr. Stipe?
8	MR. STIPE: Yes.
9	THE CLERK: Mr. Singleton?
10	MR. SINGLETON: Yes.
11	THE CLERK: Miss Noonan?
12	MS. NOONAN: Yes.
13	THE CLERK: Major Mercer?
14	MAJOR MERCER: Yes.
15	THE CLERK: Chairman Hall?
16	CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes.
17	MR. GODFREY: Thank you very much
18	CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank y'all very
19	much. I appreciate it.
20	MR. BRADFORD: Mickey, before you
21	leave, I just want the record to show
22	that the invitation that I got to the
23	29th grand opening, I was not offered a
24	steak, and that begs the question: Why
25	was Mr. Singleton offered a steak and I
	60
1	wasn't? And the rest of the board,
2	y'all can jump in any time, you know.

3	MR. STIPE: I'll just say to quote
4	Charlie Brown, "All I got was a rock."
5	MS. NOONAN: I got two rocks.
6	VII. VIDEO GAMING ISSUES
7	A. Presentation of implementation by
8	Louisiana State Police of Act 161, 2012
9	Legislative Session
10	CHAIRMAN HALL: All right. We will
11	continue on. Thank you for seeing us
12	through today. We have video gaming
13	issues, and next up we have a
14	presentation by Louisiana State Police
15	dealing with the implementation of Act
16	161 of this 2012 Legislative Session.
17	We have Lieutenant Baron. Do we have
18	anybody else? Are you by yourself?
19	LIEUTENANT BARON: I think I'm it.
20	Good morning, Chairman, Members of
21	the Board, my name is Chris Baron. I'm
22	a Lieutenant with the Louisiana State
23	Police Gaming Enforcement Division. I'm
24	here today to give you a brief overview
25	for the Gaming Division's implementation
	61
1	of Act 161 of the 2012 Legislature.
2	I have a PowerPoint presentation.
3	I'm waiting for Mr. Jobert to turn it
4	on. I believe he was next-door.
5	CHAIRMAN HALL: We'll give him just

a second, if you would.
LIEUTENANT BARON: I'll give you a
brief purpose behind the legislation
before we get started. Out of the
Governor's Streamline Commission and
also from the industry the video
gaming industry, there was issues and
concerns that came up about
duplication
CHAIRMAN HALL: It's up.
LIEUTENANT BARON: yes, sir,
thank you. There was issues and
concerns brought up about duplication
for background investigations between
ATC and the Division for bars and
restaurants that are applying for a
license, so out of those discussions,
there came legislation the past session
that tried to help implement those ideas
of streamlining.
62
The first piece of legislation was
Act 764. This piece of legislation
enhanced the suitability requirements
for ATC. What we did was look at the
suitability standards for video gaming
and the suitability standards for ATC,
and this legislation brought them in

line and added some statutory

disqualifiers to ATC applicants that were also applying for video poker.

The next piece of legislation discussed was Act 161. This affected the video gaming law. This act adds disqualifying convictions to suitability standards of ATC law if a bar or restaurant also intends to apply for -- excuse me, that was 764.

On 161, this applies to video gaming bars and restaurants. The legislation says that any person granted a bar or restaurant permit with ATC shall be deemed to satisfy the video gaming suitability requirements, which are duplicative of suitability requirements in ATC law.

The passing of Act 764, which was previously mentioned, makes suitability requirements for ATC equal with the suitability requirements for video gaming. So the next was how we were going to implement this legislation.

The Division has a plan to modify our procedures processing video gaming bar and restaurant applications, which will reduce application background processing time. This reduction in time

12	will not reduce the integrity of our
13	investigations. The law change will not
14	prevent the Division from conducted a
15	comprehensive suitability investigation
16	either.
17	So when we look at our plan, what we
18	will not do: We will not conduct
19	suitability investigations any longer on
20	individuals that are applying for a bar
21	and restaurant video gaming license if
22	they have been found suitable by ATC.
23	In addition, we will no longer need to
24	conduct Secretary of State status checks
25	on licensees and applicants that are
	64
1	duplicative process that ATC currently
2	does. They do status checks through
3	ATC, as well. So that process we've
4	found to streamline that down.
5	We will continue to conduct
6	suitability investigations on
7	individuals who have not been fully
8	investigated by ATC. This is such a
9	case as revenue recipients that they
10	don't look at through ATC because it's a
11	video gaming revenue recipient. In
12	addition, they do a limited check on
13	spouses, so we will pick up where they
14	left off that's not duplicative and

continue to look at the spouses of owners further.

