## LGCB Board of Directors' Meeting, 9-15-2011, (Pages 1:1 to 120:24) 1:1 LOUISIANA GAMING CONTROL BOARD **BOARD OF DIRECTORS' MEETING** Thursday, September 15, 2011 House Committee Room 1 Louisiana State Capitol Baton Rouge, Louisiana TIME: 10:00 A.M.

1 APPEARANCES:

3 DANE K. MORGAN 4 Chairman 5 6 VELMA ROGERS 7 Vice-Chairman 8 9 AYRES BRADFORD 10 Board Member 11 12 MARK STIPE 13 Board Member 14 15 JAMES SINGLETON 16 Board Member 17 18 DENISE NOONAN 19 Board Member 20 21 MAJOR MARK NOEL 22 Ex-Officio Board Member 23 24 LANA TRAMONTE 25 Executive Assistant to the Chairman 3 1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED: 2 3 REPORTED BY: 4 SHELLEY G. PAROLA, CSR, RPR

PAGE 2 I. CALL TO ORDER II. COMMENTS FROM THE CHAIR PUBLIC COMMENTS III. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES IV. 6 V. REVENUE REPORTS 7 VI. COMPLIANCE REPORTS 8 VII. CASINO GAMING ISSUES

9	A. Consideration of petition for
10	modification of Condition 15(c)
11	to extend completion of
12	construction by PNK
13	(Baton Rouge) Partnership,
14	License No. R011000801 18
15	B. Consideration of Certificate
16	of Compliance for the Alternate
17	Riverboat Inspection of the
18	gaming vessel of Louisiana
19	Casino Cruises, Inc., d/b/a
20	Hollywood Casino, License No.
21	R011700193 32
22	C. Consideration of Certificate of
23	Compliance for the Alternate
24	Riverboat Inspection of the
25	gaming vessel of Louisiana-1
	5
1	PAGE
2	Gaming L.P., d/b/a Boomtown
3	Harvey, License No. R012600196 36
4	D. Consideration of petition to
5	amend license conditions by
6	Louisiana Riverboat Gaming
7	Partnership d/b/a DiamondJacks
8	Casino and Resort, License No.
9	R010800195 39
10	E. Consideration of petition to
11	amend license conditions by

12	Eldorado Casino Shreveport
13	Joint Venture d/b/a Eldorado
14	Resort Casino Shreveport,
15	License No. R013600005 46
16	VIII. VIDEO GAMING ISSUES
17	A. Consideration of the following
18	truckstop applications:
19	1. Cane Row Casino, L.L.C., d/b/a
20	Cane Row Casino - No. 0400516345
21	(new application) 64
22	2. Longwood Holdings, LLC, d/b/a
23	Longwood General Store & Truckstop
24	- No. 0900516375 (new application) 73
25	B. Petition for Declaratory Ruling:
	6
1	PAGE
2	1. Petition filed by Wayne A.
3	Collier for interpretation of
4	La.R.S. 27:306(C)(5) 76
5	IX. PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS/APPEALS FROM
6	HEARING OFFICERS' DECISIONS
7	1. In Re: Demetrius W. Jackson -
8	No. PO40039245 (proposed
9	settlement) 91
10	2. In Re: Donnell A. Bonnee' -
11	No. PO40057744 (appeal) 93
12	3. In Re: S. Ross Enterprises,
13	LLC, d/b/a The Suite - No.
14	3601215834 100

15	X. EXECUTIVE SESSION	117
16	1. Farmers Seafood Company, Ir	ıc,
17	et al v. State of Louisiana,	
18	through the Louisiana Gaming	
19	Control Board and State of	
20	Louisiana, through the	
21	Department of Public Safety,	
22	Office of State Police; No.	
23	582,119, Div. D, 19th J.D.C.	
24	2. Wilbert Malbroux, Jr. v.	
25	Louisiana State Gaming Contro	ol
	7	
1	PAGE	
2	Board, Isle of Capri Casinos and	l
3	Bossier Casino Venture, LLC; No	<b>)</b> .
4	604,334, Sec. 22, 19th J.D.C.	
5	3. Tomorrow's Investors, LLC v.	
6	State of Louisiana, through the	
7	Louisiana Gaming Control Boar	d,
8	et al; No. 596,444, Sec. 24,	
9	19th J.D.C.	
10	XI. ADJOURNMENT	117
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		

```
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
              8
1
   I. CALL TO ORDER
2
           CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Please come to
3
         order. Good morning. Miss Tramonte,
4
         will you call the roll, please.
5
           THE CLERK: Chairman Morgan?
6
           CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Here.
7
           THE CLERK: Miss Rogers?
8
           MS. ROGERS: Here.
9
           THE CLERK: Mr. Bradford?
10
           MR. BRADFORD: Here.
11
           THE CLERK: Mr. Jones? [No
12
         response.] Mr. Stipe?
           MR. STIPE: Here.
13
14
           THE CLERK: Mr. Singleton?
15
           MR. SINGLETON: Here.
16
           THE CLERK: Miss Noonan?
17
           MS. NOONAN: Here.
18
           THE CLERK: Colonel Edmonson?
19
           MAJOR NOEL: Major Noel for Colonel
```

20

Edmonson.

21	THE CLERK: Secretary Bridges? [No
22	response.]
23	III. PUBLIC COMMENTS
24	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. We have a
25	quorum. We'll skip to Item III which is
	9
1	Public Comments. Were there any public
2	comments on any matter before the Board
3	this morning? Opportunity for public
4	comments.
5	IV. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES
6	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Hearing none,
7	we'll move to Item IV, Approval of the
8	Minutes. Members, have you had an
9	opportunity to review the minutes?
10	MR. SINGLETON: Move approval of the
11	minutes.
12	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Mr. Singleton
13	moves approval of the minutes.
14	MS. ROGERS: I'll second.
15	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Seconded by Miss
16	Rogers. Is there any objection?
17	Hearing none, it's approved.
18	V. REVENUE REPORTS
19	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Item V, Revenue
20	Reports.
21	MS. JACKSON: Good morning, Chairman
22	Morgan and Board Members. My name Donna
23	Jackson with the Louisiana State Police

24	Gaming Audit Section.
25	The riverboat revenue report for
	10
1	August 2011 is shown on page one of your
2	handout.
3	During August, the 13 riverboats
4	generated Adjusted Gross Receipts of
5	\$129,833,298, down \$29 million or
6	18 percent from last month, and down 3.6
7	percent or almost \$5 million from
8	August 2010.
9	Adjusted Gross Receipts for fiscal
10	year 2011-2012 to date are \$288,814,488,
11	a slight increase of 1 percent or
12	\$2 million from fiscal year 2010-2011.
13	During August, the State collected
14	fees totaling \$27,914,159. As of
15	August 31st 2011, the State has
16	collected over \$62 million in fees for
17	fiscal year 2011-2012.
18	Next is a summary of the August 2011
19	gaming activity for Harrah's New Orleans
20	found on page three. During August,
21	Harrah's generated \$24,648,187 in gross
22	gaming revenue, a decrease from last
23	month of \$2.8 million or 10 percent, and
24	a 7 percent or \$2 million decrease from
25	last August

1	Gaming revenue for fiscal year
2	2011-2012 to date are \$52,086,391, down
3	\$6 million or 11 percent from last
4	fiscal year. During August the State
5	received \$5,081,967 in minimum daily
6	payments. As of August 31, 2011, the
7	State has collected \$10 million in fees
8	for fiscal year 2011-2012.
9	Slots at the Racetracks revenues are
10	shown on page four. During August, the
11	four racetrack facilities combined
12	generated Adjusted Gross Receipts of
13	\$31,139,325, a decrease of 18 percent or
14	\$6.8 million from last month, and a
15	decrease of \$1 million or 3 percent from
16	last August.
17	Adjusted Gross Receipts for fiscal
18	year 2011-2012 to date are \$69,075,588,
19	an increase of \$1.4 million or 2 percent
20	from fiscal year 2010-2011. During
21	August, the State collected \$4.7 million
22	in fees. As of August 31st, 2011, the
23	State has collected \$10.5 million in
24	fees for fiscal year 2011-2012.
25	Overall, riverboats, landbased and
	12
1	Slots at the Racetracks combined
2	generated almost \$186 million, which is
3	about \$8 million or 4 percent less than

4	last August.
5	Are there any questions before I
6	present the Harrah's employee
7	information? Once again, the
8	spreadsheet is included in your chart
9	folder if you want to follow along.
10	Harrah's New Orleans is required to
11	maintain at least 2,400 employees and a
12	bi-weekly payroll of \$1,750,835. This
13	report covers the two pay periods in
14	August 2011.
15	For the first pay period, the Audit
16	Section verified 2,445 employees with a
17	payroll of \$2,010,000. For the second
18	pay period, the Audit Section verified
19	2,459 employees with a payroll of
20	\$2,017,000. Therefore, Harrah's met the
21	employment criteria during August.
22	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Any questions?
23	[No response.] Thank you.
24	MS. JACKSON: I'll also be reporting
25	the video gaming information this
	13
1	morning. It's found on page one on your
2	video gaming handout.
3	During August 2011, 20 new video
4	gaming licenses were issued: Ten bars,
5	seven restaurants, two truckstops and
6	one device owner. Twenty-five new

7	applications were received by the Gaming
8	Enforcement Division during August and
9	are currently pending in the field.
10	Fourteen were bars and eleven
11	restaurants.
12	The Gaming Enforcement Division
13	assessed \$12,000 and collected \$11,512
14	in penalties in August. There are
15	currently \$500 in outstanding fines.
16	Please refer to page two of your
17	handout.
18	There are presently 14,476 video
19	gaming devices activated 2,173
20	locations. Net device revenue for
21	August 2011 was \$47,305,190, a \$2.5
22	million decrease or 5.1 percent when
23	compared to net device revenue for
24	July 2011, and an \$895,000 decrease or
25	1.9 percent when compared to
	14
1	August 2010.
2	Net device revenue for fiscal year
3	2011-2012 to date is \$97,144,401, a
4	\$2.1 million decrease or 2.1 percent
5	when compared to net device revenue for
6	fiscal year 2010-2011. Page three of
7	your handout shows a comparison of net
8	device revenue.
9	Total franchise fees collected for

