LGCB Board of Directors' Meeting, (Pages 1:1 to 103:24)

1: 1 LOUISIANA GAMING CONTROL BOARD **BOARD OF DIRECTORS' MEETING** Tuesday, December 15, 2009 Louisiana State Capitol House Committee Room 6 Baton Rouge, Louisiana TIME: 10:00 A.M. 1 APPEARANCES:

3 DANE K. MORGAN 4 Chairman 5 6 MAJOR CLAUDE MERCER 7 Vice-Chairman 8 9 VELMA ROGERS 10 Board Member 11 12 AYRES BRADFORD 13 Board Member 14 15 ROBERT G. JONES 16 Board Member 17 18 MARK STIPE 19 Board Member 20 21 JERRY JUNEAU 22 Board Member 23 24 JAMES SINGLETON 25 Board Member

3 JACKIE J. BERTHELOT

3

1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

4 Board Member

5

```
6 LANA TRAMONTE
7 Executive Assistant to the Chairman
9 REPORTED BY:
10 SHELLEY G. PAROLA, CSR, RPR
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
             4
1
                       PAGE
2 I. CALL TO ORDER
                               8
3 II. COMMENTS FROM THE CHAIR
                                8
4 III. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES
                                     9
5 IV. REVENUE REPORTS
6 V. COMPLIANCE REPORTS
                                   14
7 VI. CASINO GAMING ISSUES
     A. Update on Harrah's Entertainment 20
```

9	B. Consideration of request by	
10	Catfish Queen Partnership in	
11	Commendam d/b/a Belle of Baton	
12	Rouge Casino for Reconfiguration	
13	of Gaming Space 30	
14	C. Consideration of request by	
15	Churchill Downs Louisiana	
16	Horseracing Company, L.L.C. for	
17	Approval for Second Amended and	
18	Restated Credit Agreement 33	}
19	D. Consideration of Petition by	
20	Pinnacle Entertainment, Inc., for	
21	Modification of Approved Project	
22	and Certain License Conditions 3	5
23	E. Consideration of Certificate of	
24	Compliance for the Riverboat	
25	Gaming Vessel Alternate	
	5	
1	PAGE	
2	Inspection Program for Grand	
3	Palais Casino - License No.	
4	R01100841 63	
5	F. Consideration of Certificate of	
6	Compliance for the Riverboat	
7	Gaming Vessel Alternate	
8	Inspection Program for Isle of	
9	Capri Lake Charles - License No.	
10	R011700174 67	
11	G. Consideration of Certificate of	

12 Compliance for the Riverboat 13 **Gaming Vessel Alternate Inspection** 14 Program for Eldorado Casino 15 Shreveport - License No. 16 R0136000005 70 17 VII. RULEMAKING 18 A. Institution of rulemaking 19 procedures for the adoption of 20 amendments to LAC 42:VII.2707, 21 2715 & 2723; LAC 42:IX.2707, 22 2715, 2717 &2723; and LAC 42:XII.2707, 2717 & 2723 23 24 (Accounting Regulations) 73 25 VII. PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS/APPEALS FROM 1 **HEARING OFFICER'S DECISIONS** 2 **PAGE** 3 1. In Re: Gary Gruenig d/b/a 4 Koz's - No. 2602414909 5 76 proposed settlement) 6 2. In Re: Vida Boa, LLC, d/b/a 7 Lago - No. 3601215509 (proposed 8 settlement) 78 9 3. In Re: Hymel's, Inc., d/b/a 10 Hymel's, Inc. - No. 4700214866 11 (proposed settlement) 79 12 4. In Re: Oasis Foods, Inc. - No. 13 PO81001078 (proposed settlement) 81 14 5. In Re: Armand & Guidry, Inc.,

- 15 d/b/a Tastee #61 No. 2602207392,
- 16 James Armand No. 07019, Marilyn
- 17 Guidry No. 07022, B.B.B.J., LLC,
- 18 d/b/a Joe's Cafe 2 No.
- 19 2600212727, Stacey Armand No.
- 20 07020, Helen Byrne No. 07021
- 21 (appeal) 82
- 22 6. In Re: Linsi Pitre No.
- 23 V000016687 (appeal) 96
- 24 IX. EXECUTIVE SESSION 98
- 25 1. In Re: Sunshine Truck Stop

- 1 PAGE
- 2 and Casino, LLC v. State of
- 3 Louisiana through the Louisiana
- 4 Gaming Control Board, Et Al., 19th
- 5 JDC, SS25, Docket No. 574,946
- 6 2. In Re: Security Plus, Inc.
- 7 v. Louisiana State Police Gaming
- 8 License Section and State of
- 9 Louisiana Gamin Control Board,
- 10 19th JDC, SS8, Docket No. 569,273
- 3. In Re: Jackpot Enterprises, LLC
- v. Louisiana Gaming Control Board,
- 13 19th JDC, SS25, Docket No. 561,486
- 4. In Re: State of Louisiana through
- 15 Department of Public Safety,
- 16 Louisiana Gaming Control Board and
- 17 Louisiana State Police v.

```
Henderson Gaming Joint Venture,
18
19
        LLC, 19th JDC, SS26, Docket No.
20
        576,148
      5. In Re: Farmer's Seafood Company,
21
22
        Et Al. v. State of Louisiana
        through Department of Public
23
        Safety, Et Al, 19th JDC, SS21,
24
25
        Docket No. 582,119
              8
1
                         PAGE
2 X. PUBLIC COMMENTS
                                     101
3 XI. ADJOURNMENT
                                   101
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
```

```
21
22
23
24
25
              9
1
          CHAIRMAN MORGAN: All right. 10:00,
2
        call the roll.
3
          THE CLERK: Chairman Morgan?
4
          CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Here.
5
          THE CLERK: Major Mercer?
6
          MAJOR MERCER: Here.
7
          THE CLERK: Miss Rogers?
8
          MS. ROGERS: Here.
9
          THE CLERK: Mr. Bradford?
10
          MR. BRADFORD: Here.
11
          THE CLERK: Mr. Jones?
12
          MR. JONES: Here.
13
          THE CLERK: Mr. Stipe?
14
          MR. STIPE: Here.
15
          THE CLERK: Mr. Juneau?
16
          MR. JUNEAU: Here.
17
          THE CLERK: Mr. Singleton?
18
          MR. SINGLETON: Here.
19
          THE CLERK: Mr. Berthelot?
20
          MR. BERTHELOT: Here.
21
          THE CLERK: Colonel Edmonson? (No
22
        response.) Secretary Bridges? (No
23
        response.)
```

24 II COMMENTS FROM THE CHAIR

a

24	II. COMMENTS FROM THE CHAIR
25	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Nine present and
	10
1	quorum. We'll we're go on record that
2	this is the hardest working board in the
3	state will all members present in
4	December, so I think that's admirable.
5	It's stormy weather.
6	III. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES
7	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Season greetings
8	to all. We have a pretty full agenda,
9	so we'll move on with Item III, Approval
10	of the Minutes. We need a motion that
11	members have read the minutes and
12	everyone's in agreement of the motion to
13	waive formal reading and approval of the
14	minutes.
15	MS. ROGERS: I so move.
16	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Moved by Miss
17	Rogers. Do we have a second?
18	MR. BERTHELOT: I second.
19	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Seconded by
20	Mr. Berthelot. Is there any objection?
21	(No response.) Hearing none, revenue
22	reports.
23	IV. REVENUE REPORTS

MS. JACKSON: Morning, Mr. Chairman,

Board Members. My name is Donna Jackson

24

1	with the Louisiana State Police Gaming
2	Audit Section. The riverboat revenue
3	report for November 2009 is shown on
4	page one of your handout.
5	During November, the 13 operating
6	riverboats generated Adjusted Gross
7	Receipts of \$122,794,135, down
8	\$9 million or 7 percent from
9	October 2009, and down almost 18 percent
10	or \$26 million from November 2008. This
11	month had one less Saturday than last
12	November, which could have contributed
13	to the decrease.
14	Adjusted Gross Receipts for fiscal
15	year 2009-2010 to date are \$680,651,681,
16	a decrease of 7 percent or \$47 million
17	from fiscal year 2008-2009.
18	During November, the State collected
19	fees totaling \$26,400,739. As of
20	November 30th, 2009, the State has
21	collected \$146 million in fees for
22	fiscal year 2009-2010.
23	Next is the summary of the
24	November 2009 gaming activity for
25	Harrah's New Orleans found on page
	12
1	three. During November, Harrah's
2	generated \$27,889,998 in gross gaming
3	revenue, a decrease from October of

- 4 9 percent or \$2.8 million, and a
- 5 decrease of \$3 million or almost 10
- 6 percent from last November. Fiscal year
- 7 to date gaming revenues for 2009-2010 to
- 8 date are \$141,620,959, down \$5.8 million
- 9 or four percent from fiscal year
- 10 2008-2009.
- 11 During November, the State received
- 12 \$4,931,507 in minimum daily payments.
- 13 As of November 30th, 2009, the State has
- collected \$25,150,684 in fees for fiscal
- 15 year 2009-2010.
- 16 Slots at the Racetracks revenues are
- 17 shown on page four. During November,
- 18 the four racetrack facilities combined
- 19 generated Adjusted Gross Receipts of
- 20 \$28,262,697, a decrease of 7 percent or
- 21 \$2 million from last month, and a
- 22 19 percent or \$6.7 million decrease from
- 23 last November. Adjusted Gross Receipts
- for fiscal year 2009-2010 to date are
- 25 \$158,034,539, a decrease of one percent
 - 13
- or \$1.8 million from fiscal year
- 2 2008-2009.
- 3 During November, the State collected
- 4 fees totaling \$4,287,451. As of
- 5 November 30th, 2009, the State has
- 6 collected \$23,973,840 in fees for fiscal

7	year 2009-2010.
8	Are there any questions?
9	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Other than it
10	continues to go down, which is not
11	MS. JACKSON: In November it's not
12	typically one of the stronger months.
13	It's down more than before.
14	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Any questions for
15	Miss Jackson? (No response.) Thank
16	you.
17	MR. BOSSIER: Good morning, Chairman
18	Morgan and Board Members. My name is
19	Jim Bossier with the Louisiana State
20	Police Gaming Audit Section. I'm
21	reporting video gaming information for
22	November 2009, as shown on page one of
23	your handout.
24	Sixteen new licenses were issued
25	during November: Ten bars, five
	14
1	restaurants and one truck stop.
2	Twenty-three applications are currently
3	pending in the field: Eleven bars,
4	eleven restaurants and one device owner.
5	During November 2009, the Gaming
6	Enforcement Division assessed \$11,500
7	and collected \$14,000 in penalties.
8	There are currently \$500 in outstanding
9	penalties. Please refer to page two of