The Division will also continue to conduct gaming history checks on all applicants for licensure, and we will continue to conduct the on-site inspection for the establishments to also make sure that all of these requirements for bars and restaurants and video gaming are met in the establishments there.

So we plan to use the time that we've saved in this background processing to increase our regulatory efforts through proactive means such as compliance inspections. The Division will also initially incorporate control measures to ensure that our new licensing process will maintain our current level of suitability integrity.

So now we can review our flow chart of how the processing is going take place, and it's going to be very similar to what we do now. So an applicant for video poker first will be a bar or restaurant that has a video -- excuse me, has an ATC license. Once they get the ATC license, ATC will designate on

18	that license that they meet the video
19	poker standards, as well as ATC
20	standards, or they do not.
21	When they do meet the video poker
22	standards, the application then will be
23	sent to State Police by the bar or
24	restaurant for the license. We will
25	review the application just as we've
	66
1	always reviewed the application, and
2	then we'll conduct the investigation
3	that's not duplicative of ATC. Once we
4	conduct the investigation, if it is an
5	approval, then the Division will approve
6	the license, and converse, if it's a
7	denial, the same approach will follow
8	that is currently. We'll forward it to
9	the Attorney General's Office for
10	review, and then that application then
11	follows the same path it currently does.
12	In closing, I would like to
13	reiterate that this new law change
14	should increase application processing
15	efficiency while maintaining our high
16	level of integrity. This change is not
17	comprehensive but is a positive step in
18	making our process more efficient.
19	We're taking another efficiency step
20	with the creation of online video gaming

21	applications that we expect to
22	have ready for internal testing by the
23	Division by the beginning of October.
24	The Division feels that these are
25	positive steps that will assist us in
	67
1	better serving industry.
2	I'll be glad to open it up to any
3	questions.
4	CHAIRMAN HALL: Major Mercer.
5	MAJOR MERCER: Basically, what
6	you're saying is that anything that ATC
7	does, then the Division would not go and
8	do any further investigation of what
9	they've done, but if they do not cover
10	anything that needs to be covered in
11	your normal investigation, then you
12	would cover that; is that correct?
13	LIEUTENANT BARON: Yes, sir.
14	MAJOR MERCER: Okay.
15	MS. ROGERS: When ATC voids their
16	application or their license, do they
17	automatically void the gaming?
18	LIEUTENANT BARON: We will take the
19	information. If they submit an
20	application to video gaming, if they
21	don't have an ATC license, they can't
22	apply to us. That's one of the
23	prerequisites for applying.

24 MS. ROGERS: Okay. So they would 25 automatically, okay. 68 1 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Stipe. 2 MR. STIPE: And if they should lose 3 the ATC license, is this regulatory? 4 How is that going to find its way to 5 you? 6 LIEUTENANT BARON: Yes, sir. We 7 have opened up a huge line of 8 communication between us and ATC, and we 9 are doing a very good job of putting 10 information back and forth; and we're 11 developing contacts and avenues, methods 12 for us to have that communication so 13 when they do deny -- or deny a license, 14 it won't come to us without ATC. But if 15 they revoke or spend a license, that 16 information will be forwarded through to 17 the Division, and we're working on our 18 processes now on how to make that 19 information flow from one to the other. 20 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Bradford. 21 MR. BRADFORD: Just generally 22 speaking, the ATC requirements for 23 suitability, before they issue their 24 permits and all, was it in line with 25 what ours was? Was it more rigorous or