10	August 2011 were \$14,096,588, a \$745,000
11	decrease when compared to August 2011,
12	and a \$246,000 decrease when compared
13	I'm sorry, when compared to July 2011,
14	and a \$246,000 decrease when compared to
15	August 2010.
16	Total franchise fees collected for
17	fiscal year 2011-2012 to date are
18	\$28,938,090, a \$596,000 or 2 percent
19	decrease when compared to last year's
20	franchise fees. Page four of your
21	handout shows a comparison of the
22	franchise fees.
23	And hopefully no one has any
24	questions.
25	VI. COMPLIANCE REPORTS
	15
1	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay, thank you.
2	Item VI, Compliance Reports.
3	MS. BROWN: Good morning. Chairman
4	Morgan, Board Members, I'm Mesa Brown,
5	Assistant Attorney General. I'll be
6	presenting the staff report on riverboat
7	casino and racetrack licensees'
8	compliance with employment and
9	procurement conditions for the second
10	quarter of 2011. The second quarter
11	reports are taken from figures reported
12	by 13 of the 15 operating riverboats to

13	the Louisiana Gaming Control Board.
14	In the second quarter of 2011,
15	approximately 11,721 people were
16	employed by the riverboat industry. Of
17	that number, 11,415 were Louisiana
18	residents, 7,093 were minorities and
19	6,476 were women. I'll start by saying
20	that one licensee, Sam's Town Hotel and
21	Casino, achieved total compliance.
22	Next I'll address total employment.
23	Five casinos were short of meeting their
24	total employment goals. They're
25	DiamondJacks, who achieved 681 out of a
	16
1	goal of 770; Amelia Belle achieved 331
2	out of 400; Isle of Capri Grand Palais
3	achieved 376 out of a goal of 520;
4	Boomtown Bossier achieved 661 out of a
5	goal of 775; and Eldorado achieved 1,302
6	out of a goal of 1,500. In the
7	subcategories under the main category of
8	employment, all licensees either met or
9	exceeded their goal.
10	Next I'll address procurement. The
11	licensees are grouped according to three
12	subcategories which appear in your
13	report. They are Louisiana procurement,
14	minority procurement and female
15	procurement. Louisiana procurement,

16	nine licensees did not achieve
17	compliance with their voluntary
18	conditions, and they are DiamondJacks
19	Casino and Resort, they achieved 49.2
20	out of 75 percent; Horseshoe Casino &
21	Hotel, 49.3 out of 75; Boomtown
22	Westbank, 70.6 out of 80; Hollywood
23	Casino, 66.8 out of 80; Amelia Belle,
24	52.9 out of 80; Isle of Capri Grand
25	Palais, 87.8 out of 90; Boomtown, 54.9
	17
1	out of 80; Eldorado, 55.9 out of 60; and
2	L'Auberge du Lac Hotel & Casino, 58.9
3	out of 80.
4	Regarding minority procurement, four
5	licensees did not achieve compliance
6	with their voluntary conditions, and
7	they are: Horseshoe Casino & Hotel who
8	achieved 17.7 out of 35; Belle of Baton
9	Rouge achieved 11.6 out of 15; Amelia
10	Belle, 16.6 out of 30; and Isle of Capri
11	St. Charles, 3.2 out of 10.
12	With regards to female procurement,
13	one licensee, Isle of Capri Grand
14	Palais, did not achieve its voluntary
15	condition, and Isle of Capri Grand
16	Palais achieved 2 out of 8 percent.
17	Are there any questions with regards
18	to riverboat numbers?

19	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Any questions?
20	MS. BROWN: The staff report on
21	racetrack casino licensees' compliance
22	for the statutory employment and
23	procurement conditions for the second
24	quarter of 2011. The second quarter
25	reports are taken from figures reported
	18
1	by the four racetracks to the Louisiana
2	Gaming Control Board.
3	In the second quarter of 2011,
4	approximately 1,926 people were employed
5	by the industry. Of that number, 1,656
6	were Louisiana residents, 1,022 were
7	minorities and 1,113 were women.
8	All licensees achieved total
9	compliance with the exception of Delta
10	Downs. Delta Downs fell short of
11	achieving its Louisiana employment
12	condition by achieving 70.9 out of 80.
13	Any questions?
14	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Any questions?
15	[No response.] Okay. Thank you.
16	MS. BROWN: Thank you.
17	VII. CASINO GAMING ISSUES
18	A. Consideration of petition for
19	modification of Condition 15(c) to extend
20	completion of construction by PNK (Baton
21	Rouge) Partnership, License No.

22	R011000801
23	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: We will move on to
24	Item VII, Casino Gaming Issues. A,
25	Consideration of petition for
	19
1	modification of Condition 15(c) to
2	extend the completion and construction
3	of PNK Partnership, License No.
4	R011000801. Introduce yourself for the
5	record.
6	MR. SANFILIPPO: Good morning,
7	Chairman and Members of the Board. My
8	name is Anthony Sanfilippo. I'm the CEO
9	of Pinnacle Entertainment. With me here
10	this morning is Mickey Parenton. He is
11	our Vice-President and General Manager
12	of our Baton Rouge facility, and also
13	Jack Godfrey, who is our general
14	counsel.
15	Mr. Chairman, we are here to
16	petition modification of our condition
17	of completion of construction, but if
18	you would, we would like to update you
19	on the project, also.
20	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay.
21	MR. SANFILIPPO: If I can take a
22	moment longer to introduce some of the
23	folks who are in the audience that are
24	working on this project, behind me,

25	first I'd like to introduce Geno
	20
1	lafrate. We recently promoted Geno to
2	be over all the Louisiana operations.
3	As you know, this will be our fourth
4	operation in Louisiana, and with him is
5	Barry Regula. Barry is our General
6	Manager of our New Orleans facility,
7	these two gentlemen right over here.
8	And then on the other side, we have
9	a couple of individuals who are both
10	Louisiana residents and play key roles
11	for us in design and construction,
12	Bhavna Mistry is the young lady who is
13	there, and she is over our design and
14	construction department. She resides in
15	New Orleans, and she is our Senior
16	Vice-President of Design and
17	Construction, and next to Bhavna is
18	Jacque (phonetic) Pendleton. He is here
19	located in Baton Rouge, and he's working
20	on our project here in Baton Rouge. And
21	I don't know if it's good or bad to tell
22	you he is a graduate of Mississippi
23	State, recently graduated.
24	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: But he's not
25	wearing the colors.
	21

1 MR. SANFILIPPO: He's being neutral.

2	I think he knows what's going to happen
3	tonight. Mickey will update you on
4	or will present to you the other members
5	of our team that are part of our Baton
6	Rouge team in his presentation.
7	We're pleased to be here. If any of
8	you have had a chance to go down River
9	Road and look at what's happening on our
10	site, there is a lot of activity
11	happening. It was delayed because of a
12	rise in the Mississippi River. We at
13	first had issues that the water level
14	wasn't as high as it needed to be to get
15	our hulls into place, and then it was
16	literally we had about a seven-day
17	window. It rose as quick as it did; and
18	then it started to be too high, and it
19	prevented us for many months from doing
20	any work around the levee.
21	And as you know, we are building a
22	200 room hotel. It's a 206 room hotel
23	that is on the dry side of the levee,
24	and then on the wet side of the levee is
25	the pavilion that you see here. You're
	22
1	going to see more up to date
2	photographs.
3	Just to remind you, we have
4	construction jobs that are close to 900

5	individuals who are participating in
6	this project, and then Mickey will talk
7	more about who will permanently be
8	employed once the project's opened up.
9	We are very excited about this project.
LO	It's the most important project that our
l1	company has today, and we are building a
12	couple of projects but none of the size
13	that we have here in Baton Rouge.
L4	So with that, I'd like to turn it
15	over to Mickey to tell you to give
L6	you an update on where the project is.
L7	MR. PARENTON: Thank you, Anthony.
L8	Chairman Morgan and Members of the
19	Board, I'd like to give you an update on
20	construction progress as well as on our
21	operation team.
22	We initially began clearing the site
23	in July of '10; installation of the pipe
24	piles for the vessel support began in
25	September, with the anticipation of a
	23
1	delivery of the hulls in December.
2	Unfortunately at that time, the water
3	levels were not rising and were not
4	cooperating.
5	In March we began the we were
6	prepared for the hull delivery, as it is
7	apparent that the water was rising

8	quickly. As you can see in this photo,
9	in March we did install the hulls, and
10	the water the river was rising very
11	rapidly. In March we were able to
12	connect all of our hulls into the basin
13	with the anticipation that the river
14	would begin to fall. As you can see,
15	the river did not fall, and we reached
16	record level river heights in June,
17	which the hulls withstood.
18	In August, we began the erection of
19	the steel on the hulls, and as you can
20	see in the right photo, we are
21	substantially complete with all steel
22	erection. Relocation of River Road
23	began in March, and we're happy to
24	report that in August it's open. The
25	pile caps with the installation of the
	24
1	hotel and garage are in process as we
2	speak and continue, started that in
3	September. We continue or we are
4	beginning to start the landscaping
5	around the River Road, as well as around
6	the project, and then as recently as
7	September 1st, this is our aerial photo
8	that shows we are fully operational.
9	The River Road is underway.
10	You can see in the middle of the

11	site we have our auxiliary building and
12	our warehouse, our hotel piles and
13	garage and the clearing of the parking
14	garage, and you can see that the hull
15	and the substantial steel completion is
16	still underway.
17	So just a quick recap of the
18	timeline of challenges. As I mentioned,
19	we were expecting anticipating the
20	hulls in December. With the low river
21	levels, it was delayed until March. The
22	water continued to rise in March halting
23	all work. We were not able to begin
24	construction back until August; resulted
25	in a seven-month delay of all
	25
1	construction-related work, and we
2	anticipate an opening date of summer of
3	2012.
4	MR. SANFILIPPO: If you can just
5	add, also, Mickey, from a spend
6	standpoint, we've submitted, in both
7	contracts and purchase orders to date, a
8	little bit more than \$163 million. We
9	have also, as part of that, committed to
10	Louisiana contractors and vendors \$105
11	million as part of that, and to M and
12	WBE contractors almost \$18 million. So

we are well on our way to, as you saw

13

14	from the photographs, substantial
15	commitment and a large part here in
16	Louisiana.
17	MR. PARENTON: As Anthony mentioned,
18	we do have 16 members onboard now, and
19	I'd like to introduce you may have
20	met some, but I'd like to introduce them
21	again. Kim Ginn is our Vice-President
22	of Marketing; David Blitch is the
23	Vice-President of Resort Ops; Kevin
24	Whalen, Director of Special Projects;
25	Kizzy Carter is our Director of Human
	26
1	Resources, and the newest addition is
2	Michael Pearse. Michael Pierce is a
3	Louisianian. He opened the Flamingo
4	Casino in New Orleans in '93, spent a
5	few years in Kansas City, a few years
6	out in Las Vegas, and I'm excited that
7	Mike is back with us. He resides here
8	in Baton Rouge with his family, and he's
9	a graduate of Southeastern Louisiana.
10	So we welcome Mike aboard.
11	We continue to participate in job
12	fairs. From a recruiting standpoint, we
13	participated in LSU yesterday. We'll
14	continue to do job fairs with Southern
15	University, UNO, Tulane, Nicholls State,
16	ULL, and let me be real clear, MSU.