10 your handout. 11 There are presently 15,800 video gaming devices activated at 2,280 12 13 locations. Net device revenue for November 2009 was \$46,359,681, a \$3.8 14 15 million decrease or \$7.6 percent when 16 compared to the net device revenue for 17 October 2009, and an \$11.1 million 18 decrease or 19.4 percent when compared 19 to November 2008. Net device revenue for fiscal year 20 21 2010 to date is \$244,049,614, a \$31.5 22 million decrease or 11.4 percent when 23 compared to net device revenue for 24 fiscal year 2009. Page three of your 25 handout shows a comparison of net device 15 1 revenue. 2 Total franchise fees collected for 3 November 2009 were \$13,830,098, a \$1.1 4 million decrease when compared to 5 October 2009, and a \$3.3 million 6 decrease when compared to November 2008. 7 Total franchise fees collected for 8 fiscal year 2010 to date are 9 \$72,633,161, a \$5.9 million or 10 11.2 percent decrease when compared to 11 last year's franchise fees. A

comparison of franchise fees is shown on

13	page four of your handout.
14	Does anybody have any questions?
15	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Any questions?
16	(No response.) Thank you.
17	V. COMPLIANCE REPORTS
18	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Item V, the
19	Compliance Reports.
20	MS. BROWN: Good morning, Chairman
21	Morgan, Board Members. I'm Assistant
22	Attorney General, Mesa Brown, and today
23	I'll present the staff report on
24	racetrack casino licensees' compliance
25	with statutory employment and
	16
1	procurement conditions for the third
2	quarter of 2009.
3	The third quarter reports are taken
4	from figures reported by the four
5	racetracks to the Louisiana Gaming
6	Control Board. In the third quarter of
7	2009, approximately 1,750 people were
8	employed by the industry. Of that
9	number, 1,518 were Louisiana residents;
10	871 were minorities, and 1,047 were
11	women.
12	I'll begin with employment. All
13	licensees either met or exceeded their
14	statutory condition of hiring 80 percent
15	of Louisiana residents with the

16	exception of one licensee, Delta Downs
17	Racetrack & Casino. Delta Downs
18	achieved 70.8 percent of employees as
19	Louisiana residents out of the required
20	80 percent.
21	With regards to minority and female
22	employment, all licensees either met or
23	exceeded their voluntary condition goals
24	with the exception of Harrah's Louisiana
25	Downs. They fell short of their goal of
	17
1	60 percent female employment by
2	achieving 52 percent.
3	With regards to minority and female
4	procurement, both Delta Downs and
5	Louisiana Downs exceeded minority and
6	female procurement goals. Evangeline
7	Downs exceeded its female procurement
8	goal but was slightly short of achieving
9	its minority procurement goal with 3.19
10	out of 5 percent; Fair Grounds Race
11	Course also exceeded its female
12	procurement goal but was short of
13	meeting its minority procurement goal by
14	achieving 3.81 out of 5 percent.
15	Are there any questions?
16	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: I had a question:
17	Looking at Harrah's total numbers,
18	Harrah's Louisiana Downs, it shows 197

19	employees and compared to, for instance,
20	Boyd who had listed 789 with about the
21	same amount of gaming devices. Is there
22	a difference in reporting of the
23	numbers?
24	MS. BROWN: Well, Mr. Chairman,
25	we're currently in the process of making
	18
1	inquiries to the racetracks to determine
2	how each one is calculating the numbers
3	and to make sure that everyone is being
4	consistent. If we determine or find
5	that there are any inconsistencies in
6	the reporting, we'll make that part of
7	our project and streamline the process.
8	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Well, I think
9	Mr. Payne's coming up behind us, so
10	maybe we'll have him address the
11	employment numbers. That was the only
12	one that looked out of the ordinary.
13	MR. JONES: I had a question. In
14	the last section, page ten I think
15	this is the Louisiana Downs no, Fair
16	Grounds it gives a percentage of
17	Louisiana residents employed in the
18	gaming, and the numbers are not
19	percentages. Would you look at page
20	ten, there.
21	MS. BROWN: Okav.

- 22 MR. JONES: It's, like, 183,190.
- 23 That's not percentages. I think that's
- the numbers as opposed to the
- 25 percentages. Am I looking at it wrong?

- 1 MS. BROWN: No. I see what you're
- 2 saying. It looks like it was just an
- 3 error in their reporting. What I can do
- 4 is meet with them, you know, to
- 5 discuss --
- 6 MR. JONES: Yeah. I think they gave
- 7 the absolute number rather than the
- 8 percentage.
- 9 MS. BROWN: Yeah, it looks like it
- 10 was just --
- 11 MR. JONES: The rest of them are in
- line. No big deal, but it just ought to
- be reported the way it says.
- 14 MS. BROWN: Most definitely. Well,
- 15 I'll meet with them, and I'll report the
- 16 findings at the next board meeting.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Questions?
- 18 MR. STIPE: Can I ask two?
- 19 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Yes.
- 20 MR. STIPE: On that same vendor, on
- 21 page 15 -- sorry, same facility.
- 22 MS. BROWN: Fair Grounds?
- MR. STIPE: Yes, ma'am. Is that a
- 24 particular -- in the first answer on

25	that page, is that a particular vendor
	20
1	that they're referring to in their
2	answer on the third line of their answer
3	at the top?
4	MS. BROWN: I'm really not sure.
5	But what I can do is talk to them, and I
6	can find out if that's a specific
7	vendor.
8	MR. STIPE: And then following up on
9	Harrah's Louisiana Downs, they talk
10	about the reasonable price concept. Do
11	you know I guess, could you explain
12	what that is to me?
13	MS. BROWN: I really don't know, but
14	the reasonable price concept where is
15	that terminology located?
16	MR. STIPE: It's in that Harrah's
17	hold on. Section two and really the
18	next to last question.
19	MS. BROWN: Okay.
20	MR. STIPE: I'd give you the page
21	number, but I don't see it for that
22	section. It's the next to last question
23	in that section. Just if you could
24	check on that.
25	MS. BROWN: Oh, I'll check on it,
	21
1	and, again, I'll report the findings to

2	the Board at the next meeting.
3	Any other questions?
4	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Any other
5	questions? (No response.) Okay, thank
6	you.
7	VI. CASINO GAMING ISSUES
8	A. Update on Harrah's Entertainment
9	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: We're up to Item
LO	VI, Casino Gaming Issues, Update on
l1	Harrah's Entertainment. I think we
L2	have
L3	MR. PAYNE: Morning, Chairman,
L4	Members of the Board. It's great to be
L5	here. I'm John Payne. I am the
L6	President of the Central Division for
L7	Harrah's Entertainment, which entails
18	our properties in Louisiana,
۱9	Mississippi, Iowa, Missouri, Indiana and
20	Illinois. I base my division right down
21	the road in New Orleans.
22	To my right is Geno lafrate. Geno's
23	the general manager of our Bossier City
24	facilities, so our Horseshoe facility in
25	Bossier City, and, of course, Louisiana
	22
1	Downs, which he is prepared to answer
2	the questions that you ask.
3	And to my left is Jim Hoskins. Jim
4	is the general manager of our New

5	Orleans facility. He's excited to be
6	here. He wanted me he to say, "Who
7	Dat." It was his idea, by the way.
8	MR. IAFRATE: Is that the first time
9	that's been on the record?
10	MR. PAYNE: I'm not sure. We're
11	excited to be here this morning. As I
12	told the Chairman a few months ago, I
13	have been the President of the Central
14	Division and had that based in New
15	Orleans for the past three years, and I
16	just have not had the opportunity to
17	come over and speak with you all.
18	And we don't have anything in
19	particular on your agenda this morning
20	other than to make sure you know who we
21	are; and I'm sure there will be times
22	when we're up here when we have a longer
23	agenda, but we simply wanted to say
24	hello, tell you that we're very
25	committed to the State of Louisiana.
	23
1	As I've told the Chairman, we have
2	three properties in the state. Next
3	year we should generate, roughly, about,
4	\$750 million out of these three
5	facilities, and they've all been marquis
6	properties for our company. We continue

to invest in these properties next year.

8	Geno has money to refurb our hotel.
9	That hotel has been a home run for us at
10	Horseshoe. We've had a tough year at
11	Horseshoe with the loss of a lot of our
12	Dallas business, a lot going over to the
13	American Facilities in Oklahoma. But
14	they've repositioned the property, and
15	we look for great things out of that
16	property as we move on to 2010.
17	Jim's the same way. As you've seen
18	the numbers coming out of New Orleans,
19	New Orleans has been very similar to
20	many of the destination markets that
21	you've seen around of the United States,
22	whether that's Atlantic City or whether
23	that's Las Vegas. Fortunately they have
24	not seen that downturn in our business,
25	but we do get over 50 percent of our
	24
1	business nationally. And when the
2	national business continues to decline,
3	it has had an impact on our New Orleans
4	business.
5	But, again, we continue to have
6	plans to reinvest. We plan to continue
7	to finish off our Fulton Street project
8	this next year, which we has been a
9	home run with our hotel and many
10	restaurants, and we are looking forward

11	to 2010.
12	Now, we don't think 2010 is going to
13	be a home run. We think 2010 is going
14	to follow the trends of 2009, but that
15	our organization is well positioned to
16	get us through this tough year and move
17	on for growth in 11 and 12.
18	So with that said, I know Geno
19	wanted to say, one, thank you while he's
20	got the floor, and then we're open for
21	any questions that you might have.
22	MR. IAFRATE: I did, Mr. Chairman, I
23	wanted to thank the Members of the Board
24	and certainly the Enforcement Division.
25	A month or so ago we had a pretty
	25
1	difficult time at Louisiana Downs with
2	the storms and some threats to the
3	levee; and we underwent a temporary
4	closure, and I just think the
5	cooperation and the swift actions from
6	the enforcement division were admirable
7	and greatly appreciated.
8	So I just wanted to publicly say
9	thank you to both the Board and the
10	Enforcement Division for their help
11	during that time.
12	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: In concert with
12	that I'd like to commend you and

14	Harrah's because of showing the
15	responsibility to put your patrons and
16	the safety of your employees first,
17	because without an order or a directive
18	of the Board or the Division, you
19	willingly saw the risk and decided to,
20	in the best interest, shut down and took
21	those measures, and so that's very
22	commendable.
23	MR. IAFRATE: Thank you,
24	Mr. Chairman.
25	MR. PAYNE: There was a question,
	26
1	Chairman, about Louisiana Downs
2	regarding the employment numbers, and I
3	thought Geno, before we open for any
4	questions, would just address that.
5	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: I think the
6	employment numbers and one other if
7	you can address Mr. Stipe's question,
8	also.
9	MR. IAFRATE: The employment
10	numbers, I believe, are reported in
11	error. We'll double-check that with the
12	audit division. A typical employment
13	number at Louisiana Downs in non-racing
14	season is between 500 and 550, and
15	during racing season, that number will
16	be between 600 and 650 650 employees.