1	less rigorous, or how would you
2	LIEUTENANT BARON: Prior to
3	legislation, they had some aspects of it
4	that were a little more rigorous, but
5	there was some statutory disqualifiers
6	that ATC did not have, such as the
7	theft, the gambling and false swearing.
8	So that was the first piece of
9	legislation, was to bring those
10	statutory disqualifiers under ATC, and
11	as a compromise in legislative process,
12	that was only with ATC bar and
13	restaurant applicants that also want to
14	apply for video poker. But what ATC has
15	done is they're going to take that and
16	actually apply it to the standard to
17	their applicants, so we will know when
18	they apply to ATC to us later that
19	they met those video poker standards or
20	not.
21	MR. BRADFORD: How many people do
22	they have to perform that work?
23	LIEUTENANT BARON: To perform the
24	background investigation?
25	MR. BRADFORD: Right.
	70
1	LIEUTENANT BARON: I'm not sure.
2	They do their licensing process out of
3	Baton Rouge, and I'm not sure exactly

4	how many people is in their licensing
5	section.
6	MR. BRADFORD: That's all I have.
7	CHAIRMAN HALL: I was trying to
8	think if I knew the answer to that
9	question. I'm not sure I know how many
10	agents they have.
11	LIEUTENANT BARON: I think they have
12	somewhere in the neighborhood of 35
13	agents statewide that do the inspections
14	and the regulatory functions. Their
15	licensing function is handled in Baton
16	Rouge
17	CHAIRMAN HALL: In Baton Rouge.
18	LIEUTENANT BARON: and it's in
19	conjunction with their legal staff, too.
20	MR. BRADFORD: And that's all
21	in-house? They don't use law
22	enforcement other than their own
23	in-house people?
24	LIEUTENANT BARON: Correct.
25	CHAIRMAN HALL: Anyone else have any
	71
1	questions about this? What I thought
2	we'd also do, if you noticed, we
3	included legislation in your packet that
4	passed during the last session, and
5	since we have State Police and the
6	Attorney General here the Attorney

7	General's representatives here, if there
8	was any questions that y'all might have
9	about the other legislation, it would be
10	an opportunity.
11	And I want to commend everybody for
12	the work that was done on this on
13	this process for the video poker
14	applications. I think it's was a big
15	step forward, and when you put that in
16	conjunction with an actual online
17	application not going online and
18	printing a form, but an actual true,
19	online application I think it's going
20	to make things better for the regulators
21	and the regulated, both in this case.
22	So if we don't have any other
23	questions? Thank you very much.
24	LIEUTENANT BARON: Thank you.
25	VIII. CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS
	72
1	/APPEALS
2	1. In Re: Stingray's, Inc., d/b/a
3	Stingray's Restaurant - No. 2605209704
4	(proposed settlement)
5	CHAIRMAN HALL: In that case, we'll
6	move on to settlements and appeals. We
7	have, I think, two settlements and one
8	appeal.
9	MS. BOGRAN: Correct.

10	CHAIRMAN HALL: Hi there. How are
11	you?
12	MS. BOGRAN: Chairman Hall, Board
13	Members, I'm Olga Bogran, Assistant
14	Attorney General in the Gaming Division.
15	I have the two proposed settlement to
16	present to you today. The first one is
17	Stingray's, Inc., d/b/a Stingray's Grill
18	[sic], license number 2605209704.
19	This settlement addresses two
20	separate violations. The first is the
21	licensee's failure to maintain good
22	standing with the Louisiana Secretary of
23	State, and this was due to the failure
24	to timely file an annual report with the
25	Secretary of State. The licensee did
	73
1	resolve this issue on January 17th,
2	2012.
3	The second issue was the licensee's
4	failure to remain eligible for IRS and
5	Louisiana Department of Revenue tax
6	clearances. The required tax clearances
7	were finally obtained but well outside
8	of the 30 days granted by the Division.
9	The settlement before you includes a
10	civil penalty of a thousand dollars for
11	the violations in the period of
12	noncompliance. It's been signed by the