17	It's not Mississippi State; that's
18	McNeese State in Lake Charles. So I
19	just want to make sure we're all okay
20	with that.
21	The employment center will be
22	opening in January of 2012. We also are
23	working closely with the Louisiana
24	Workforce Development. We are working
25	with the Baton Rouge Community College,
	27
1	as well as the Louisiana Culinary
2	Institute. I'm happy to say that David
3	Blitch has recently been appointed to
4	the board of the Louisiana Culinary
5	Institute.
6	As far as recruitment, our
7	advertising campaign will start in
8	January, and our dealer school will open
9	sometime in March. As I mentioned last
10	meeting, our employment center is
11	located in LSU south campus. Just a
12	little graph to show you that, you know,
13	now until the end of the year we'll
14	bring on several key members of the
15	executive team. In the first quarter,
16	we'll continue to add some of the
17	director and management level; but if
18	you'll look at the graph to get to about
19	the 1,400 employees, within the last

20	month or so is when it really, really
21	kicks in, and we will hire over a
22	thousand employees at that time.
23	Some positions that we'll be
24	addressing soon is key directors.
25	January we'll bring on some managers, in
	28
1	March some more managers and then April,
2	May supervisors; and June and July is
3	when the majority of the frontline
4	employees will come aboard.
5	So with that, I can't tell you how
6	excited we are to be a part of Baton
7	Rouge, to be a part of this community
8	and to can't wait to open the
9	premier, premier casino in this region.
10	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Does that conclude
11	your presentation?
12	MR. PARENTON: That does conclude
13	it.
14	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: That's good news.
15	What's the total amount you anticipate
16	spending on the project?
17	MR. SANFILIPPO: In hard cost,
18	Bhavna?
19	MS. MISTRY: \$231.
20	MR. SANFILIPPO: \$231 million in
21	hard cost, actual hard construction
22	costs.

23	Bob in a was the speaker.
24	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Before we get on
25	to I'll turn it over to the Board
	29
1	Members, I did want to compliment your
2	company, and particularly Barry in New
3	Orleans, with regard to your recent
4	approach you took to shutting down with
5	the hurricane things. It you know,
6	you took a proactive approach, and we do
7	appreciate that for life safety
8	purposes.
9	MR. SANFILIPPO: Thank you. He did
10	a terrific job in managing that.
11	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: I guess you'll get
12	a bonus. Anthony doesn't like to say
13	that. Anyway, I did have an opportunity
14	to go to the site, and it's very
15	impressive. The road construction and
16	all was very well done. I think
17	Louisiana is going to be proud of the
18	project. I'll turn it over to board
19	members. Mr. Bradford.
20	MR. BRADFORD: Just a quick
21	question. In the resolution that you
22	were asking for an extension for time of
23	completion of construction to July 31st?
24	MR. SANFILIPPO: Yes, sir.
25	MR. BRADFORD: What where is that

1	date relative to what you expect to be
2	your opening date?
3	MR. SANFILIPPO: Well, we will open
4	the whole facility at once, so first let
5	me say that we do not, nor will we
6	petition to open the facility in pieces.
7	We think it's important to fulfill our
8	commitment to open it all at one time,
9	and so we're working towards the
LO	complete facility being open.
l1	We believe that fairly shortly
12	thereafter, let's say anywhere from
L3	three to four weeks, once we're in the
L4	facility, once Mickey has his team in,
15	we'll be breaking in kitchens; we'll be
L6	training employees inside that facility.
L7	We'll work with both the Chairman and
L8	the State Police. We'll do a mock trial
19	to make sure that we're ready, but we
20	would anticipate once we've completed
21	construction, that it would be anywhere
22	from three to four weeks that we would
23	see the facility open.
24	MR. BRADFORD: Just in time for
25	football season.
	31
1	MR. SANFILIPPO: Just in time for
2	football.

3	MR. BRADFORD: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Anyone else? Any
5	questions? Well, we do again thank you
6	for your commitment. At this time, I'd
7	like to make a motion that we adopt the
8	resolution that's been prepared.
9	MR. BRADFORD: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Seconded by
11	Mr. Bradford. Miss Tramonte, will you
12	read it into the record, please.
13	THE CLERK: On the 15th day of
14	September 2011, the Louisiana Gaming
15	Control Board did, in a duly noticed
16	public meeting, consider the issue of
17	Pinnacle Entertainment, Inc., and PNK
18	Baton Rouge Partnership's petition for
19	modification of Condition 15(c) relating
20	to completion of construction of
21	approved project, and upon motion duly
22	made and second, the Board adopted the
23	following resolution.
24	Be it resolved that PNK Baton Rouge
25	Partnership's request for extension is
	32
1	approved, and that Condition 15(c) is
2	hereby modified to extend the time for
3	completion of construction of the
4	approved project to July 31st, 2011.
5	Thus done and signed in Baton Rouge,

- 6 Louisiana, this 15th day of
- 7 September 2011.
- 8 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Call the roll,
- 9 please.
- 10 THE CLERK: Miss Rogers?
- 11 MS. ROGERS: Yes.
- 12 THE CLERK: Mr. Bradford?
- 13 MR. BRADFORD: Yes.
- 14 THE CLERK: Mr. Stipe?
- 15 MR. STIPE: Yes.
- 16 THE CLERK: Mr. Singleton?
- 17 MR. SINGLETON: Yes.
- 18 THE CLERK: Miss Noonan?
- 19 MS. NOONAN: Yes.
- THE CLERK: Chairman Morgan?
- 21 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Yes. Okay.
- That's passed.
- 23 MR. SANFILIPPO: Thank you very
- 24 much.
- 25 B. Consideration of Certificate of Compliance

33

- 1 for the Alternate Riverboat Inspection of
- 2 the gaming vessel of Louisiana Casino
- 3 Cruises, Inc., d/b/a Hollywood Casino,
- 4 License No. R011700193
- 5 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Item B is
- 6 Consideration of certificate of
- 7 compliance for the Alternate Riverboat
- 8 Inspection of the gaming vessel

9	Louisiana Casino Cruises, Incorporated,
10	doing business as Hollywood Casino,
11	License No. RO11700193.
12	MR. TYLER: Good morning, Chairman
13	Morgan, Board Members. I'm Assistant
14	Attorney General, Michael Tyler,
15	appearing with John Francic of ABSC. We
16	come before you this morning seeking the
17	acceptance of the alternate inspection
18	report of Louisiana Casino Cruises,
19	Incorporated, d/b/a Hollywood Casino, as
20	performed by ABSC and the renewal of the
21	certificate of compliance for Hollywood
22	Casino.
23	On August 2nd, 2011, Hollywood
24	Casino began the alternate inspection
25	process for the renewal of its
	34
1	certificate of compliance. For more on
2	this process and the findings of the
3	alternate inspection of Hollywood
4	Casino, I now turn this presentation
5	over to John Francic of ABSC.
6	MR. FRANCIC: Morning, Mr. Chairman
7	and Board Members. I'm John Francic
8	with ABS Consulting here to report the
9	results of the annual inspection for
10	Hollywood Baton Rouge Casino.
11	The surveyors for ABS Consulting

12	were Morton Downey and John Kahler.
13	They did attend the riverboat Casino
14	Rouge on August 2nd and August 10th.
15	The inspection was carried out in
16	accordance with Louisiana Gaming Control
17	Board riverboat gaming checklist.
18	The only deficient item found during
19	the inspection was the fire adapter for
20	the fresh air supply was not connected
21	to the electronic alarm panel. This
22	system was recently renewed, but the
23	contractor never hooked up the linkage
24	to the alarm panel. The system was
25	repaired and retested on August 10th and
	35
1	found compliant.
2	In all, the entire vessel was found
3	in good order, full compliance and with
4	great cooperation from the crew. It is
5	the recommendation of ABS Consulting
6	that Hollywood Casino be reissued the
7	certificate of compliance for one year.
8	MR. TYLER: We now present these
9	findings to this honorable board for
10	acceptance and request that upon
11	accepting the inspection report, the
12	Board will move for the renewal of the
13	certificate of compliance for Hollywood
14	Casino.