17	So not sure where the 170 number is
18	coming from, but we'll work with the
19	audit division and double-check that.
20	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: If you're
21	reporting, we might need more clarity on
22	what you're reporting just as long as,
23	you know, all the properties are
24	reporting the same. If it's strictly
25	related to the slot aspects of the
	27
1	licensee, that's fine. We'll ask the
2	A.G.'s Office.
3	Mr. Stipe, was your question with
4	regard to Harrah's?
5	MR. STIPE: I think it was the
6	reasonable price concept for vendors.
7	MR. IAFRATE: I don't have that
8	document in front of me, but I suspect
9	it is related to the Harrah's
10	utilization of national pricing
11	contracts. But we can double-check and
12	give you a formal answer on that.
13	MR. STIPE: That would be great.
14	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: You just brough
15	him for looks?
16	MR_HOSKINS: That's me_I'm "Who

Dat." It's going well. A lot of fun in

New Orleans right now. It is. We have

a big game this weekend; and as you

17

18

20	know, we beat Atlanta, and we have a
21	buy. And that's a big, big push for the
22	City, great notoriety. And that's what
23	we need right now to get our name out
24	there, and certainly it helps out a lot.
25	But things are going well in New
	28
1	Orleans. I mean, it's been a tough
2	year, and I think John summed it up
3	really well looking at our operation.
4	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Well, I had an
5	opportunity to visit with with a
6	couple of the board members to your
7	property, and, you know, I compliment
8	you. Very, very nice property in New
9	Orleans.
10	MR. PAYNE: Thank you.
11	MR. IAFRATE: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Any questions for
13	Harrah's?
14	MR. SINGLETON: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Hang on one
16	second.
17	MR. SINGLETON: I was still curious
18	when we met a couple weeks ago, and I
19	know you talked about the hotel and how
20	it's done. And I think when y'all first
21	came into being, I guess, the hotel was
22	a major concern the Hotel/Motel,

23	Restaurant Association in New Orleans.
24	But I think from what I understood from
25	your comment, that if y'all had more
	29
1	hotel rooms, then you could increase the
2	amount of business that was coming into
3	the city. Did I hear you correctly?
4	MR. PAYNE: You did. In my job
5	there's not many times you get to say
6	things are home runs. Building that
7	hotel in New Orleans has been a home run
8	for us. As I mentioned on your trip,
9	this is our number one hotel in our
10	company. You know, we've got 50 casinos
11	worldwide. The service scores out of
12	this hotel are the best in the company.
13	It was awarded one of the top hundred
14	hotels in America in its first year a
15	couple years back, and it runs at 98
16	percent occupancy.
17	As I think you all know, we are
18	restricted by an MOU to 450 rooms for
19	that hotel. A casino of this size could
20	support 1,500 to 2,000 rooms. If you go
21	to a place in Las Vegas of this size,
22	you know, they'll have 3,000 rooms;
23	they'll have 2,000 rooms, some will have
24	4,000 rooms.
25	So this is a facility that we fill

1	every night. Try to get a room any
2	weekend, and you're going to call and
3	you're going to be rejected to get in.
4	So we would love over the next coming
5	years to rework our MOU with the
6	Hotel/Motel Association and be able to
7	have more hotel rooms in the city. We
8	think we can continue to grow tourism if
9	we had that opportunity.
10	MR. SINGLETON: Do you have an
11	idea I guess, we're not lobbyists or
12	anything like that and have no business
13	getting in there, but I'm curious from a
14	number perspective: If you had, say,
15	1,500 rooms, what is the calculation you
16	have in terms of what money would come
17	to the state in terms of additional
18	fees? I'm sure you've looked at that.
19	MR. PAYNE: We have. I would be
20	hesitant to throw those numbers out
21	there right now. I think it's not only
22	the amount of revenue we get on the
23	gaming side; it would be the tourism
24	business that we'd bring to the
25	restaurants, as well, as well as the
	31
1	convention business or small businesses
2	we could bring. But I can get you back

3	on the exact number of that.
4	But there's no question that this is
5	a facility that does, you know, between
6	28 and \$35 million in gaming revenue. I
7	wouldn't be surprised adding a hotel of
8	that size we could get up near the
9	\$40 million a month in gaming revenue if
10	we had those amount of hotel rooms,
11	depending on the economic turnaround,
12	obviously. I wouldn't promise that in
13	2010.
14	MR. SINGLETON: Thank you.
15	MR. PAYNE: But in years to come, we
16	believe this is a city and property that
17	can grow.
18	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Any other
19	questions? Thank you.
20	B. Consideration of request by Catfish Queen
21	Partnership in Commendam d/a/a Belle of
22	Baton Rouge Casino for Reconfiguration
23	of Gaming Space
24	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Item B,
25	Consideration of request by Catfish
	32
1	Queen Partnership in Commendam, Belle o
2	Baton Rouge Casino for Reconfiguration
3	of Gaming Space. Morning.
4	TROOPER SINANAN: Morning, Chairman

Morgan and Members of the Board. My

6	name is Trooper Matthew Sinanan with the
7	Louisiana State Police, and I'm here in
8	reference to the Belle of Baton Rouge is
9	requesting a change in their designated
10	gaming area. The request for
11	reconfiguration is for a total decrease
12	in slot machines from 1,011 to 925,
13	which is a total decrease of slot
14	machines of 86 slot machines. The table
15	games will increase from 23, which is up
16	from up three table games from their
17	previous 20, and the total poker games
18	will be six instead of five.
19	The overall changes in the
20	designated gaming area will decrease the
21	gaming area from 28,494 square feet to
22	28,324 square feet, which is a total
23	decrease of 170 square feet in the
24	designated gaming area. The changes
25	also include a cage being converted into
	33
1	a players club.
2	There were numerous changes to the
3	overall configuration, so it would be
4	pretty lengthy to list them all, but the
5	entire floor change floor make-up
6	would change throughout the entire
7	vessel. The floor plans were prepared

by Jim Weisblog (phonetic), with Lay,

9	Pitman & Associates. Lieutenant
10	Commander R. J. Jenkins of the United
11	States Coast Guard did approve the
12	changes, and the Division has reviewed
13	the proposed floor changes and concur
14	with Mr. Weisblog and the Coast Guard.
15	So if you have any questions, I'll
16	be glad to answer them. I also have
17	members from the Belle of Baton Rouge
18	here if you need anything answered.
19	MR. CARLOS: Good morning, Mr.
20	Chairman, Members of the Board, I'm
21	Brett Carlos, the of Director of
22	Compliance. I have also with me Rusty
23	Mugen (phonetic), our project director.
24	If you have any questions, we're
25	definitely here to answer them.
	34
1	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Any questions? Do
2	we have a motion to approve the
3	reconfiguration?
4	MR. BRADFORD: So moved.
5	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Moved by Mr.
6	Bradford.
7	MR. JUNEAU: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Seconded by
9	Mr. Juneau. Is there any objection?
10	(No response.) Hearing none, it's

approved.

12	C. Consideration of request by Churchill
13	Downs Louisiana Horseracing Company,
14	L.L.C., for Approval for Second Amended
15	and Restated Credit Agreement
16	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Item C,
17	Consideration of Request by Churchill
18	Downs Louisiana Horseracing Company,
19	LLC, for Approval of Second Amended and
20	Restated Credit Agreement.
21	MR. GROS: Morning, Mr. Chairman,
22	Board Members, my name is Collin Gros
23	with the Louisiana State Police Gaming
24	Audit Section. Licensee Churchill Downs
25	Louisiana Horseracing Company, LLC,
	35
1	seeks board approval for its parent,
2	Churchill Downs, Incorporated, to amend
3	its current \$120 million amended and
4	restated credit agreement.
5	The new agreement would increase the
6	\$120 million credit agreement up to
7	\$375 million. The \$375 million figure
8	would consist of a \$225 million line of
9	credit, a \$50 million oversubscription
10	feature. Churchill may at its option
11	request that the facility be increased
12	up to \$50 million if the lender's
13	commitments for the facility exceed \$225
14	million in the aggregate.

15	And the final component, a
16	\$100 million accordion feature. At any
17	time Churchill may, with the concept of
18	the agent, J.P. Morgan, Chase Bank, in a
19	request to increase the facility by up
20	to \$100 by obtaining commitments from
21	the lenders or other entities.
22	Churchill enjoys a very strong
23	financial position with a minimal amount
24	of long-term debt on its balance sheet.
25	Historically, Churchill has grown its
	36
1	revenue through acquisition and internal
2	development with minimal deployment of
3	debt. Projections provided by Churchill
4	anticipate continued strong cash
5	position and healthy revenue growth
6	rates in conjunction with its continued
7	conservative utilization of debt.
8	In conclusion, no financial issues
9	came to our attention to preclude the
10	Board from approving Churchill's second
11	amended and restated credit agreement.
12	Thank you.
13	Are there any questions?
14	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Do we have any
15	questions of the Division? Is the
16	licensee here?
17	MR. GROS: There is a representative

- if anybody has any questions.
- 19 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: I don't know if
- there's any questions of the licensee.
- 21 If not, I'll entertain a motion. Is
- there a motion to --
- 23 MR. SINGLETON: I move we approve.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Motion to approve
- 25 by Mr. Singleton.

- 1 MR. JONES: Second.
- 2 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Seconded by
- 3 Mr. Jones. Is there any objection? (No
- 4 response.) It's approved. Good to hear
- 5 a company's financially strong.
- 6 D. Consideration of Petition by Pinnacle
- 7 Entertainment, Inc., for Modification
- 8 of Approved Project and Certain License
- 9 Conditions
- 10 CHAIRMAN GAUDIN: Consideration of
- 11 Petition by Pinnacle Entertainment,
- 12 Inc., for Modification of Approved
- 13 Project and Certain License Conditions.
- 14 MR. ORLANSKY: Morning, Mr.
- 15 Chairman, Members of the Board. Thank
- you for having us here today. I'm Larry
- 17 Orlansky on behalf of Pinnacle
- 18 Entertainment and PNK (SCB), LLC, the
- 19 licensee for the Pinnacle Sugarcane Bay
- 20 project in Lake Charles.

21	With me today from Pinnacle
22	Entertainment are Jack Godfrey,
23	Executive Vice-President, Secretary and
24	General Counsel to my right, and to his
25	right, Cliff Kortman, Executive
	38
1	Vice-President for Construction and
2	Development with Pinnacle Entertainment.
3	Before we get started, I do want to
4	thank your staff, Mr. Chairman, as well
5	as the Attorney General's Office and the
6	State Police for working with us through
7	some of these issues and in fashioning
8	them as we proceeded throughout this
9	project and other projects.
10	We're before you today on a petition
11	to modify the approved project and
12	certain license conditions relating to
13	Sugarcane Bay. The petition addresses
14	three modifications. First is the
15	configuration in terms of the
16	orientation of the riverboat gaming
17	vessel to the other elements of the
18	project, which Mr. Kortman will talk in
19	more detail about in just a minute.
20	The other is the condition regarding
21	the date of completion for the project,
22	to make that date June 30th, 2011, just
23	over 18 months from today; and third is

24	an adjustment in the required
25	expenditure amount. Before Mr. Kortman
	39
1	makes a presentation regarding the
2	details of the project and these
3	specific modifications, it might be
4	helpful, Mr. Chairman, to give just a
5	little background, as we have some board
6	members who were not here the last time
7	we spoke about Sugarcane Bay.
8	Just as background, the license for
9	Sugarcane Bay previously was held by a
10	subsidiary of Harrah's and was used to
11	operate one of the two Harrah's boats in
12	Lake Charles. Downtown on Lake Charles,
13	it was one of the side-by-side casino
14	boats that they had there. Pinnacle
15	acquired from Harrah's the two entities
16	that held those two licenses back in
17	November of 2006, with this Board's
18	approval; and with the Board's approval,
19	the name of Harrah's entity that held
20	this particular license was changed from
21	Harrah's Lake Charles to PNK (SCB).
22	The other license, of course, is being
23	utilized for Pinnacle's Baton Rouge
24	project.
25	A referendum to allow the change of