13	hearing officer and is before you for
14	final approval.
15	CHAIRMAN HALL: Any questions? Do I
16	have a motion to approve the settlement?
17	MR. BRADFORD: I move.
18	CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Bradford. And
19	Mr. Singleton seconds the motion. Is
20	there any opposition? Hearing none, the
21	motion carries and the settlement is
22	accepted.
23	MS. BOGRAN: Thank you.
24	2. In Re: Allied Communications, LLC, d/b/a
25	Allied Communications - No. PO86502868
	74
1	(proposed settlement)
2	MS. BOGRAN: The second one is
3	Allied Communications, LLC, d/b/a Allied
4	Communications, permit number
5	PO86502868.
6	This non-gaming vendor changed its
7	business location in September of 2011.
8	When they submitted their annual permit
9	update form in January 2012, they
10	reported their change in physical and
11	mailing address. Gaming law requires
12	notification of such changes within 15
13	days, and this was clearly outside of
14	that time limit. The settlement
15	agreement includes a civil penalty of

- \$500 for the violation. The hearing
- 17 officer has signed the settlement, and
- it is also before you for final
- 19 approval.
- 20 CHAIRMAN HALL: Any questions? Do I
- 21 have a motion to accept?
- 22 MS. ROGERS: I'll move.
- 23 MR. JONES: Move.
- 24 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Jones, and
- 25 Miss Rogers seconds. Any opposition?

- 1 Hearing none, the motion carries. Thank
- 2 you very much.
- 3 3. In Re: 818 Incorporated d/b/a The Bachelors
- 4 III No. 2600114011 (appeal)
- 5 CHAIRMAN HALL: I believe our last
- 6 issue for today is the -- is an appeal.
- 7 MS. COLLY: Good morning, Chairman
- 8 Hall, Members of the Board. I'm
- 9 Assistant Attorney General, Nicolette
- 10 Colly, representing the Office of State
- 11 Police in this matter.
- 12 The decision and order rendered by
- 13 Hearing Officer Richard L. Reynolds on
- June 18th, 2012, is according to the
- 15 laws governing video draw poker, and
- should be affirmed. Miss Smoak, the one
- 17 hundred percent owner of 818 Inc.,
- failed to disclose two arrests, one of

19	which was a felony; two convictions,
20	both to which she pled guilty, and a
21	revoked probation period on her
22	application to the Division.
23	Further, under Louisiana Revised
24	Statute 27:310(A)(1), Miss Smoak is
25	ineligible to apply or be granted a
	76
1	license. She did not successfully
2	complete the probation. It was revoked
3	because she violated the terms of the
4	probation. Accordingly, the decision of
5	the the decision revoking 818 Inc.'s,
6	license and deeming Miss Smoak
7	unsuitable should be affirmed.
8	CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Is the
9	licensee here? Is Miss Smoak here? [No
10	response.] Okay. She's not? So here
11	we are. Go ahead, Mr. Stipe.
12	MR. STIPE: Other than this letter
13	that's in the record, have you heard?
14	Has she contacted you at all?
15	MS. COLLY: She did appear at the
16	hearing, but she didn't testify or
17	present any evidence.
18	MR. STIPE: And then subsequent to
19	that, she filed this letter, and that's
20	the only contact you've had with her?
21	MS. COLLY: No contact at all.

22	CHAIRMAN HALL: Can we fine them for
23	not appearing and wasting our time?
24	MS. COLLY: Not that I'm aware of.
25	CHAIRMAN HALL: We need to work on
	77
1	that.
2	MR. STIPE: And I'm sorry. What's
3	at issue is her ability to hold a
4	license regulated by this Board, not her
5	ability to operate the facility,
6	generally.
7	MS. COLLY: Right.
8	MR. STIPE: All right.
9	CHAIRMAN HALL: Yeah. That's
10	correct. And it's really a strange
11	case. I don't know how she walked.
12	They revoked her parole but did nothing
13	to invoke the penalty I mean, the
14	sentence that she received, and I don't
15	know how you do that.
16	Oh, you know how that happens, sir?
17	Could you explain that to me, please, so
18	I'll know.
19	MR. STRIDER: At some point there
20	was a motion to terminate a probation
21	filed, and so rather than revoking her,
22	they terminated her probation, which
23	means it just goes away. She no longer
24	is being supervised: she no longer has