15	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Are there any	
16	questions for them? I'll entertain a	
17	motion to accept the report and issue a	
18	renewal certificate.	
19	MR. SINGLETON: I move.	
20	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: By Mr. Singleton,	
21	seconded by	
22	MS. NOONAN: Second.	
23	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Miss Noonan.	
24	Is there any objection? Hearing none,	
25	that's approved. Thank you. The next	
	36	
1	item.	
2	C. Consideration of Certificate of	
3	Compliance for the Alternate Riverboat	
4	Inspection of the gaming vessel of	
5	Louisiana-1 Gaming L.P. d/b/a Boomtown	
6	Harvey, License No. R012600196	
7	MR. TYLER: Again, Chairman Morgan,	
8	Board Members, Assistant Attorney	
9	General, Michael Tyler, once again	
10	joined by John Francic with ABSC. We	
11	come before you seeking the acceptance	
12	of the alternate inspection report of	
13	Louisiana-1 Gaming, L.P. d/b/a Boomtown	
14	Harvey as performed and prepared by	
15	ABSC, and the renewal of a Certificate	
16	of Compliance for Boomtown Harvey.	
17	On August 16th, 2011, Boomtown	

18	Harvey began the alternate inspection
19	process for the renewal of its
20	Certificate of Compliance. For more on
21	this process and the findings of the
22	alternate inspection for Boomtown
23	Harvey, I now turn this presentation
24	over to John Francic, ABSC.
25	MR. FRANCIC: I'm John Francic with
	37
1	ABS Consulting here to report the
2	results for the annual inspection for
3	the Boomtown Casino in Harvey,
4	Louisiana.
5	The surveyors for ABS Consulting
6	were Morton Downey and James Elsenburg
7	did attend the riverboat Boomtown Belle
8	II on August 16th and August 22nd. The
9	inspection was carried out in accordance
10	with the Louisiana Gaming Control Board
11	riverboat gaming checklist.
12	The deficient items found during the
13	inspection were the emergency generator
14	and the bow thruster. The emergency
15	generator starter was found inoperable.
16	It was noted in the logbook that the
17	emergency generator was tested on a
18	weekly basis; however, the test prior to
19	the arrival of the inspectors found that
20	the starter was not working. The

21	starter was repaired and retested on
22	August 22nd and found in good order.
23	The bow thruster electronic card was
24	found deficient. A new card was
25	reported and replaced. The system was
	38
1	retested and found in good working
2	order.
3	In all, the entire vessel was found
4	in full compliance with great
5	cooperation from the crew. It is the
6	recommendation of ABS Consulting that
7	Boomtown Casino be reissued the
8	certificate for one year.
9	MR. TYLER: We now present these
10	findings to this honorable board for
11	acceptance and request that upon
12	accepting the inspection report, the
13	Board will move for the renewal of the
14	Certificate of Compliance for Boomtown
15	Harvey.
16	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Thank you. Is
17	there any questions?
18	MR. SINGLETON: Move.
19	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Mr. Singleton
20	moves to accept the inspection report,
21	issue the renewal certificate for
22	Boomtown Harvey. Is there a second?
23	MS. ROGERS: Second.

24	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: By Miss Rogers.
25	Is there any objection? Hearing none,
	39
1	that's approved. Thank you.
2	MR. TYLER: Thank you.
3	MR. FRANCIC: Thank you.
4	D. Consideration of petition to amend
5	license conditions by Louisiana Riverboat
6	Gaming Partnership d/b/a DiamondJacks
7	Casino and Resort, License No. R010800195
8	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: We will move to
9	Item D, Consideration of petition to
10	amend license conditions by Louisiana
11	Riverboat Gaming Partnership doing
12	business as DiamondJacks Casino and
13	Resort, License No. R010800195.
14	MR. BRANTLEY: Mr. Chairman, Members
15	of the Board, I'm Joseph Brantley on
16	behalf of DiamondJacks. Today with me
17	is Domenic Ricciardelli, who is the
18	general manager.
19	Prior to today I believe we
20	submitted, basically, a brief overview
21	of our request to reduce from 770 to
22	down to 650 the number of employees
23	pursuant to the voluntary employment
24	goal that was originally set back when
25	the casino was first licensed in the

1	early 90's. As the Board is aware,
2	there's not only been a downturn in the
3	economy, but the advent of gaming in the
4	State of Oklahoma has materially
5	impacted the Bossier City/Shreveport
6	area, as is reflected in the exhibits
7	that we've given to you.
8	Additionally, we have consulted with
9	the mayor, and we've offered and I
10	believe you have a copy of the letter
11	from the Mayor of Bossier endorsing this
12	proposal. If you have any questions,
13	we'll be certainly happy to address
14	those questions.
15	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: I think the
16	information we had State Police look
17	into some of the information, and the
18	information I received from them is that
19	calendar year '07 to '10, you have a
20	14 percent 14 million or 14 percent
21	decline in revenue and a 27 percent
22	decline in admissions. Does that sound
23	right?
24	MR. RICCIARDELLI: Yes, sir.
25	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Board members, any
	41
1	questions? And you agree that this
2	would be for a one-year period, and
3	we'll reevaluate it in a year?

4	MR. BRANTLEY: Yes, sir. We fully
5	understand.
6	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: I'll entertain a
7	motion, or if there is any discussion.
8	MS. ROGERS: I'll move.
9	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: We have a motion
10	by Miss Rogers to approve the resolution
11	that's been prepared to amend the
12	conditions to employ at least 650
13	persons in the riverboat and all
14	landbased facilities. Is there a second
15	to the motion?
16	MS. NOONAN: I'll second.
17	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Seconded by
18	Miss Noonan. Why don't you read it into
19	the record, Miss Tramonte.
20	THE CLERK: On the 15th day of
21	September,2011, the Louisiana Gaming
22	Control Board did, in a duly noticed
23	public meeting, consider the issue of
24	Louisiana Riverboat Gaming Partnership's
25	petition for consideration of reduction
	42
1	in voluntary goal for total employment,
2	and upon motion duly made and seconded,
3	the Board adopted the following
4	resolution.
5	Be it resolved that Condition 9 of
6	the specific economic and procurement

7	condition of the statement of conditions
8	be modified and replaced with the
9	following Condition 9: To employ at
10	least 650 persons in riverboat and all
11	landbased facilities, which employment
12	head count numbers shall be, A,
13	effective for one year commencing
14	September 15th, 2011, and B, reviewed
15	and either reaffirmed or modified by the
16	Louisiana Gaming Control Board
17	thereafter.
18	Thus done and signed in Baton Rouge,
19	Louisiana, this 15th day of September,
20	2011.
21	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Before we vote on
22	it, how are you going to achieve that
23	number?
24	MR. BRANTLEY: We've already
25	exceeded the number, I believe.
	43
1	MR. RICCIARDELLI: Yeah. We're at
2	656 now presently.
3	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: There won't be any
4	layoffs?
5	MR. RICCIARDELLI: No. We've never
6	done a layoff. We've been normal
7	attrition, lower our numbers, and as I
8	said, we're six above and don't
9	anticipate any more.

10	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Is there any
11	further discussion on the resolution?
12	MR. BRADFORD: I'm just wondering.
13	We're getting a lot of these requests
14	now, and I'm generally supportive of
15	where this is going because these
16	voluntary conditions were set a long,
17	long time ago and the market conditions
18	have obviously changed. Your voluntary
19	condition is 770 and third quarter of
20	2010 you were at 737, the next quarter
21	698, then 681 and 659. So and I know
22	that there are seasonal reasons for
23	these numbers changing, but you've never
24	been as low as 650.
25	MR. RICCIARDELLI: In addition to
	44
1	what we're not counting are the 170
2	employees that we have for two third
3	party companies. Unfortunately, they're
4	Louisiana residents and pay taxes in
5	Louisiana, but we can't count them as
6	the law states now, so we're over
7	that
8	MR. BRADFORD: Right.
9	MR. RICCIARDELLI: if I counted
10	those 170, and we're back up to where we
11	should be.
12	MR. BRANTLEY: That really is a

13	catch-22 because even though they're
14	third party employees, they all live and
15	reside in Louisiana and pay taxes to the
16	State.
17	MR. RICCIARDELLI: And they're
18	Louisiana companies. Not necessarily
19	their home office happens to be out of
20	Louisiana so unfortunately I can't count
21	them, but they have an office presence
22	in Louisiana.
23	MR. BRADFORD: Do you think by
24	lowering this employment level
25	condition, it stabilizes things, that
	45
1	you're going to have less turnover, less
2	in and out and the fluctuation of the
3	number you're going to be able to have
4	better control over the not just the
5	quantity, obviously, but the quality of
6	people that you bring in and have less
7	turnover.
8	MR. RICCIARDELLI: Absolutely.
9	That's our goal. Right now any property
10	can only go so low as far as with their
11	number of employees. I mean, it's one
12	or two here, but this is we're at 656
13	plus the 170, and that's where we are.
14	If business picks up, and hopefully
15	you know, we hope it does we'll add

- to that accordingly.
- 17 And if you look at our numbers, most
- of our people are full-time, so we don't
- 19 have a seasonal that other markets might
- 20 have.
- 21 MR. BRADFORD: That's all.
- 22 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. Do we have
- a motion to adopt the resolution? No
- 24 further discussion. Miss Tramonte, will
- you call the roll, please.

- 1 THE CLERK: Miss Rogers?
- 2 MS. ROGERS: Yes.
- 3 THE CLERK: Mr. Bradford?
- 4 MR. BRADFORD: Yes.
- 5 THE CLERK: Mr. Stipe?
- 6 MR. STIPE: Yes.
- 7 THE CLERK: Mr. Singleton?
- 8 MR. SINGLETON: Yes.
- 9 THE CLERK: Miss Noonan?
- 10 MS. NOONAN: Yes.
- 11 THE CLERK: Chairman Morgan?
- 12 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Yes. So that's
- 13 approved.
- 14 MR. BRANTLEY: Thank you.
- 15 MR. RICCIARDELLI: Thank you.
- 16 E. Consideration of petition to amend
- 17 license conditions by Eldorado Casino
- 18 Shreveport Joint Venture d/b/a Eldorado

19	Resort Casino Shreveport, License No.
20	R013600005
21	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: The next item
22	would be Consideration of petition to
23	amend the license conditions by Eldorado
24	Casino Shreveport Joint Venture doing
25	business as Eldorado Resort Casino
	47
1	Shreveport, License No. RO13600005.
2	Good morning.
3	MR. BARBIN: Good morning, Chairman
4	Morgan, Members of the Board. My name
5	is Jeff Barbin. I'm with Phelps Dunbar
6	here representing Eldorado Casino
7	Shreveport this morning. With me this
8	morning is Mike Whitemaine. He's the
9	general manager of the property, and
10	we're here as well to seek a
11	modification of our license condition to
12	reduce the total employment goal.
13	But unlike some of the boats that
14	have come before us, we really have a
15	different situation here. These
16	conditions were set in the early 90's
17	when this licensee was operating at the
18	foot of Canal Street in New Orleans. So
19	the condition that's on the license
20	today really bears no reasonable
21	relationship to the market in which the

22	boat is operating in today, and since
23	the 1990's that licensee has changed
24	hands four times. It's gone through one
25	bankruptcy and one change in berth site.
	48
1	So some of our competitors have come
2	up and said, you know, the markets are
3	changed drastically since we set this
4	condition. In our case, it really never
5	was a part you know, the market was a
6	completely different market.
7	So this morning I wanted to give you
8	just a brief history of the license and
9	how it moved around, and then
10	Mr. Whitemaine is going to take you
11	through just a brief summary of how we
12	calculated the number that we're that
13	we're asking for. And essentially all
14	we're asking for is that this Board give
15	us a number, a condition that is
16	competitive in the marketplace in the
17	Bossier Shreveport/Bossier area.
18	So, again, as I mentioned, the
19	license was signed by the developers in
20	1994. The property was the Hilton
21	Flamingo at the foot of Canal Street.
22	I'm sure Chairman Morgan, Mr. Singleton
23	visited that property many times in the
24	early 1990's.