1	berth of this license from downtown Lake
2	Charles to a site on the Calcasieu River
3	adjacent to L'Auberge du Lac was
4	approved in an election by the voters of
5	Calcasieu Parish back in November of
6	'06. In June of 2007, this board
7	approved the architectural design and
8	blueprints for the project, and
9	construction commenced in October of
10	2008.
11	As you will hear in more detail, the
12	modifications that are proposed today
13	will require submission of new
14	architectural blueprints and plans of
15	design, and we've asked for a date to be
16	set for that requirement for
17	approximately 60 days from today, and
18	then a date of June 30th, 2011, for
19	completion of the project.
20	The other proposed revision which
21	will be addressed is the change of the
22	expenditure amount from \$350 million, as
23	set forth in Condition 15, to
24	\$300 million.
25	And one clarification, Mr. Chairman,
	41
1	that we made a little different from the
2	petition that we followed up with a
3	letter last week, was originally when we

4	drafted the petition, we suggested a
5	two-step deadline whereby the June 30th,
6	2011, would be the deadline for the
7	hotel and the other amenities with the
8	goal of earlier goal for the
9	riverboat gaming vessel. We've now
10	modified that after discussions with the
11	Attorney General's Office to ask for the
12	entire approved project completion
13	date for the entire project of
14	June 30th, 2011, with the recognition
15	that if the riverboat gaming vessel
16	is reaches a substantial completion
17	level and is suitable for gaming
18	operations, in the sole discretion of
19	the Board and the State Police input,
20	that Pinnacle could petition at that
21	time, possibly, for an earlier opening
22	date. But that the approved project
23	deadline that we're asking for today is
24	one consistent deadline, which seemed to
25	make more practical sense.
	42
1	So now I'd like to turn it over to
2	Mr. Kortman to address the particulars
3	of this modification.
4	MR. KORTMAN: Morning, Mr. Chairman

MR. KORTMAN: Morning, Mr. Chairman and Board Members. Thank you for having us this morning. What you have before

7	you is just sort of an update on what	
8	we're doing with Sugarcane Bay. If I	
9	could just walk you through that, maybe	
10	that's the best way to handle that, and	
11	then we can try to address any questions	
12	that you might have.	
13	After you open your cover page	
14	there, the first plan that you see there	
15	highlighted in yellow is the original	
16	Sugarcane Bay concept. We just thought	
17	it was important for you to have that	
18	for reference only just to sort of get	
19	the context of where Sugarcane Bay	
20	versus where it's going to be today.	
21	On the second page, you'll see the	
22	new site plan showing the orientation of	
23	the casino boat, and then the new	
24	position of the hotel. Just so that	
25	everyone is clear: The majority of the	
	43	
1	amenities that were in the Sugarcane Bay	
2	project are still in this project.	
3	Certainly the amenities that are laid	
4	out in the condition are in this	
5	project. So the project has not	
6	materially changed from that	
7	perspective.	
8	Subsequent pages basically show you	
9	the hotel layout. On the ground floor	

10	of the hotel, we have eight garden
11	suites. If you've been to the
12	property I think, Mr. Chairman,
13	you've been to the property; I think you
14	even viewed some of the garden suites in
15	the existing L'Auberge du Lac hotel.
16	We think that concept makes sense
17	for this project, also, so we'll be
18	having garden suites on the ground
19	floor. You may have also had a chance
20	to look at the second floor suites.
21	We'll have the same type second floor
22	suites in the hotel on the second level,
23	and then our tower will be typical rooms
24	with some suites in the corners.
25	So when the building changes
	44
1	configuration, then, obviously, those
2	are suites in the corners, and that's
3	similar to what we did in L'Auberge in
4	the original planning.
5	The intent of the Sugarcane Bay
6	hotel is to be complementary to
7	L'Auberge du Lac. It's going to be
8	different, and it certainly is going to
9	be complementary. It's a little bit of,
10	you know, play on words, but the fit and
11	finish will be equal to, if not better
12	than, L'Auberge, but certainly as you

13	recognize, hotels need freshening up
14	after some period of time. So some of
15	the finishes in the new Sugarcane Bay
16	will be fresher but certainly keeping
17	with the design quality and intent of
18	what we did originally at L'Auberge.
19	Your next turn the page there and
20	you'll see an entry from a
21	perspective from the entry road. Just
22	to show the orientation of the hotel,
23	the new hotel and where we're going to
24	configure it, it's just, again, we're in
25	planning stages of this, so these are
	45
1	artistic renderings at this point. As
2	we mentioned earlier, what I mentioned
3	earlier, we'll be submitting revised
4	blueprints subject to your approval
5	today. I'm just saying we can do that
6	in a timely manner.
7	A couple pages further on, you'll
8	see an interior perspective. It's the
9	one it's the first interior
10	rendering. If you sort of pay close
11	attention to that, you'll notice that
12	there's some chandeliers that sort of
13	are different in the center of that
14	drawing that are there's two
15	chandeliers coming forward in the plan,

and that's to show the distinction between the L'Auberge du Lac Casino and the new Sugarcane Bay Casino. As you can see, the fit and finish is similar but a little bit different, and this is a section cut through where we would propose that the casinos be adjacent to each other. So it's just an interior rendering showing that connection. Included just for reference are some other interior renderings, and, again, as you can tell from the renderings, the fit and finish is quite similar to L'Auberge, again, very complementary, but in keeping with that original theme that we had for the project. However, it's been modified slightly so that

it's -- it makes a little more sense due
to its adjacency to L'Auberge du lac.
And then the last drawing that we
gave you in there is just a section to
show the two distinct riverboats.
Obviously, these are two distinct
riverboats. They will be in two
distinct basins. They will share a
common divider wall; but they will have
its own pumping system, and the water

19	level itself is actually going to be
20	slightly different than the water level
21	that the existing cofferdam has.
22	So with that, if you have any
23	questions, I'll be glad to entertain any
24	questions or thoughts.
25	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: First, I had the
	47
1	opportunity to tour the property
2	recently, and it's absolutely phenomenal
3	what you've done in Lake Charles and
4	compliment the company because it's top
5	shelf. It's a wonderful property.
6	So I'm excited that y'all have
7	decided to continue the project, but I
8	will defer to any board members. I'd
9	like for the Attorney General's Office
10	to possibly come up for a few questions,
11	but I'll ask the Board, do they have any
12	questions of you first.
13	MR. JONES: One question: Will you
14	use the same reception area for both
15	hotels for the new wing as well as the
16	other one?
17	MR. KORTMAN: Yes, sir. As you
18	know, under the old plan we have that
19	function was very distinctly different,
20	different location, different front
21	desk, different everything, different

22	keys, different hotel experience. This
23	will allow us to share some common
24	infrastructure. It's difficult when you
25	come to the property for the first time,
	48
1	if you had a separate location to figure
2	out where to go, two separate porte
3	cocheres, two separate checks-in. This
4	helps us manage the property a little
5	better.
6	We think it's, again, very important
7	for us to protect the asset that we have
8	in Sugarcane Bay. We certainly love the
9	concept of our project, but we also need
10	to make sure that we have a project that
11	keeps both of these licenses, you know,
12	cash flow positive and is a good return
13	on investments.
14	So to answer your question, we are
15	sharing some of the infrastructure, and
16	we think that's an important thing for
17	us to have.
18	MR. BRADFORD: Mr. Chairman, I've
19	got one question: The June 2011 date
20	that you're now asking for completion of
21	the boat and the hotel is realistic?
22	MR. KORTMAN: Yes, sir.
23	MR. BRADFORD: That's kind of a drop
24	dead thing in your mind?

25	MR. KORTMAN: Yes, sir. Subject to
	49
1	your approval today I won't say the
2	wheels are in motion, but pursuant to
3	your directive today, we are ready to
4	get these hulls underway quickly. The
5	plan is that the hulls will be
6	constructed similar to the way they were
7	constructed with L'Auberge du Lac. If
8	you remember some of you will
9	remember this; some of you won't
10	that's basically a tri-hull.
11	So there's three hulls that work as
12	the base platform for L'Auberge du Lac.
13	This particular project has a four-hull
14	platform. Those hulls are ready to go.
15	We've already put some money down to
16	procure two more hulls for this
17	particular project. We went at risk on
18	ourself, by ourselves to do that, but we
19	want to move this project along as
20	quickly as possible.
21	We do want to point out that we have
22	79 piles in the ground because we did
23	proceed with construction in earnest.
24	We met with the port yesterday, and
25	we're under we're having discussions
	50
1	about what happens with those piles. We

2	certainly can take them out, but the
3	port may decide to let us actually leave
4	those in place subject to some other
5	things that may be down in the future.
6	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: That was your RV
7	park before, so they shouldn't have any
8	trouble with RVs parking there.
9	MR. KORTMAN: No, no problem at all.
10	MR. JONES: I have another question,
11	just two things: What to compare you
12	with Harrah's here, what's your
13	occupancy rate been this year?
14	MR. KORTMAN: I don't have the
15	specific numbers in front of you. I
16	will tell you that our occupancy is very
17	high on the weekends. We're in the
18	high, you know, 90s on the weekends.
19	Because we do have a thousand rooms,
20	mid-week we have seen some loss in
21	occupancy. It's just, like, we're not
22	any different than anyone else. I mean,
23	people are tightening their belts, and
24	they're not coming as often and as
25	frequently as we'd like to see them
	51
1	coming.
2	In general, I think our occupancy
3	runs in the low 90s in total. We're
4	sold out on the weekends, but we could

5	certainly use a little more business
6	mid-week. We think with the
7	repositioning of the hotel, the addition
8	of the multipurpose room which would
9	allow us to make better use of our
10	ballroom as you know today, our
11	ballroom goes out of service on Thursday
12	for shows that happen on Saturday. It's
13	inconvenient. It gets out of the rental
14	pool in a hurry that way, and we don't
15	have the opportunity to really drive the
16	convention and meeting business as we
17	really would like to do.
18	So with the addition of the
19	multi-purpose room, this should allow us
20	to drive more business for that mid-week
21	customer, and that's what our hope is
22	and what our plan is.
23	MR. JONES: One other question
24	and I don't know if one of y'all can do
25	this in just a few, but the negotiations
	52
1	that are going on between y'all and the
2	City of Lake Charles relative to you and
3	the property on the lake front that used
4	to be the site of the old casino can
5	you kind of give us in a nutshell where
6	that stands and how you hope to get it
7	resolved?