25	to pay a supervision fee.
	78
1	Presumably, she met a requirements
2	of probation terms of restitution order,
3	fines. It's it's not an unusual
4	occurrence in criminal cases that
5	probations are terminated. Sometimes
6	it's because they've done a really good
7	job on probation. Sometimes it's
8	because they're very difficult to
9	supervise.
10	CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Thank you.
11	Major Mercer, you had a question
12	earlier?
13	MAJOR MERCER: No.
14	CHAIRMAN HALL: No? Okay. Do we
15	have a motion to affirm the or
16	whatever you wish.
17	MAJOR MERCER: I'll move that we
18	affirm the hearing officer's decision.
19	MS. ROGERS: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN HALL: By Major Mercer,
21	second by Miss Rogers. Any objections?
22	No objections; therefore, the hearing
23	officer's decision is affirmed. Thank
24	you, Miss Colly.
25	MS. COLLY: Thank you.
	79
1	CHAIRMAN HALL: Appreciate it and

2	let me I just want to take one second
3	that, one, it took until 4:30 to finish
4	the agenda yesterday because we were
5	making changes all the way up to the
6	end. And I just want to thank the staff
7	and State Police and Attorney General's
8	Office for their work in getting that
9	done so we can be here today, because we
10	didn't know until then exactly what we
11	were going to have to present as a
12	result of the inspection and such that
13	were going on at the Pinnacle property.
14	So it was all the way up to the last
15	minute in getting prepared.
16	The other comment I would like to
17	make is, the Governor's Office announced
18	the appointment of a new board member
19	effective tomorrow. A Mr. Claude
20	Jackson will be representing the Fourth
21	District in Caddo/Shreveport. He lives
22	in Rodessa, which I'm not really sure
23	where it is, but that's okay. It's up
24	there in that district somewhere. He's
25	a retired serviceman; and so we look
	80
1	forward to him joining our board, and
2	he's effective tomorrow. So I just
3	wanted to make that announcement for
4	those who have not heard.

5	IX. ADJOURNMENT
6	CHAIRMAN HALL: And do I have a
7	motion?
8	MR. SINGLETON: Move we adjourn.
9	MS. NOONAN: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Singleton moves
11	we adjourn. Miss Noonan seconds. Does
12	anybody object? No? Okay, we're
13	adjourned. Motion carries.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	81
1	REPORTER'S PAGE
2	
3	I, SHELLEY PAROLA, Certified Shorthand
4	Reporter, in and for the State of Louisiana, the
5	officer before whom this sworn testimony was
6	taken, do hereby state:
7	That due to the spontaneous discourse of this

8	proceeding, where necessary, dashes () have been
9	used to indicate pauses, changes in thought,
10	and/or talkovers; that same is the proper method
11	for a Court Reporter's transcription of a
12	proceeding, and that dashes () do not indicate
13	that words or phrases have been left out of this
14	transcript;
15	That any words and/or names which could not
16	be verified through reference materials have been
17	denoted with the word "(phonetic)."
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	SHELLEY PAROLA
	Certified Court Reporter #96001
25	Registered Professional Reporter
	82
1	STATE OF LOUISIANA
2	PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE
3	I, Shelley G. Parola, Certified Court
4	Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter, do
5	hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and
6	correct transcript of the proceedings given under
7	oath in the preceding matter on August 16, 2012,
8	as taken by me in Stenographic machine shorthand,
9	complemented with magnetic tape recording, and

10	thereafter reduced to transcript, to the best of
11	my ability and understanding, using Computer-Aided
12	Transcription.
13	I further certify that I am not an
14	attorney or counsel for any of the parties, that I
15	am neither related to nor employed by any attorney
16	or counsel connected with this action, and that I
17	have no financial interest in the outcome of this
18	action.
19	Baton Rouge, Louisiana, this 8th day of
20	November, 2012.
21	
22	
23	SHELLEY G. PAROLA, CCR, RPR
	CERTIFICATE NO. 96001