25	In 1996 the Gaming Control Board
	49
1	approved a change in the berth site from
2	New Orleans to Shreveport. If you
3	remember, this boat could not operate in
4	the Parish of Orleans once the permanent
5	landbased facility was built. So in
6	1996, the owners petitioned this Board
7	for a change in berth site, and it was
8	granted. In 1997, the boat stopped
9	operating in New Orleans, and at that
10	point in time, Hilton decided that it
11	didn't want to be part of the change in
12	berth site and sold its interest in the
13	property to Hollywood Casino.
14	Three years later in December of
15	2000, Hollywood opened in Shreveport
16	owned by Hollywood Casinos, you know,
17	worldwide. It was a really beautiful
18	property as many of you know because you
19	visited it; but it was over-built, and
20	the debt load that they had was really
21	unsustainable.
22	In 2003, Penn National Gaming
23	acquired Hollywood Casinos nationwide,
24	and in 2004 this property was placed
25	into bankruptcy. It just could not
	50
1	handle the large amount of debt that it

2	incurred in its construction. What
3	seemed like an eternity to the people at
4	this table was really only about a year
5	later that Eldorado emerged, you know,
6	as the owner when the property came out
7	of bankruptcy.
8	So in July 2005, Eldorado took over
9	ownership and management of the
10	property, and since that time the
11	property's flourished. You know, the
12	Eldorado plan allowed for all the
13	employees to be fully paid. All of the
14	local vendors were fully paid. You
15	know, the Eldorado management team has
16	really turned this property, you know,
17	into a sustainable and profitable
18	business both for you know, obviously
19	for itself but for the state.
20	It's reinvested heavily in cap X in
21	the property, and Mike will talk about
22	that in a few minutes; but virtually the
23	entire casino and hotel has been
24	renovated top to bottom. And as you
25	know, just recently the property was
	51
1	able to refinance its loans, and that, I
2	think, is attributable to the great
3	management that's in place there.
4	So clearly this property, this

5	license is different than what was
6	originally envisioned by the developers.
7	So, again, what we're seeking is, you
8	know, a number that is in line with the
9	marketplace. And with that, I'll turn
10	it over to Mike to talk about the
11	analysis of the numbers, and then we'll
12	be happy to answer any questions that
13	you-guys have.
14	MR. WHITEMAINE: Chairman Morgar
15	Board Members, you should have both
16	all two handouts that we sent to you
17	before, and the first one basically
18	what I want to talk about is: No
19	question we took over the property in
20	2005. The goals here were 1,500
21	employees. It was in bankruptcy. We
22	went and did what we needed to do.
23	On the first handout, you see that I
24	put that in there for the fact that
25	L'Auberge also is at 1,500, and how they
	52
1	got that I don't know. Probably a good
2	lobbyist or whoever, but I don't know
3	how they got there. And we're on the
4	same playing field. Yeah, they have two
5	and a half more times rooms than we do

Obviously, they do more revenue than we

did. So I think that's -- I just put

6

8	that on for that reason.
9	The second sheet, what we did is did
10	it on a comparison of what's in
11	Shreveport/Bossier. As you can see,
12	we're fourth in the market in rooms. We
13	have 403; Horseshoe has approximately
14	625; you have got about 525 on Sam's,
15	Town, and then comes us. We're second
16	in the market in HER. We're very proud
17	of that.
18	So what we did is we tried to make a
19	comparison on the sheet that you see as
20	far as HER per million and how many
21	employees you would need to have, and as
22	you can see, we would have to have 9.87
23	employees per million HER, where the
24	rest of the market has an average of
25	5.89. There's no scientific rhyme here
	53
1	or reason, but that's basically the only
2	way we knew how to present it to you
3	without just saying, you know, revenues
4	are this and revenues are that, because
5	the revenues aren't down. So I don't
6	have an argument on that one.
7	So then we did it on hotel rooms,
8	and as you can see by the number of
9	hotel rooms, we should have 3.72
10	employees per per room compared to

11	the rest of the average that is at 1.65.
12	So we're pretty much double what the
13	market is.
14	I'm not saying we're going to go
15	down to what these averages are, no.
16	I'm not recommending that, and I'm not
17	saying we want to go that. But I think
18	we need to be competitive in the market
19	for the next year or two to see what's
20	going to happen. I don't have the
21	crystal ball. I don't think anybody
22	here does, so what we're looking at is
23	trying to knock our number down to a
24	thousand, which was Horseshoe, even
25	though we have less rooms, and try to
	54
1	get that approval amended to that point.
2	The other point I'd like to also
3	make is that in the six years that I've
4	been here, we have put \$35 million back
5	into our property from July 2005 through
6	2010. We have a seven-and-a-half
7	million dollar capital that we're
8	putting in this year. We probably won't
9	hit that. We'll hit four-and-a-half to
10	five million because we just can't
11	we're having problems getting bids on
12	our buffet. We only have really three
13	vendors up north that we can basically

14	go through and try to get that. So
15	we'll probably put that off until next
16	year, and it probably will be another 5
17	or \$6 million. But we have put a ton of
18	money back in this market.
19	I have no plans to lay people off,
20	do any of that. Our full-time
21	equivalents on our insurance program are
22	901 employees even though only 700
23	have signed up to be on the plan for
24	whatever reason, obviously but we
25	have 900 full-time equivalents in the
	55
1	market right now.
2	As Domenic was saying in answering
3	the question of Mr. Bradford, the
4	market's a tough market as far as
5	employees. I'd love to keep full-time
6	employees; but thirty percent of the
7	people we hire cannot pass the drug test
8	and cannot pass the background checks,
9	and it makes it an extremely tough,
10	tough situation to be able to continue
11	to do that.
12	I'm very proud to say since we have
13	been here that we are continually giving
14	raises, as we will do this October. Our
15	employees are making more money. We

keep our insurance premiums stable as

17	much as we can. We don't charge the
18	employees we haven't raised that
19	probably in about two years, but I'm
20	very proud to say since Eldorado has
21	taken over this property, we have
22	continued to give raises to our
23	employees.
24	MR. BARBIN: We can answer any
25	questions you-guys have.
	56
1	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: You contract out
2	your hotel cleaning?
3	MR. WHITEMAINE: No, sir. The only
4	thing we contract out is our stewarding
5	and the public area, which is about 116
6	employees, and our marine crew.
7	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Because if I if
8	the information I have is correct, the
9	Horseshoe number might be too low,
10	actually, because theirs was done at a
11	time when they did not have a hotel. It
12	was strictly a casino.
13	So you didn't have the comparison
14	I don't know. It's just apples and
15	oranges, I think. I'm in support of
16	reducing your amount, I think, but I'm
17	not in support at a thousand, to be very
18	honest with you. I think I've
19	communicated that to you through the

20	Attorney General's Office, and the
21	problem I have is if this board approves
22	this at a thousand that you're seeking,
23	I understand you're worried that you're
24	not going to lay off, but technically
25	the Board has no authority to prevent
	57
1	you from laying off 250 people.
2	And through your own admission
3	through submissions you provided to the
4	Board, you anticipate maintaining a
5	level of employment of about 1,250,
6	around there, 1,260. So that's the
7	difficulty I have in supporting your
8	petition at a thousand, but
9	MR. BARBIN: If I could just respond
10	to the Horseshoe question and I don't
11	know whether or not that's true, you
12	know, if the hotel was part of the
13	equation or not. Regardless, it is what
14	their condition is, and as we understand
15	it, there's not going to be a
16	requirement that they raise that level.
17	Again, we're just trying to seek a
18	number that is equal to the other people
19	in the market, because this boat was not
20	part of this market.
21	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: But they're
22	employing 1,500 people.

23	MR. WHITEMAINE: Well, Horseshoe
24	just went to their housekeeping is
25	done by FSS. They went outside. That's
	58
1	not
2	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Well, I was going
3	by the data we have before us. It's
4	1,500 employees.
5	MR. BARBIN: And they're at 1,500,
6	and their employment goal is a thousand.
7	As they stand today
8	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: It would be 1,332.
9	MR. BARBIN: they can eliminate
10	those 332 positions, and, again, you
11	wouldn't have any control over that. We
12	would be in the same position. We would
13	be employing about 1,250. We'd have the
14	ability to modify down to a thousand.
15	We don't have any plans to do that
16	through attrition or otherwise.
17	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: We're doing this
18	for a year. I would give you the
19	opportunity in a year to state your
20	case. I'm just telling you I'm not
21	going to support a thousand. Board
22	members?
23	MR. WHITEMAINE: Well, can we
24	compromise to a number? Can we be
25	Congress?