8	MR. KORTMAN: Yeah, Mr. Jones, we
9	met with the mayor and his staff
10	yesterday. We updated them on the
11	status of Pinnacle and its management,
12	and we discussed the variety of issues
13	including that one which you just
14	raised. We've had an ongoing dialogue
15	with the mayor and his staff about what
16	we do with that property; and we haven't
17	come to any final conclusions, but we
18	have pledged our cooperation in
19	continuing to work with the City of Lake
20	Charles on potential solutions.
21	As you know, we have some issues
22	that we have to resolve related to that
23	property, including the lawsuit, pending
24	lawsuit, and the City is very aware of
25	that and appreciative of our position on
	53
1	that. We'll continue to vigorously
2	defend against that lawsuit, but we
3	believe that we can ultimately reach a
4	reasonable resolution with the City that
5	will be value added, both for Pinnacle
6	and the City of Lake Charles.
7	We suggested an ultimate solution
8	maybe of transfer the property and
9	everything in between, including,
10	perhaps, a hybrid where some interim

11	servitudes or approvals are granted the
12	City so that they can utilize that
13	property for future development plans
14	that they may have. But we're in
15	discussions with them, and we're
16	confident that we'll be able to reach an
17	agreement that will be mutually
18	acceptable to Pinnacle and the City of
19	Lake Charles.
20	MR. JONES: But you can't reach the
21	agreement until the lawsuit is resolved?
22	MR. KORTMAN: Well, the lawsuit is
23	certainly an impediment to that. I
24	don't know that ultimately it will
25	prevent us from reaching an agreement
	54
1	with the City, but it's something that
2	we have to pay close attention to. And
3	any final decisions that we make, we'll
4	have to take that lawsuit into
5	consideration so that we don't do
6	anything that would have an adverse
7	effect on Pinnacle or the City for that
8	matter.
9	MR. JONES: Has a trial date been
10	set on that?
11	MR. KORTMAN: No, it hasn't. It's
12	basically although there are still
13	some proceedings in federal court, we

14	recently received a favorable decision.
15	We, at some point, will commence
16	discovery in a state court action; and
17	like I said, it's anyone's guess as to
18	how long that might take, but we'll
19	continue to defend our position in that
20	lawsuit.
21	MR. JONES: And the trial site will
22	be Lake Charles?
23	MR. KORTMAN: No, the venue is in
24	New Orleans.
25	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Anyone else?
	55
1	MR. BRADFORD: I had a question.
2	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Mr. Bradford.
3	MR. BRADFORD: This is to Cliff, I
4	think, concerning the hotel: What are
5	the existing number of rooms at the
6	L'Auberge, and what is the number of the
7	new rooms that are going to be at the
8	Sugarcane Bay?
9	MR. GODFREY: Okay, the original
10	L'Auberge was 750 rooms; and then we did
11	a 250 room expansion, which they now
12	have a total of right at a thousand
13	rooms for L'Auberge. The original
14	Sugarcane Bay condition was 400 rooms,
15	and this is 400 rooms in the new hotel,
16	also.

17	MAJOR MERCER: Your total investment
18	originally was \$350 million and your
19	addition is \$300 million. Are you
20	actually cutting anything out of the
21	proposal, or are you just citing this
22	amount of money by restructure,
23	redesigning?
24	MR. GODFREY: We certainly have
25	realized some savings as it relates to
	56
1	the bricks and mortar of doing this
2	reconfiguration. You can look at the
3	plan, and you can make that
4	determination yourself.
5	One of the things that we're very
6	sensitive to is return on investment.
7	Like any company is, that's a paramount
8	decision in going forward with
9	development plans, and, you know, we
10	think that reducing our total amount of
11	investment is by a marginal, soft
12	amount, little amount, is the right
13	thing to do.
14	I would tell you that we did not
15	include capitalized interest; we did not
16	include contingencies; we did not
17	include cash loads, which there are some
18	things that, you know, allow us to
19	include those numbers. I think probably

20	for the benefit of all, it's probably
21	important for us to give you a clean
22	accounting of what we think the total
23	investment is, and I think we'd be happy
24	to do that.
25	MR. KORTMAN: Yes, this will be a
	57
1	base minimum investment, and as I and
2	others from the Pinnacle team have told
3	you over the last several months, is you
4	have been very patient with us and
5	considered our various requests. We've
6	been working in a very, very challenging
7	development environment, and we work
8	very hard to diligently move our
9	projects forward and to keep our
10	commitments to the State of Louisiana.
11	And our board of directors has
12	reaffirmed commitment. And so what
13	we're doing is trying to do this
14	particular project in a reasonable way
15	that will not only deliver the project
16	that we promised, but will be a project
17	that will last and provide value to the
18	State of Louisiana and Pinnacle and our
19	shareholders into the future. We're
20	looking at challenges potential
21	challenges from neighboring states.
22	We're taking that into consideration,

23	and we're very excited into the redesign
24	and think that what we're bringing to
25	you today is a plan for an, actually,
	58
1	stronger project than was previously
2	designed.
3	And as this process has evolved, we
4	hope you'll agree with us, but that's
5	our commitment to the State of
6	Louisiana. And we're trying our best to
7	keep it and do it in a way that makes
8	the most sense where all parties are
9	concerned.
10	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: You can stay
11	there. Leonce, I had a question or two
12	for you
13	MR. GAUTREAUX: Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: if you want to
15	stay there.
16	MR. GUATREAUX: Yeah.
17	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: You've had an
18	opportunity, you and the Attorney
19	General's Office, to look at the
20	proposal, the configuration of the
21	vessel adjacent to I think when
22	people think of adjacent, they think
23	next to but being behind the current
24	vessel. Is that permissible under
25	MR. GUATREAUX: Yeah. There's no

1	preclusion for the I will say for the
2	concept of having the boats
3	side-by-side, but I will say right now,
4	we only have the concept. Until we get
5	further details to see exactly how they
6	look as far as the integration of the
7	two vessels, that will be something that
8	will we will and State Police have to
9	keep up to make sure they're two
10	separate and distinct vessels side by
11	side, which I think is basically is
12	what Harrah's had when they originally
13	had it downtown.
14	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: And I think my
15	question lies more with the local option
16	issue.
17	MR. GUATREAUX: Yes, it's the
18	local option was approve to a site
19	adjacent to the current L'Auberge du Lac
20	site, which this is adjacent to the
21	current L'Auberge du Lac resort. I
22	think it uses that language.
23	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. Having been
24	in the boots on the ground regulation
25	side of it, you're going to have some
	60
1	challenges, operational issues that I'm
2	sure you'll work through those.

3	MR. KORTMAN: We understand that,
4	Mr. Chairman. We look forward to
5	working with you and your staff on
6	those.
7	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. Are there
8	any questions for the Attorney General's
9	Office? Any more questions?
10	There's a resolution that was
11	prepared by the Attorney General's
12	Office. It's the Board's pleasure. If
13	you agree with the resolution, we need a
14	motion to approve the resolution.
15	MR. JONES: I'll be glad to make a
16	motion to approve.
17	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Mr. Jones makes a
18	motion to adopt the resolution.
19	MR. JUNEAU: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Seconded by
21	Mr. Juneau, and, Miss Tramonte, will you
22	read the resolution into the record.
23	THE CLERK: On the 15th day of
24	December, 2009, the Louisiana Gaming
25	Control Board did, in a duly noticed
	61
1	public meeting, consider the issue of
2	PNK Sugarcane Bay's petition to modify
3	an approved project and certain license
4	conditions, and upon motion duly made
5	and seconded, the Board adopted the

6	following resolution:
7	Be it resolved that the introductory
8	paragraph to the statement of conditions
9	be amended replaced as follows: PNK
10	Development Seven, and PNK
11	Entertainment, Inc., and PNK Sugarcane
12	Bay, LLC, hereby expressly accept, agree
13	and stipulate to the following
14	conditions to the license of PNK
15	Sugarcane Bay, LLC, to conduct riverboat
16	gaming operations:
17	Said license previously issued by
18	Louisiana State Police Riverboat Gaming
19	Division and renewed by its successor,
20	the Louisiana Gaming Control Board, as
21	part of the approval of the transfer of
22	ownership interest in licensee and
23	approval of modification of berth site,
24	licensee and transferee hereby agree to
25	the follow as additional conditions on
	62
1	the Sugarcane Bay license.
2	Be it further resolved that
3	Condition Seven of the Statement of
4	Conditions to Riverboat Gaming License
5	be modified and replaced with the
6	following Condition Seven: To offer the
7	kind, amount and scope of non-gaming
8	activities on the riverboat shore and

9	support facilities and all other
10	amenities, including a 400 room hotel as
11	approved by Louisiana Gaming Control
12	Board on August 15th, 2006, and as
13	described and amended in licensee's
14	petition to modify approved project and
15	certain license conditions filed
16	December 8th, 2009, and presented to the
17	Louisiana Gaming Control Board on
18	December 15th, 2009.
19	Be it further resolved that
20	Condition 14C of the Statement of
21	Conditions to Riverboat Gaming License
22	be modified and replaced with the
23	following Condition 14C: Construction
24	of the approved project which commenced
25	October 31st, 2008, shall be
	63
1	substantially completed on or before
2	June 30th, 2011. Commenced construction
3	or commencement of construction shall be
4	that time when excavating and grading
5	work began for purpose of preparing any
6	foundations related to the approved
7	project. Additional time may be granted
8	by the Louisiana Gaming Control Board in
9	its sole and absolute discretion upon
10	timely request for good cause shown.
11	Failure to meet this deadline or to

12	timely receive an extension may result	
13	in forfeiture of all privileges to the	
14	license.	
15	If completion of the gaming	
16	operations of the approved project	
17	occurs prior to June 30th, 2011,	
18	Sugarcane Bay may petition the Board for	
19	modification to Condition Eight to allow	
20	it to open the gaming operations, which	
21	request may be considered by the	
22	Louisiana Gaming Control Board in its	
23	sole and absolute discretion.	
24	Be it further resolved that	
25	Condition 15 of the Statement of	
	64	
1	Conditions to Riverboat Gaming License	
2	be modified to require a minimum	
3	investment of \$300 million in the	
4	approved project.	
5	Be it further resolved that on or	
6	before February 16th, 2010, licensee	
7	shall submit to the Board updated	
8	architectural blueprints and a detailed	
9	plan of design and construction of the	
10	approved project as modified by the	
11	licensee in its December 15th, 2009,	
12	presentation of the Board.	
13	This done and signed in Baton Rouge,	
14	Louisiana, this 15th day of December,	

- 15 2009.
- 16 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Will you call the
- 17 roll, please.
- 18 THE CLERK: Major Mercer?
- 19 MAJOR MERCER: Yes.
- 20 THE CLERK: Miss Rogers?
- 21 MS. ROGERS: Yes.
- 22 THE CLERK: Mr. Bradford?
- 23 MR. BRADFORD: Yes.
- 24 THE CLERK: Mr. Jones?
- 25 MR. JONES: Yes.

- 1 THE CLERK: Mr. Singleton?
- 2 MR. SINGLETON: Yes.
- 3 THE CLERK: Mr. Berthelot?
- 4 MR. BERTHELOT: Yes.
- 5 THE CLERK: Chairman Morgan?
- 6 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Yes. It passes.
- 7 Congratulations. One thing before you
- 8 get away. Could you within -- before
- 9 the end of the year get me an updated
- 10 budget for this project?
- 11 MR. KORTMAN: Yes, sir.
- 12 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Thank you.
- 13 E. Consideration of Certificate of
- 14 Compliance for the Riverboat Gaming
- 15 Vessel Alternate Inspection Program for
- 16 Grand Palais Casino, License No.
- 17 RO11000841

18	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Item E,
19	Consideration of Certificate of
20	Compliance for the Riverboat Gaming
21	Vessel Alternate Inspection Program for
22	Grand Palais Casino, license number
23	R011000841.
24	MR. TYLER: Chairman Morgan, Board
25	Members, I am Assistant Attorney General
	66
1	Michael Tyler, and today I am joined by
2	John Francic of ABSC and Joey Lalonde of
3	Isle of Capri.
4	Today we come before you seeking
5	acceptance of the Alternate Inspection
6	Report of Grand Palais as performed and
7	prepared by ABSC, as well as the
8	issuance of a certificate of compliance
9	for Grand Palais' vessel.
10	Since the adoption of the Alternate
11	Riverboat Inspection Standards by the
12	Board, various licensees have inquired
13	with ABSC about surrendering their U.S.
14	Coast Guard issued certificate of
15	inspection and opting into the Louisiana
16	Gaming Control Board's Alternate
17	Riverboat Inspection Program.
18	On or about November 30th, 2009,
19	Grand Palais began the process of having
20	its vessel become an official alternate

21	inspection vessel. For more information
22	on this process and the findings of the
23	alternate inspection of the Grand
24	Palais's vessel, I turn this
25	presentation over to John Fransic of
	67
1	ABSC.
2	MR. FRANCIC: Morning, Mr. Chairman,
3	and Board Members. My name is John
4	Francic with ABS Consulting, and I'm
5	here to present the riverboat inspection
6	results for the Isle of Capri Casino.
7	On November 30th, 2009, the United
8	States Coast Guard and ABS Consulting
9	inspectors did conduct a joint safety
10	inspection onboard the riverboat Grand
11	Palais and found the riverboat to be in
12	compliance with the State's alternative
13	riverboat gaming inspection program.
14	The inspectors had nothing but full
15	cooperation from the crew and staff of
16	Isle of Capri Casinos. The vessel was
17	in excellent condition; the vessel's
18	firefighting system, emergency lighting
19	and egress routes were inspected and
20	found to be in compliance. This joint
21	annual inspection was completed without
22	any deficiencies noted and is considered
23	acceptable for the intended purpose of

24	determining	more	riverhoat	gaming	VESSE
	uctermining	HIOLE	IIVEIDUAL	garring	V C S S C

in the State's Alternative Program.

- 1 MR. TYLER: We now present these
- 2 findings to this honorable board for
- 3 approval and request that upon approving
- 4 the inspection report, the Board will
- 5 more for the issuance of compliance to
- 6 Grand Palais.
- 7 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Are there any
- 8 questions? Do we have a motion to
- 9 approve and issue the certificate of
- 10 compliance?
- 11 MS. ROGERS: I so move.
- 12 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Moved by Miss
- 13 Rogers.
- 14 MR. JONES: Second.
- 15 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Second by
- 16 Mr. Jones, is there any objection? (No
- 17 response.) Hearing none, it's approved.
- 18 F. Consideration of Certificate of
- 19 Compliance for the Riverboat Gaming
- 20 Vessel Alternate Inspection Program
- 21 for Isle of Capri Lake Charles -
- 22 License No. R011700174
- 23 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Item F.
- 24 go ahead and introduce it.
- 25 MR. TYLER: Chairman Morgan, Board

1	Members, once again, I'm Assistant
2	Attorney General Michael Tyler, and
3	again I'm joined by John Francic of ABSC
4	and Joey Lalonde of Isle of Capri.
5	Today we come before you seeking the
6	acceptance of the Alternate Inspection
7	Report for Isle of Capri's vessel, the
8	Isle of Capri Lake Charles.
9	On or about December 1st, 2009, Isle
10	of Capri Lake Charles began the process
11	of having its vessel become an official
12	alternate inspection vessel. For more
13	on this process and the findings of the
14	alternate inspection of Isle of Capri's,
15	vessel, I turn this presentation over to
16	John Francic of ABSC.
17	MR. FRANCIC: Mr. Chairman, Board
18	Members, again, the riverboat inspection
19	results for the Isle of Capri's Casinos:
20	On December 1st, 2009, United States
21	Coast Guard and ABS Consulting
22	inspectors did a joint safety inspection
23	onboard the riverboat Crown Casino, and
24	found the riverboat to be in compliance
25	with the State's Alternative Riverboat
	70
1	Gaming Inspection Program.
2	Again, this full cooperation with
3	the crew and staff found the Isle of

4	Capri vessel in full compliance. The
5	vessel's firefighting system, emergency
6	lighting and egress routes were
7	inspected and found to be in compliance.
8	This joint annual inspection was
9	completed without any deficiencies noted
10	and is considered acceptable into the
11	State's Alternate Riverboat Gaming
12	Program.
13	MR. TYLER: We now present these
14	findings to this honorable board for
15	approval and request that upon approving
16	the inspection report, the Board will
17	move for the issuance of a certificate
18	of compliance to Isle of Capri of Lake
19	Charles.
20	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Mr. Lalonde, I'm
21	sorry. I didn't recognize you at the
22	last one. When you're winning, do you
23	want to say anything?
24	MR. LALONDE: No, sir. Thank you
25	very much.
	71
1	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Good seeing you.
2	MR. LALONDE: Yes, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Do we have any
4	questions for the second thing? Do we
5	have a motion to approve issuance of the
6	certificate of compliance?

7	MR. BERTHELOT: So moved.
8	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Motion by
9	Mr. Berthelot, seconded by Mr. Juneau.
10	Do we have any objection? (No
11	response.) Hearing none, it's issued,
12	approved. Thank you.
13	And we have the next one is
14	Eldorado Casino in Shreveport.
15	G. Consideration of Certificate of
16	Compliance for the Riverboat Gaming
17	Vessel Alternate Inspection Program for
18	Eldorado Casino Shreveport - License
19	No. RO13600005
20	MR. TYLER: Chairman Morgan, Board
21	Members, once again, I'm Assistant
22	Attorney General Michael Tyler, and I'm
23	joined by John Fransic of ABSC and Jeff
24	Barbin on behalf of Eldorado Casino
25	Shreveport.
	72
1	Today we come before you seeking the
2	acceptance of the Alternate Inspection
3	Report as Eldorado as performed and
4	prepared by ABSC, as well as issuance of
5	certificate of compliance for Eldorado
6	Casino Shreveport's vessel.
7	On or about October 20th, 2009,

Eldorado Casino Shreveport began the

process of having its vessel become an

8

10	official alternate inspection vessel.
11	For more information on this process and
12	the findings of the alternate inspection
13	of the Eldorado Casino Shreveport's
14	vessel, I turn this presentation over to
15	John Fransic of ABSC.
16	MR. FRANSIC: Mr. Chairman, Board
17	Members, I'll begin: John Fransic, ABS
18	Consulting. I'm here to present the
19	riverboat inspection results for the
20	Eldorado Resorts Casino.
21	On October 20th, 2009, United States
22	Coast Guard and ABS Consulting
23	inspectors did conduct a joint safety
24	inspection onboard the riverboat
25	Hollywood Dreams and found the riverboat
	73
1	to be in compliance with the State's
2	Alternative Inspection Program with the
3	exception of the fire dampers.
4	The Coast Guard issued a reported
5	deficiency, and it was cleared on
6	December 2nd, 2009. The vessel's
7	firefighting system, emergency lighting
8	and ingress routes were found to be in
9	compliance. The full cooperation of the
10	crew and staff cleared some of the
11	deficiencies on the spot to making the
12	inspection process go smoothly.

13	The vessel was considered acceptable
14	for the State's Alternative Riverboat
15	Inspection Program.
16	MR. TYLER: We now present these
17	findings to this honorable board for
18	approval, and request upon approving the
19	inspection report, the board will move
20	for the issuance of a certificate of
21	compliance to Eldorado Casino
22	Shreveport?
23	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Mr. Barbin.
24	MR. BARBIN: Good morning, Mr.
25	Chairman. Happy to answer any questions
	74
1	you guys have.
2	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: You know something
3	about this?
4	MR. BARBIN: I know a little bit
5	about this.
6	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: I know you're a
7	good attorney. I didn't know you were
8	good at this.
9	All right, any questions? Do we
10	have a motion to issue the certificate
11	of compliance for Eldorado Casino
12	Shreveport.
13	MR. JUNEAU: I'll make a motion.
14	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Motion by Mr.
15	Juneau.

16	MR. JONES: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Seconded by Mr.
18	Jones. Is there any objection? (No
19	response.) Hearing none, it's approved.
20	Thank you.
21	VII. RULEMAKING
22	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Item VII,
23	Rulemaking. Mr. Wagner.
24	MR. WAGNER: Good morning, sir.
25	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Morning.
	75
1	MR. WAGNER: Jonathan Wagner,
2	Assistant Attorney General. I'm coming
3	before the Board today requesting that
4	it vote to institute rulemaking
5	procedures for a notice of intent for
6	several rules which were identified by
7	State Police as requiring necessary
8	changes. I have provided copies of the
9	proposed notice of intent to the Board,
10	and I'm here to avail myself of any
11	questions any of you may have.
12	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: And just for the
13	new members, this will be just to
14	institute the process, and then you
15	would have to have public hearings?
16	MR. WAGNER: Public hearings are not
17	always requested, but they're always
18	available.

19	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Tell me the
20	process so the new members will know.
21	MR. WAGNER: Okay. The process is
22	the Board votes to institute rulemaking
23	procedures. From there, a notice of
24	intent is prepared, which has to be
25	approved by the legislative physical
	76
1	office. It is also, after that step,
2	provided to the necessary oversight
3	committees, as well as the Speaker of
4	the House and the President of the
5	Senate.
6	It is then published in the
7	Louisiana Register, I believe, for 45
8	days, which directs any interested
9	person to contact me directly should
10	they have any questions about the rules
11	If the questions rise to the level of
12	public hearings need to be held, the
13	Board will hold those public hearings or
14	the oversight committee will call for
15	them.
16	At that point, the Board can decide
17	again, after the conclusion of the
18	hearings, do they want to still continue
19	with rulemaking for these particular
20	rules. If so, the process moves forward
21	and another report is given to the

22	oversight committee letting the
23	letting them know that, yes, the Board
24	did hold hearings; these are the results
25	of the hearings, and this is why we've
	77
1	decided to move forward.
2	At that point the oversight
3	committee can, if necessary, push this
4	to the governor's desk, and the governor
5	can ultimately decide. Since I've been
6	doing rules, that's never happened. No
7	public hearings have ever been
8	requested; therefore, the process
9	typically where I've been involved has
10	been: Institute rulemaking, make public
11	notice; I come back to the Board, let
12	you know that no public hearings were
13	requested, and then you vote to adopt
14	the final rule after all delays have
15	lapsed.
16	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: But this is the
17	first step.
18	MR. WAGNER: This is the very first
19	step.
20	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: And these were
21	requested by the State Police?
22	MR. WAGNER: Yes, sir.
23	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. Do we have
24	any questions? Do we have a motion to

25	institute the rulemaking procedures for
	78
1	the matters in question?
2	MR. SINGLETON: I move.
3	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Singleton.
4	MR. BRADFORD: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Seconded by
6	Mr. Bradford. Is there any objection?
7	(No response.) Hearing none, it's been
8	approved to institute the rulemaking.
9	MR. WAGNER: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: I didn't mean to
11	catch you on the spot there. You did
12	good.
13	MR. WAGNER: That's okay.
14	VIII. PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS/APPEALS FROM HEARING
15	OFFICERS' DECISIONS
16	1. In Re: Gary Gruenig d/b/a Koz's - No.
17	2604214909 (proposed settlement)
18	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Item VIII,
19	Proposed Settlements/Appeals from
20	Hearing Officers' General. This is
21	number one. I'll let you introduce the
22	case.
23	MR. SCHMOLKE: Morning, Mr.
24	Chairman, Members of the Board,
25	Assistant Attorney General Brandt

79

1 Schmolke appearing in the matter of Gary

2	Gruenig doing business as Koz's, license

- 3 number 2604214909.
- 4 In this matter, the licensee failed
- 5 to attend the mandatory Compulsive
- 6 Gambling Training Program, and to
- 7 resolve this matter, State Police and
- 8 the licensee agreed to a \$500 penalty.
- 9 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. Are there
- 10 any questions? Do we have a motion to
- approve the proposed settlement?
- 12 MR. BRADFORD: So moved.
- 13 MR. SINGLETON: Second.
- 14 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Moved by Mr.
- 15 Bradford and seconded by Mr. Singleton.
- 16 Is there any objection? (No response.)
- 17 Hearing none, that one's approved.
- 18 Number two.
- 19 2. In Re: Vida Boa, LLC, d/b/a Lago No.
- 20 3601215509 (proposed settlement)
- 21 MR. SCHMOLKE: Again, Assistant
- 22 Attorney General Brandt Schmolke
- appearing in the matter of Vida Boa,
- 24 LLC, doing business as Lago. Again,
- 25 this is another matter where the

- 1 licensee failed to attend the mandatory
- 2 Compulsive Gambling Training Seminar,
- 3 and as a result State Police and the
- 4 licensee agreed to \$500 penalty.