1	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: I tried to have
2	that discussion, and y'all, I don't
3	think, wanted to do that. Board
4	members.
5	MR. BARBIN: I didn't realize that
6	we were having that discussion, you
7	know. We believe this is our number.
8	We're happy to talk about another number
9	and happy to answer any questions you
10	might have.
11	MR. STIPE: I don't have a question.
12	I just move 1,100.
13	MS. ROGERS: I have a question.
14	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Hold your motion
15	just for a second.
16	MR. STIPE: Yeah, I'll withdraw my
17	motion just for a second.
18	MS. ROGERS: What areas would you be
19	declining the numbers? What areas?
20	Would it be an overall area?
21	MR. BARBIN: There are no plans.
22	MR. WHITEMAINE: Well, you mean if
23	business goes down?
24	MS. ROGERS: Yeah. No, no, these
25	numbers you want to come down.
	60
1	MR. WHITEMAINE: No, we don't want
2	to come down. We just want to have the

3 leeway of the market. 4 MS. ROGERS: What areas would you be decreasing employees? 5 6 MR. WHITEMAINE: Well, I've always 7 believed -- call it right or wrong, I've 8 always believed you don't get rid of the 9 Indians; you get rid of the chiefs. We 10 would have to consolidate management 11 positions more than the -- you have to 12 have the rank and file. 13 MS. ROGERS: Okay. 14 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Well, you ought to 15 run for office. Who is next? 16 MR. BRADFORD: I make a motion that 17 we amend this resolution to allow 18 employment level no lower than 1,200. 19 MR. SINGLETON: I'll second on it. 20 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. We have a 21 motion by Mr. Bradford to amend the 22 resolution to include a number 1,200, 23 seconded by Mr. Singleton. Are y'all in 24 agreement with that? 25 MR. WHITEMAINE: We'll do what we 61 1 have to. 2 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: That's a "yes" or 3 "no"? 4 MR. WHITEMAINE: Yes, sir.

MR. SINGLETON: If it makes any

6	sense, should we review this at the end
7	of a year?
8	MR. BARBIN: Can I make a comment
9	before?
10	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Sure.
11	MR. BARBIN: There is a pending
12	application, obviously, for a new boat
13	in Bossier, and I guess I just want to
14	make it clear that, you know, when we
15	get another shot next year at this
16	number, if we need another shot at it,
17	that, you know, that will be taken into
18	consideration. We don't know if this
19	boat's coming or not. We don't know if
20	the vote is going to pass, but we need
21	to but we need to you know, that's
22	obviously going to be a substantial
23	change in our business. So we need to
24	have the ability to
25	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: The Lord didn't
	62
1	bless me with many talents, but I have a
2	very good memory. And y'all were in the
3	same meeting that I was in when I
4	communicated that to you, that we would
5	look at these things annually because
6	the market fluctuates. In defense of
7	you, the licensee, the Board should have
8	done that from day one, looked at these

9	things annually because of the
10	fluctuations in the market and things
11	that are beyond your control.
12	So I publicly commit to you that,
13	but that was communicated in a meeting
14	we had. That's the difficulty I have
15	with the number you presented.
16	Okay. We have a motion and a
17	second. Why don't you read it into the
18	record with the number being 1,200.
19	THE CLERK: On the 15th day of
20	September 2011, the Louisiana Gaming
21	Control Board did, in a duly noticed
22	public meeting, consider the issue of
23	Eldorado Casino Shreveport Joint
24	Venture's petition to amend license
25	condition, and upon motion duly made and
	63
1	second, the Board adopted the following
2	resolution.
3	Be it resolved that Condition 25,
4	the specific economic procurement
5	conditions of the statement of
6	conditions, be modified and replaced
7	with the following Condition 25: To
8	employ at least 1,200 persons in
9	riverboat and all landbased facilities
10	which employment head count numbers
11	shall be, A, effective for one year

- 12 commencing September 15th, 2011, and B,
- 13 reviewed and either reaffirmed or
- 14 modified by the Louisiana Gaming Control
- 15 Board thereafter.
- 16 Thus done and signed in Baton Rouge,
- 17 Louisiana, this 15th day of September,
- 18 2011.
- 19 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Call the roll,
- 20 please. Or is there any other
- 21 discussion on the resolution? Call the
- 22 roll.
- 23 THE CLERK: Miss Rogers?
- MS. ROGERS: Yes.
- 25 THE CLERK: Mr. Bradford?

- 1 MR. BRADFORD: Yes.
- 2 THE CLERK: Mr. Stipe?
- 3 MR. STIPE: Yes.
- 4 THE CLERK: Mr. Singleton?
- 5 MR. SINGLETON: Yes.
- 6 THE CLERK: Miss Noonan?
- 7 MS. NOONAN: Yes.
- 8 THE CLERK: Chairman Morgan?
- 9 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Yes. It's
- 10 approved. Thank you very much.
- 11 MR. EUFPLT: Thank you.
- 12 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: We'll work with
- you in the future on this.
- 14 VIII. VIDEO GAMING ISSUES

- 15 A. Consideration of the following truckstop
- 16 applications:
- 17 1. Cane Row Casino, L.L.C., d/b/a Cane Row
- 18 Casino No. 0400516345 (new application)
- 19 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. We'll go to
- 20 Item VIII, Video Gaming Issues,
- 21 Consideration of the following truckstop
- 22 applications: Number one, Cane Row
- 23 Casino, L.L.C., doing business as Cane
- 24 Row Casino, No. 0400516345. It's a new
- 25 application.

- 1 MR. WAGNER: Good morning,
- 2 Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board. I'm
- 3 Assistant Attorney General, Jonathon
- 4 Wagner, before you in regard to the
- 5 original application for a Type 5 video
- 6 poker license submitted by Cane Row,
- 7 L.L.C., doing business as Cane Row
- 8 Casino.
- 9 Cane Row is applying for a truckstop
- 10 facility located in Donaldsonville,
- 11 Louisiana, which is in Assumption
- 12 Parish. Cane Row is a Louisiana limited
- 13 liability company and was organized and
- filed in August of 2008. On its
- application, Cane Row reported its sole
- member and company manager as Andrew M.
- 17 Taylor. Terry Dugas is an employee of

18	Cane Row who was hired in March of 2011
19	to actually manage the truckstop
20	facility.
21	Trooper Eddie Daigle with the Office
22	of State Police conducted an
23	investigation of Cane Row Casino, as
24	well as its on-site inspection of the
25	truckstop facility and is here to
	66
1	present his information.
2	TROOPER DAIGLE: Good morning,
3	Chairman and Members of the Board. I'm
4	Trooper Eddie Daigle. I conducted a
5	suitability investigation on Andrew
6	Taylor, his spouse, Gretchen Taylor, and
7	Terry Dugas and found no information
8	which would prohibit them from
9	participating in the Louisiana's gaming
10	industry.
11	An on-site inspection was conducted,
12	and it was determined that the
13	establishment meets all criteria set
14	forth in video gaming laws as a
15	qualified truckstop facility. Tax
16	clearance certificates and inquiries
17	revealed that the applicant and the
18	relevant persons are current in the
19	filings and payment of all required
20	taxes and returns. All applicable state

21	and local permits were posted.
22	The establishment consists of more
23	than five contiguous acres. The
24	truckstop also has a separate gaming
25	area that is restricted to adult
	67
1	patronage.
2	The applicant submitted 90-day fuel
3	sales figures. Based on a review of
4	those figures, Cane Row, L.L.C., will
5	open with up to 35 video poker machines.
6	MR. WAGNER: The Office of the
7	Attorney General has reviewed the file
8	compiled as a result of State Police's
9	investigation, and our review has
10	revealed no information that would
11	preclude the license of Cane Row Casino,
12	L.L.C., doing business as Cane Row.
13	At this time, we'd be happy to
14	answer any other questions.
15	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Any questions,
16	members? Mr. Stipe.
17	MR. STIPE: The lease in this
18	instance goes from, I guess, a base rate
19	of a thousand to a base rate of 29,500
20	to a base rate of 50,000. What's the
21	logic of I guess I would call it
22	double escalation during the initial
23	term of the lease?

24	MR. WAGNER: Well, I wasn't involved
25	in the negotiation of the lease between
	68
1	the two parties, but looking at the
2	numbers and the dates, it seems that as
3	the property itself becomes more
4	valuable and can generate more revenue,
5	higher rent would be paid. And with
6	regards to the higher rent, as you're
7	aware, the previous application that was
8	filed on behalf of Cane Row resulted in
9	the Office of State Police finding that
10	the facility did meet all requirements
11	for a state truckstop, and the seal of
12	approval, if you will, adds value to the
13	property itself which would allow it to
14	command a higher rental rate, which is
15	commensurate with rental rates that are
16	paid for other similar properties.
17	MR. STIPE: And I guess that's a
18	reason for it to start high, but I guess
19	what I I'm struggling to understand
20	given the situations is why it would
21	start so low, go up a to 60 percent
22	of the initial of the ultimate rate,
23	and then I suppose when it's operational
24	or when it's coming to if it's
25	approved when it's operational, then

- 1 jumps up again to 50,000 a year -- or 2 50,000, I'm sorry, a month. I 3 apologize. 4 MR. WAGNER: That sounds reasonable. 5 MR. STIPE: The lessor for this 6 property is -- is who? 7 MR. WAGNER: Operation Bayou, LLC. 8 MR. STIPE: And who is the owner or 9 the manager, membership holder of 10 Operation Bayou? 11 MR. WAGNER: Miss Moore with my 12 office has just informed me that this is 13 not necessarily atypical of the way 14 things are done. When the truckstops 15 are just opening, they need to be able 16 to generate customer base and so forth. 17 Costs can sometimes -- that they would 18 be able to pay later can't necessarily 19 be covered up front, so lower rent is 20 often done in times in order to allow 21 these truckstops to actually get their 22 revenues flowing until such time as 23 normal business levels are reached. 24 And to answer your question about 25 the membership of Operation Bayou, it is
- 1 solely owned by Mr. Baxter Edwards.
- 2 MR. STIPE: Is there any -- is this

3 a flat monthly charge for this rate?