5 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. Are there 6 any questions? 7 MS. ROGERS: And to attend the 8 next --9 MR. SCHMOLKE: Yes, ma'am, and to 10 attend the next available class. 11 MR. SINGLETON: You're saying he 12 will be? He missed the one, and it's a 13 \$500 fine and he still has to go? 14 MR. SCHMOLKE: Correct. 15 MR. SINGLETON: So if he missed the 16 next one --17 MR. SCHMOLKE: That's up to State 18 Police as to whether or not they would 19 want to issue another fine or if they 20 want to seek, you know, suspension or 21 revocation at that time. But I believe 22 they have a class in February and then 23 one in June. So I don't believe any 24 action would be taken until sometime 25 after, you know, the June class if he 81 1 would fail to attend that one. 2 MR. SINGLETON: Okay. 3 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Any other 4 questions? (No response.) Do we have a 5 motion? 6 MR. SINGLETON: I move we approve.

CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Mr. Singleton

- 8 makes a motion to approve the proposed
- 9 settlement. Is there a second?
- 10 MS. ROGERS: I second.
- 11 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Seconded by
- 12 Miss Rogers. Any objection? (No
- response.) Hearing none, that's
- 14 approved.
- 3. In Re: Hymel's, Inc., d/b/a Hymel's,
- 16 Inc. No. 4700214866 (proposed
- 17 settlement)
- 18 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Item III is
- 19 Hymel's, Incorporated.
- 20 MS. BOGRAN: Chairman Morgan, Board
- 21 Members, I'm Olga Bogran from the
- 22 Attorney General's Gaming Division. I'm
- here in the matter of Hymel's. This
- settlement arises from the licensee's
- 25 failure to timely attend the required

- 1 Compulsive Gaming Seminars. It's
- 2 similar to the two cases that just
- 3 preceded this. The \$500 civil penalty
- 4 is consistent with the penalties levied
- 5 against other licensees under similar
- 6 circumstances, and this settlement is
- 7 before you for final approval.
- 8 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. Any
- 9 questions?
- 10 MR. BRADFORD: I move for approval.

- 11 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: We have a motion 12 by Mr. Bradford to approve.
- 13 MR. JUNEAU: Second.
- 14 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Seconded by
- 15 Mr. Jones.
- 16 THE CLERK: Juneau.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Juneau. Is there
- any objection? Hearing none, it's
- 19 approved. Thank you.
- 4. In Re: Oasis Foods, Inc. No.
- 21 PO81001078 (proposed settlement)
- 22 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Item four is Oasis
- Foods, Incorporated.
- 24 MR. HEBERT: Good morning, Chairman,
- 25 Members of the Board. Christopher

- 1 Hebert representing the Louisiana Office
- 2 of State Police in the matter of Oasis
- 3 Foods, Inc. In this matter, Oasis Foods
- 4 failed to notify on several occasions
- 5 the sale of stock or donation of stocks
- 6 and in one instance the redemption of
- 7 stock.
- 8 The Division has agreed to and the
- 9 permittee has agreed to pay a civil
- penalty of \$3,500. The hearing officer
- 11 has signed off on this settlement, and
- we are here seeking your approval.
- 13 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: The folks who were

- involved in this are suitable?
- 15 MR. HEBERT: Yes, they are. There
- 16 was no issue of suitability, just a
- 17 failure to notify.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. Are there
- 19 any further questions? Do we have a
- 20 motion to approve the proposed
- 21 settlement?
- 22 MR. STIPE: I'll move.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Motion by Mr.
- 24 Stipe.
- 25 MR. JONES: Second.

- 1 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Seconded by
- 2 Mr. Jones. Is there any objection? (No
- 3 response.) Hearing none, that's
- 4 approved.
- 5 MR. HEBERT: Thank you.
- 6 5. In Re: Armand & Guidry, Inc., d/b/a
- 7 Tastee #61 No. 2602207392, James
- 8 Armand No. 07019, Marilyn Guidry -
- 9 No. 07022, B.B.B.J., LLC, d/b/a Joe's
- 10 Cafe 2 No. 2600212727, Stacey Armand
- 11 No. 07020, Helen Byrne No. 07021
- 12 (appeal)
- 13 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: This is an appeal.
- 14 Item 5 is Armand & Guidry, Incorporated,
- 15 d/b/a Tastee #61, number 2602207392.
- 16 Good morning.

17	MS. ROVIRA: Good morning, Mr.
18	Chairman, Members of the Board, Allison
19	Rovira on behalf of Armand & Guidry and
20	James Armand, and Mr. Tyler is supposed
21	to be here, also.
22	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: There he is.
23	MS. ROVIRA: I'd like to clarify
24	real quick, if we could, why we're here
25	today. I believe we're here Mr.
	85
1	Tyler can agree that we're here today
2	I'm here to appeal the ruling on James
3	Armand and Armand & Guidry. The other
4	three individuals: Stacey Armand has
5	been found suitable; Helen Byrne has
6	been found suitable, and Ms. Guidry
7	passed away last month.
8	MR. STIPE: You are still counsel of
9	record for Stacey Armand, correct?
10	MS. ROVIRA: Yes, sir.
11	MR. STIPE: Let me make sure I
12	understand kind of in May, this
13	matter was before this board, and all of
14	these individuals were their
15	particular case was before us in May,
16	correct?
17	MS. ROVIRA: Yes, sir.
18	MR. STIPE: And the motion by this
19	board was to remand the matter to the

20	administrative law judge to
21	individualize the rulings as to each of
22	those particular parties, correct?
23	MS. ROVIRA: Something like that,
24	yes, sir. I believe to it was my
25	understanding that it was to go back and
	86
1	try to reach a settlement agreement at
2	that time.
3	MR. TYLER: According to the
4	transcript, the way that it was sent
5	down: It was a remand that was sent
6	down for the purposes of having Judge
7	Brown to sort of clarify his decision,
8	to state why he found each individual
9	person and each licensee well, each
10	individual person unsuitable and why he
11	revoked the licenses of each respective
12	licensee.
13	MR. STIPE: All right. And each of
14	the the remand was to address each of
15	those individuals and entities, correct?
16	MR. TYLER: Yes. It was supposed to
17	make an addressing of the decision as to
18	each. In actuality, I want to say the
19	motion was brought up by Mr. Singleton,
20	and that motion and that motion,
21	according to the transcript, stated that
22	to remand it back to the hearing officer

23	so that he can make a clear, you know,
24	decision as to why he's finding each
25	person suitable or unsuitable. So
	87
1	that's what the remand was.
2	MR. STIPE: But what is before us
3	today is not a judgment or a ruling as
4	to each of these individuals and
5	entities, correct?
6	MS. ROVIRA: I would say correct.
7	MR. STIPE: And I realize there's
8	one particular instance where one of the
9	party's passed away, I believe.
10	MS. ROVIRA: Yes, sir.
11	MR. STIPE: But what we started with
12	in May was a judgment or a ruling and a
13	matter dealing with a variety of
14	parties. We have less than those
15	parties before us now, correct?
16	MS. ROVIRA: Right.
17	MR. TYLER: That's correct.
18	MS. ROVIRA: What has happened,
19	Judge Brown ruled on Miss Byrne, that
20	she was suitable, and the Division did
21	not appeal that finding. He ruled that
22	Miss Armand was suitable. The Division
23	appealed that, but then withdrew their
24	appeal.
25	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Wasn't that based

1	on an agreement, a separation agreement?
2	MS. ROVIRA: Yes, sir. We submitted
3	a separation of property agreement, and
4	the Division then withdrew.
5	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: The Division
6	agreed?
7	MR. TYLER: What we have here is
8	when it was remanded
9	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: I didn't want to
10	cut her off.
l1	MS. ROVIRA: That's okay. I'm fine.
12	MR. TYLER: Assistant Attorney
13	General, Michael Tyler, appearing on
L4	behalf of the Division. What we have
L 5	here is Judge Brown actually issued two
16	General on the remand. The first
L7	decision that he issued found James
L8	Armand and Marilyn Guidry unsuitable,
19	and he enumerated his reasons and
20	revoked the license of Armand & Guidry
21	Incorporated, d/b/a Tastee's #61, and he
22	enumerated his reasons why for that.
23	His decision, also, at that time did
24	also find Helen Byrne to be suitable,
25	and he enumerated his reasons why for
	89
1	that. He did not make any decision with
2	regard to B.B.B.J., LLC, d/b/a Joe's

- 4 wanted more information as to whether or
- 5 not she was involved in Armand & Guidry
- 6 and if there was a separate property
- 7 agreement that showed that she was the
- 8 sole person running and operating
- 9 B.B.B.J., LLC, d/b/a Joe's Cafe 2.
- 10 When that information was presented,
- then he issued a second decision finding
- 12 Stacey Armand suitable and maintaining
- the license of B.B.B.J., LLC, d/b/a
- 14 Joe's Cafe 2. That matter was appealed
- for a short period of time. Once
- 16 everything was cleared up with that, we
- 17 rescinded our appeal as the Division did
- 18 not contest the finding of Stacey Armand
- 19 to be suitable or, you know, maintaining
- the license of BBBJ, LLC, d/b/a Joe's
- 21 Cafe 2.
- So, yes, it was sent down as one
- 23 together unit, but when it came back,
- 24 Judge Brown issued his decision the way
- that he did. That's why we're here

- 1 today based upon one of his decisions.
- 2 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Mr. Stipe, one
- 3 second.
- 4 MR. STIPE: Sure. And I guess my
- 5 concern is that when the matter was

6	before us previously, I looked at the
7	transcript. I took it as a fairly

- 8 specific remand with the matter to come
- 9 back to us with all the parties, and
- that's not where we are right now.
- 11 And so I would, Mr. Chairman, make a
- motion that each particular counsel
- brief for us the jurisdiction issues for
- the Board with briefs to be filed in 15
- days, I suppose would be my motion, and
- 16 for this matter to be considered at the
- 17 next particular meeting that we have.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. So the
- motion would be for both parties to
- 20 submit written argument?
- 21 MR. STIPE: To submit memorandum on
- the jurisdictional issues, if any, that
- they believe are presented by virtue of
- the procedural posture of this case and
- 25 for consideration of this to be

- 1 continued -- to be considered at the
- 2 next meeting.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: We have a motion.
- 4 We have a second by Miss Rogers. Let me
- 5 entertain counsel's -- yeah.
- 6 MR. TYLER: If I could, before this
- 7 Honorable Board votes on this, I just
- 8 want a little bit of clarity as to what

9	we're briefing. We're briefing
10	jurisdictional issues as they relate to
11	the individuals and the licensee that's
12	no longer involved in this matter.
13	MR. STIPE: As to all the parties
14	that were considered at the May meeting
15	where this matter was remanded.
16	MS. ROVIRA: May I clarify that, or
17	can you clarify that for me? Meaning,
18	as to, I guess, why or why not all
19	parties are not here present today or
20	should they be present or
21	MR. STIPE: Both of those issues, it
22	seems to me, are relevant.
23	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: So we would not -
24	we would be postponing this for
25	reconsideration at the next the next
	92
1	meeting in January.
2	MR. STIPE: Yes, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Waiting on the
4	written responses.
5	MR. STIPE: That's correct.
6	MR. SINGLETON: Mr. Chairman, I
7	guess the question I still have is
8	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Mr. Bradford had
9	the floor before you. Do you have any
10	questions?
11	MR. BRADFORD: I was just wondering:

12	I mean, it was fairly clear to me, until
13	Mark brought up his procedural issue,
14	that most of this issue had been put to
15	bed, and that the only issue before us
16	today would be James Armand since
17	Marilyn Guidry passed away.
18	So the only issue before us today
19	would have been the license for James
20	Armand and Tastee #61.
21	MS. ROVIRA: Yes, sir, I agree.
22	MR. BRADFORD: Now, as I understood
23	it but, now, this was remanded back,
24	and what Mark's question is, as I
25	understand it is: If we remand
	93
1	something back to the hearing officer
2	and he changes his opinion and then we
3	drop our appeal, you drop your appeal,
4	does it have to come back here? Is that
5	basically your question?
6	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: If I could
7	interject: We have a motion and a
8	second that we need to stay germane to
9	the motion. That is the correct
10	question.
11	MR. BRADFORD: Yeah.
12	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: That puts this
13	Board in curious posture.
1 /1	MR TVI FR: I'm still a little

15	confused here and, excuse me, I do
16	apologize for this, but for some reason
17	I'm getting the feeling that we're to
18	brief and please correct me if I'm
19	wrong that we're to brief, from a
20	jurisdictional standpoint, how is it
21	possible that the remand could have been
22	sent down the way that it was, but then
23	come back the way that it is now; is
24	that sort of
25	MR. STIPE: That certainly is one of
	94
1	the issues that I think would need to be
2	briefed, yes, sir.
3	MR. TYLER: To sort of be honest,
4	it's going to be very difficult to me to
5	truly give the reasoning for that.
6	Probably one of the best persons to
7	answer that is Judge Brown, but I can
8	try to brief it, as best as I can.
9	MS. ROVIRA: And can I mention
10	that and I don't know if it plays any
11	part in this, but appeal delays have run
12	on several of the individuals. So I
13	don't know if that needs to be addressed
14	also or how
15	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: I would certainly
16	think you would include all of that in
17	your response.

18	MAJOR MERCER: But if the Division
19	had appealed
20	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Wait. I've got to
21	take y'all in order.
22	MR. SINGLETON: I don't know if my
23	question is going to be from the
24	other germane to the issue, because the
25	question I wanted to raise is we were
	95
1	specific when we remanded this back to
2	come back with specific response, and I
3	don't see that in here.
4	So I guess my question is: Why did
5	this come back to us the way it is when
6	the motion asks for a specific thing?
7	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Well, it's my
8	understanding, as a layperson, not an
9	attorney, but that the Division did not
10	appeal. The judge reversed himself on
11	two of the I think two of the issues,
12	and the Division did not appeal that,
13	so, therefore, it's no longer in front
14	of the Board.
15	MR. SINGLETON: From the original.
16	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Although you
17	remanded it back, and so the question
18	Mr. Stipe has is the jurisdictional
19	issues is since the Board sent it back
20	with specificity specifically wanting

21	certain information, I don't it's my
22	understanding, reading the transcript
23	you did not ask him to rehear it; you
24	asked for more information on his
25	rulings. And, apparently, he
	96
1	entertained more evidence and changed
2	his decision.
3	MR. SINGLETON: And is that what
4	this is, the changes that he made?
5	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: He changed his
6	decision, and then the State Police did
7	not appeal some of the General.
8	MR. SINGLETON: Can I ask: What was
9	the recommendation that they were I
10	guess the attorneys? What were y'all
11	the recommendation you're making today
12	to resolve that?
13	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Well, it's not as
14	a hearing. It's before the Board.
15	There was no recommendations.
16	MR. SINGLETON: Okay.
17	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: We were going to
18	hear the evidence and rule on one
19	specific issue of the total.
20	MR. SINGLETON: And the motion is to
21	remand it back?
22	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Not to remand it.
23	The motion is to receive jurisdictional

24	briefs from both parties and to defer
25	hearing this until next board meeting.
	97
1	MR. SINGLETON: And we will hear
2	before the Board for the next meeting?
3	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Well, it depends.
4	MS. ROVIRA: And may I preserve my
5	appeal for James Armand and Armand &
6	Guidry at this point? I just don't want
7	that to get lost in the shuffle here.
8	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: It's only being
9	continued, so the appeal is still
10	MS. ROVIRA: Still pending.
11	MR. BRADFORD: That's if the motion
12	passes.
13	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: That's if the
14	motion passes; that's correct. Okay.
15	So we have a motion, and we have a
16	second. Does everyone understand the
17	motion? Is there objection to the
18	motion? Is there objection to the
19	motion? (No response.) Hearing no
20	objection, the motion's approved, so it
21	will be do y'all understand?
22	MS. ROVIRA: Not exactly, sir. So
23	we have 15 days to write a brief?
24	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: As to why the
25	jurisdictional aspects of

- 1 particularly if your clients, why they
- 2 aren't before the Board
- 3 jurisdictionally.
- 4 MR. TYLER: I guess my only question
- 5 is: When will my 15 days start?
- 6 MS. ROVIRA: It is the holidays.
- 7 Christmas is next week.
- 8 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: As soon as I sign
- 9 it, so you want me to delay signing it?
- 10 MS. ROVIRA: LSU plays in the
- 11 Capital One Bowl on the 1st.
- 12 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: I will be
- considerate when I sign it.
- 14 MS. ROVIRA: Thank you.
- 15 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: The end of the
- week we'll start, toward the end.
- 17 MR. TYLER: Thank you very much.
- 18 MS. ROVIRA: Thank you.
- 19 6. In Re: Linsi Pitre No. V000016687 (appeal)
- 20 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Item 6. Yes, sir.
- 21 MR. HEBERT: Good morning again,
- 22 Chairman, Members of the Board,
- 23 Christopher Hebert representing the
- 24 Louisiana Office of State Police in the
- appeal hearing of Linsi Pitre. This

- 1 case came before the hearing officer as
- 2 a denial of a video draw poker employee
- 3 permit based on Miss Pitre's plea of

- 4 guilty to the charge of theft by
- 5 shoplifting. Miss Pitre -- there was no
- 6 brief submitted with the appeal, and I
- 7 see that she or --
- 8 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Is she here?
- 9 MR. HEBERT: There's no
- 10 representative for Miss Pitre who's
- 11 here, and the Division would move at
- this time that you affirm the hearing
- 13 officer's decision.
- 14 MAJOR MERCER: I move.
- 15 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Motion by Major
- 16 Mercer to affirm the hearing officer.
- 17 MR. JUNEAU: Second.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Seconded by
- 19 Mr. Juneau. Is there any objection?
- 20 (No response.) Hearing none, we affirm
- the hearing officer's decision. Thank
- 22 you.
- 23 IX. EXECUTIVE SESSION
- 24 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: We'll go into
- 25 Executive Session. If you're not with

- 1 the Attorney General's Office or the
- 2 Board, please just step out.
- 3 THE CLERK: I need a motion.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Oh, I'm sorry. We
- 5 need a motion to go into Executive
- 6 Session.

7	MR. JUNEAU: I'll make a motion.
8	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Motion by
9	Mr. Juneau
10	MR. SINGLETON: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: seconded by Mr.
12	Singleton. Any objection? So we'll be
13	in Executive Session.
14	IX. EXECUTIVE SESSION
15	(Executive Session from 11:27 a.m. to 11:50 a.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	101
1	X. PUBLIC COMMENTS
2	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Hearing none,
3	we're out of Executive Session, and
4	we're on Item X, Public Comments. Are
5	there any public comments? Mr. Duty has
6	no public comments?
7	XI. ADJOURNMENT
8	MR. SINGLETON: I move we adjourn.
9	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Hearing no public

10	comments, do we have a motion to
11	adjourn?
12	THE CLERK: Mr. Singleton.
13	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Mr. Singleton,
14	seconded by Mr. Bradford. Is there any
15	objection. (No response.) Were
16	adjourned. Merry Christmas.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	102
1	REPORTER'S PAGE
2	
3	I, SHELLEY PAROLA, Certified Shorthand
4	Reporter, in and for the State of Louisiana, the
5	officer before whom this sworn testimony was
6	taken, do hereby state:
7	That due to the spontaneous discourse of this
8	proceeding, where necessary, dashes () have been
9	used to indicate pauses, changes in thought,
10	and/or talkovers; that same is the proper method
11	for a Court Reporter's transcription of a
12	proceeding, and that dashes () do not indicate

13	that words or phrases have been left out of this
14	transcript;
15	That any words and/or names which could not
16	be verified through reference materials have been
17	denoted with the word "(phonetic)."
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	SHELLEY PAROLA
	Certified Court Reporter #96001
25	Registered Professional Reporter
	103
1	STATE OF LOUISIANA
2	PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE
3	I, Shelley G. Parola, Certified Court
4	Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter, do
5	hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and
6	correct transcript of the proceedings given under
7	oath in the preceding matter on December 15, 2009,
8	as taken by me in Stenographic machine shorthand,
9	complemented with magnetic tape recording, and
10	thereafter reduced to transcript, to the best of
11	my ability and understanding, using Computer-Aided
12	Transcription.
13	I further certify that I am not an
14	attorney or counsel for any of the parties, that I

15	am neither related to nor employed by any attorney
16	or counsel connected with this action, and that I
17	have no financial interest in the outcome of this
18	action.
19	Baton Rouge, Louisiana, this 11th day of
20	January, 2010.
21	
22	
23	SHELLEY G. PAROLA, CCR, RPR
	CERTIFICATE NO. 96001