4	There's some leases where there's a
5	percentage rent and based on the
6	revenues of the facility.
7	MR. WAGNER: That is not the case
8	here. This is a flat monthly rent that
9	has is not tied to gaming revenue
10	whatsoever. The rent is going to be
11	what the schedule calls for in the lease
12	regardless of if the machines generate a
13	dollar or \$50,000.
14	MR. STIPE: Does is there a
15	provision in the rent, a representation
16	by the lessee of a particular use so
17	that if this board does not approve
18	this, that the rent will be altered
19	somehow?
20	MR. WAGNER: There is not.
21	MR. STIPE: Your recommendation or
22	your opinion to this board is that this
23	application meets all the statutory
24	requirements?
25	MR. WAGNER: Yes, sir.
	71
1	MR. STIPE: To your investigation
2	troopers: This application meets all
3	the statutory requirements?
4	TROOPER DAIGLE: Yes, sir.
5	MR. STIPE: Your investigation

indicates no reason that would disallow

7	this application?
8	TROOPER DAIGLE: Correct.
9	MR. STIPE: That's based on all the
10	information you had the ability to
11	gather and become aware of?
12	TROOPER DAIGLE: Yes, sir.
13	MR. STIPE: That's all the questions
14	I have.
15	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Miss Rogers.
16	MS. ROGERS: I'm just curious: Can
17	you explain or do you know how the
18	hundred percent of this membership was
19	sold for a hundred dollars? Did that
20	come up in your investigation? That
21	kind of threw an antennae up for me.
22	MR. WAGNER: That did come up in the
23	investigation, and that is explainable.
24	At the time Mr. Baxter sold his
25	membership in Cane Row Casino, L.L.C.,
	72
1	the company itself had no assets. It
2	did not own the property, the facility,
3	the fuel. It owns nothing. It was
4	essentially a paper corporation. The
5	after he sold it for the hundred
6	dollars, which given had no assets
7	it's kind of reasonable then the
8	licensee, the applicant, leased the
9	property from Operational [sic] Bayou.

10	I mean, even today it has no assets
11	other than this lease.
12	MS. ROGERS: So that would be
13	appear to be okay?
14	MR. WAGNER: Yes, ma'am.
15	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Any other
16	questions? Mr. Bradford?
17	MR. BRADFORD: I've read the
18	memorandum from Miss Moore, excellent
19	memorandum, and without a crystal ball,
20	I see no reason to belabor this anymore.
21	I mean, you can look for all kind of
22	things in here, but I move for approval.
23	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: We have a motion
24	by Mr. Bradford to approve the issuance
25	of the license. Is there a second? [No
	73
1	response.] Hearing no second, the
2	motion can't move forward without a
3	second. So is there an alternate
4	motion? [No response.]
5	Okay. I will move to continue it
6	for further investigation by State
7	Police, second by Mr. Bradford. Is
8	there any objection? Okay. Hearing
9	none, motion to move for further
10	investigation to look for additional
11	information on it.
12	2. Longwood Holdings, LLC, d/b/a Longwood

13	General Store & Truckstop - No.
14	0900516375 (new application)
15	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Item 2 is Longwood
16	Holding, LLC, doing business as Longwood
17	General Store & Truckstop, No.
18	0900516375.
19	MR. WAGNER: Good morning,
20	Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board.
21	Again, I'm Assistant Attorney General,
22	Jonathon Wagner, present in regards to
23	the original application for a Type 5
24	video poker license of Longwood
25	Holdings, LLC.
	74
1	Longwood Holdings is a Louisiana
2	LLC. The truckstop facility itself is
3	located in Moringsport, Louisiana, which
4	is in Caddo Parish. On its application
5	Longwood Holdings reported its ownership
6	as follows: Mr. William C. Windham,
7	45 percent; John S. Turner, Jr., 45
8	percent; and John H I'm sorry if I
9	ruin the name Jurewicz, 10 percent,
10	for a total of 100.
11	Trooper Vincent Lenguyen with the
12	Louisiana State Police conducted the
13	investigation of Longwood Holdings, as
14	well as its on-site inspection. He is
15	here to present his findings.

16	TROOPER LENGUYEN: Good morning,
17	Chairman Morgan and Board Members. My
18	name is Trooper Vincent Lenguyen with
19	the Louisiana State Police.
20	William C. Windham, his spouse
21	Carol, John S. Turner, his spouse
22	Carolyn, John H. Jurewicz, and his
23	spouse Cynthia, previously met
24	suitability in connection with other
25	video poker licenses. Therefore, I
	75
1	conducted an updated suitability
2	investigation on of them and found no
3	new information which would serve to
4	prohibit them from continuing to
5	participate in the Louisiana gaming
6	industry.
7	An on-site inspection was conducted,
8	and it was determined that the
9	establishment meet all criteria set
10	forth in the video gaming law as a
11	qualified truckstop facility. Tax
12	clearance certificates and inquiries
13	revealed that they and the applicant,
14	they are all current in the filing and
15	payment of all required tax and returns.
16	All applicable state and local
17	permits were posted during the
18	inspection. The establishment is

19	consist of more than five contiguous
20	acres. The truckstop also has a
21	separate gaming area that is restricted
22	to adult patrons.
23	The applicant submitted continued
24	to submit the 90 days of fuel sales
25	figure. As a result, they will upon
	76
1	approval they will continue to have 35
2	video poker devices.
3	MR. WAGNER: The Office of the
4	Attorney General has reviewed the file
5	compiled by State Police as a result of
6	their investigation, and our review
7	indicates no information which would
8	preclude the licensing of Longwood
9	Holdings, LLC, doing business as
10	Longwood General Store & Truckstop, for
11	a Type 5 video poker permit.
12	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. Thank you.
13	Is there any questions by board members?
14	I'll entertain a motion. Mr. Bradford,
15	do you have a question?
16	MR. BRADFORD: Move to approve.
17	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Mr. Bradford moves
18	to approve issuance of the license. Is
19	there a second?
20	MS. NOONAN: I'll second.
21	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Second by

22	Miss Noonan. Is there any objection?
23	Hearing none, that's approved. Thank
24	you.
25	That brings us to Item IX, Proposed
	77
1	Settlement/Appeals from Hearing
2	Officers' Decisions.
3	THE CLERK: Petition for Declaratory
4	Ruling.
5	B. Petition for Declaratory Ruling:
6	1. Petition filed by Wayne A. Collier for
7	interpretation of La.R.S. 27:306(C)(5)
8	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: The main thing
9	missed. I don't know if he's here or
10	not. Is Mr. Collier here? I passed
11	over you. Come on up. You want to
12	introduce yourself for the record.
13	MR. COLLIER: Thank you,
14	Mr. Chairman. My name is Wayne A.
15	Collier. I'm here pursuant to a request
16	for a declaratory ruling with regard to
17	a specific fact scenario.
18	Myself, a practicing attorney, and
19	some of my peers have reviewed the
20	statute and have an opinion about the
21	proper interpretation of a new
22	regulation in 27:306(5)(A)(1). The
23	statute State law provides that there
24	can be no license application or video

25	poker license truckstop video poker
	78
1	license where there's residential
2	property within one mile, and we
3	understand the clear import of that
4	regulation.
5	It also suggests and has been
6	suggested to me that even though there
7	may not be a residential property within
8	one mile of a proposed location, that
9	local governing authorities may have
10	created, in exercise of their power,
11	zoning districts where it is potentially
12	possible that a zoning a residential
13	property would be constructed in the
14	future within the one mile radius.
15	So the question specifically before
16	the Board is where you have a fact
17	situation where there is no residential
18	property in existence within one mile of
19	the proposed application for a video
20	poker truckstop but there is a zoning
21	district that is locally established
22	within that one mile radius, does that
23	district itself preclude the application
24	for a video poker license?
25	And I suggest to the Board that as a
	79
1	matter of statutory interpretation.

2	where two laws that may have conflict
3	can be interpreted such that they can
4	both be given effect, is the
5	interpretation suggested here, and that
6	would mean that where the circumstances
7	exist that there is no residence or
8	residential property within the
9	definition of the state statute, within
10	a mile, and there is a zoning district
11	within one mile where residents could be
12	constructed, that the application for a
13	video poker license would be proper.
14	And secondly, the second part of the
15	scenario is and I think the statute's
16	really clear on this subsequently
17	once the license is in place, the
18	application's filed, if someone in the
19	residential district builds a house five
20	years later, that third party action,
21	like it would be with any other
22	restriction, would not nullify the
23	application of the video poker truckstop
24	license.
25	And that's the scenario that I
	80
1	present to you, because it's been
2	presented to me, and clearly we're
3	looking for some guidance on that issue.
4	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Miss Moore.

5	MS. MOORE: Chairman Morgan, Members
6	of the Board, Charmaine Moore, Assistant
7	Attorney General, appearing in the
8	matter of the petition for declaratory
9	ruling of Mr. Collier seeking a ruling
10	in regard to the interpretation and
11	application of R.S. 27:306(C)(5)
12	relative to the issuance of a Type 5
13	video poker license within one mile of
14	residential property.
15	Paragraph five of 306(C) was
16	originally enacted in 2009. At the time
17	of its enactment, it provided for a
18	2,500 foot distance requirement for
19	residential property, and that was the
20	same distance requirement that the
21	legislature had previously provided for
22	in (C)(2), which pertained to properties
23	on the National Historic Registry,
24	public playgrounds, public libraries,
25	churches, schools and synagogs.
	81
1	(C)(3) provided for the continued
2	licensing of certain previously licensed
3	truckstops in the event of a subsequent
4	construction within the prohibited
5	distance, and that applied only to those
6	previously enumerated properties. At
7	that time, there was no prohibition in

8	regard to residential property.
9	In 2009 when (C)(5) was first
10	enacted, the legislature apparently
11	copied almost verbatim (C)(3) into
12	(C)(5) in regard to subsequent
13	properties subsequent constructions,
14	but it did not include residential
15	property in that enumeration. It
16	included the previously protected
17	properties, and then it and then one
18	change that it made in that language is
19	it said, "The protected properties in
20	this paragraph." Unfortunately, none of
21	those properties were referred to in
22	that paragraph.
23	Then in 2010, they amended (C)(5),
24	and they increased the distance
25	requirements for all properties,
	82
1	residential and all the previously
2	protected properties, to one mile. They
3	did not amend A double I, which provided
4	the subsequent construction exception.
5	So after review and analysis of
6	(C)(5) as it was originally enacted in
7	2009 and amended in 2010, under the
8	rules of statutory construction, it's
9	our opinion that because the legislature
10	defined the term residential property to

11	require some type of building or
12	construction, and because the
13	prohibition on the issuance of the
14	license is conditioned on the presence
15	of residential property, it's our and
16	not a designation of residential
17	district or zone, it's our opinion that
18	neither the designation of residential
19	property, nor the failure to designate
20	residential property will affect the
21	termination as to whether or not you can
22	issue a license. We believe there has
23	to be a building or structure present.
24	It's also our opinion that the
25	that subparagraph A double I in (C)(5)
	83
1	does not provide any protection to a
2	truckstop licensed after June 1st, 2010,
3	if subsequent to licensing a residential
4	property is constructed within one mile.
5	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: So on a renewal,
6	it would be problematic?
7	MS. MOORE: You'll have a problem on
8	a renewal if the applicant at the time,
9	whatever application you're considering,
10	does not qualify for one of the
11	exceptions in (A)(1), which is that they
12	had to have applied for the license that
13	you're considering before June 1st,

14	2010, which would not be the case.
15	The other exceptions are the
16	truckstop had to have been originally
17	licensed before June 1, 2010. That
18	won't be the case. The only way you'll
19	be able to renew, is the particular
20	applicant was the person that applied
21	for either the certificate of compliance
22	and statement of approval or a building
23	permit before June 1st, 2010. In some
24	cases that will that will occur, and
25	you'll be able to renew, but in a lot of
	84
1	them you won't be able to.
2	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Did you want to
3	comment anymore, sir?
4	MR. COLLIER: No, Mr. Chairman, I
5	think Miss Moore did an excellent job of
6	reviewing the statute.
7	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: We do, too, so did
8	our staff attorney. Any questions?
9	MR. STIPE: A couple of questions.
10	Who is the for a declaratory
11	judgment, who is the interested party?
12	MR. COLLIER: It's only a hypothet
13	on my part. I've been engaged by
14	individuals to determine truckstop
15	available truckstop locations within the
16	state, and I have a need to have this

17	clarified just to know the parameters in
18	which we're able to look. There's no
19	specific truckstop application pending.
20	MR. STIPE: As I appreciate
21	declaratory judgment law, I mean, you
22	certainly can have a declaratory ruling,
23	but you need to have a specific
24	interested party so that it is not
25	simply an academic exercise. So do we
	85
1	have a specific interested party who is
2	seeking a declaratory judgment?
3	MR. COLLIER: Well, with all due
4	respect, I think this board has not only
5	the right, but the responsibility, to
6	entertain declaratory rulings on the
7	interpretation of statute. You don't
8	have to have a case specific for a
9	declaratory judgment in a court of law.
10	Certainly, one would require to have a
11	party at interest, but this is an
12	interpretation of a statute by the body
13	that's the regulator. I don't think
14	it's necessary to have a party at
15	interest.
16	MR. STIPE: Okay. Second question:
17	If you add into (5)(A)(2) the words "a
18	residential property" in front of
19	National Historic Registry, do we have

20	this issue?
21	MS. MOORE: No.
22	MR. STIPE: If you if you as I
23	appreciate the way you look at this, if
24	you issue a license, a license is for a
25	five-year period?
	86
1	MS. MOORE: Yes.
2	MR. STIPE: Okay. And so if you
3	issue a license and there is during that
4	five-year period the construction of a
5	residential property within a mile,
6	that's the that's kind of the risk
7	that the licensee takes during that
8	during that five-year period?
9	MS. MOORE: That's right.
10	MR. STIPE: And so at the end of
11	that five-year period, absent something
12	else but at the end of that five-year
13	period when the licensee reapplied or
14	sought a renewal of the license, I
15	should say, then there would be a
16	residence within the one mile and,
17	therefore, there would be a statutory
18	prohibition against
19	MS. MOORE: Right. I think you'd be
20	required to deny.
21	MR. STIPE: And so the
22	declaratory the specific declaratory

23	ruling that is asked is what?
24	MS. MOORE: Well, I think his first
25	question is I mean, he asked two
	87
1	specific questions in his petition. The
2	first one is presuming only three facts,
3	basically, which is that the local
4	government has zoned a particular area
5	as being qualified for truckstops and
6	another area as being qualified or zoned
7	for residential. Those two districts
8	are within one mile of each other, but
9	there is currently no residential
10	property existing. And it's our opinion
11	in that case, you could issue the
12	license. I mean, you may have a problem
13	on renewal, but you could issue the
14	license in that case.
15	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Board members,
16	I'll open it up to any more questions,
17	but I the staff did draft a
18	recommended proposed ruling that we can
19	get to you formally, but just it
20	highlight that. The various points is
21	four that we'd like to make: That we
22	find that there is no prohibition to the
23	issuance of a video gaming license to a
24	truckstop which, at the time of the
25	application, is not located within one

1	mile of a residential property as
2	defined in La.R.S. 27:306(C)(5)(B), but
3	is located within one mile of a local
4	zoning district that permits residential
5	use. That's clear enough.
6	And then two would be: If there is
7	no residential property within a mile at
8	the time of application, construction of
9	residential property within one mile of
10	the truckstop, after application but
11	before licensing, would not prohibit the
12	issuance of the license.
13	And then thirdly: We'd find that
14	there would be no grounds for revocation
15	of a license by the construction of
16	residential property after licensure.
17	Okay. And then lastly: We'd find
18	that the Board would be prohibited from
19	renewing the license if residential
20	property existed within one mile, even
21	if the residential property was
22	constructed after initial licensing. Is
23	that
24	MS. MOORE: I think that's correct.
25	MR. BRADFORD: Miss Moore, is it
	89
1	fair to say from this that this could
2	scare off a lot of people in the future

3	from building truckstop all across the
4	State of Louisiana if they could be
5	out in the middle of nowhere, and if
6	five or ten years later somebody came in
7	and built a house down the street, then
8	they would be subject to nonrenewal?
9	MS. MOORE: That's correct. I mean,
LO	and some some of the I mean, the
11	truckstops vary in size and how much
12	money is invested in them, but surely
13	it's at least some thousand dollars and
L4	some of them several million dollars to
15	construct these truckstops; and then,
16	you know, you go into business, and it
L7	may be that five years later, or in your
18	case ten years later, fifteen years
19	later, you can't be renewed.
20	MR. BRADFORD: It seemed like there
21	would be a law something needs to be
22	rewritten here.
23	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: There will be one,
24	probably.
25	MS. MOORE: I think that's what's
	90
1	required to fix that problem is in
2	regards to residential property. The
3	other types of property, protected
4	properties are okay and the truckstops
5	are okay on those, because they're

6	MR. BRADFORD: After June 2010, you
7	lose your grandfathering capability?
8	MS. MOORE: Yes. But only for
9	residential property, because all the
10	other properties are listed in the
11	statute, so
12	MR. BRADFORD: Okay.
13	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Hopefully they'll
14	consult with you before they write the
15	law.
16	MS. MOORE: Well, I doubt it.
17	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. I have
18	introduced that into the record, and I
19	have no problem making the motion
20	that I guess the appropriate motion
21	is that we would adopt the proposed
22	ruling that has been prepared, unless
23	there's further discussion. Hearing
24	none, I'll make that motion. Is there a
25	second?
	91
1	MR. BRADFORD: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: By Mr. Bradford.
3	Can I have a roll call. Probably need
4	to get a roll call vote on this. Is
5	there any further discussion? [No
6	response.]
7	Miss Tramonte, why don't you call
8	the roll on that motion.

- 9 THE CLERK: Miss Rogers?
- 10 MS. ROGERS: Yes.
- 11 THE CLERK: Mr. Bradford?
- 12 MR. BRADFORD: Yes.
- 13 THE CLERK: Mr. Stipe?
- 14 MR. STIPE: Yes.
- 15 THE CLERK: Mr. Singleton?
- 16 Mr. Singleton?
- 17 MR. SINGLETON: Yes.
- 18 THE CLERK: Miss Noonan?
- 19 MS. NOONAN: Yes.
- 20 THE CLERK: Chairman Morgan?
- 21 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Yes.
- 22 MR. COLLIER: Mr. Chairman, thank
- you very much.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: And we'll get that
- to you in short order.

- 1 MR. STIPE: And you're welcome,
- 2 interested party.
- 3 IX. PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS/APPEALS FROM HEARING
- 4 OFFICERS' DECISIONS
- 5 1. In Re: Demetrius W. Jackson No.
- 6 PO40039245 (proposed settlement)
- 7 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Now we'll move to
- 8 Item IX, Proposed Settlements/Appeals
- 9 from Hearing Officers' decisions. Go
- ahead and introduce yourself, introduce
- 11 your item into the record.

12	MS. WIMBERLY: Good morning, Ashley
13	Wimberly appearing on behalf of State
14	Police for the proposed settlement
15	matter involving Demetrius Jackson,
16	permit number PO40039245.
17	On January 11th, 2011, the Division
18	was notified by the Internal Revenue
19	Service that Mr. Jackson was not current
20	in the filing and/or payment of his
21	federal taxes. On the same day, the
22	Division notified Mr. Jackson by
23	certified mail. Mr. Jackson stipulates
24	that he received notice of his tax
25	delinquency from the Division on
	93
1	January 18th, 2011. Mr. Jackson also
2	stipulates that he failed to remain
3	current in the filing and/or payment of
4	his federal taxes.
5	The Division received Mr. Jackson's
6	federal tax clearance on August 5th,
7	2011. Mr. Jackson violated Revised
8	Statute 27:28(F); LAC 42:VII.2115(A),
9	(B)(1) and (B)(2); LAC 42:VIII.2115(A),
10	(B)(1) and (B)(2); LAC 42.XI.2131(A) and
11	(B) when he failed to remain current in
12	the filing and payment of his federal
13	tax returns.
14	The Division has agreed to resolve

15	this matter with Mr. Jackson. The
16	Division agrees to accept and Mr.
17	Jackson agrees to pay a \$250 penalty for
18	the aforementioned violations. Hearing
19	Officer Brown approved this compromise
20	and settlement agreement on
21	September 7th of this year.
22	I now submit the compromise and
23	settlement agreement to you, the Board,
24	for approval.
25	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay, thank you.
	94
1	Any questions? I'll entertain a motion
2	to approve the settlement agreement
3	MS. NOONAN: I move.
4	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: by Miss Noonar
5	Seconded by Mr. Singleton. Is there any
6	objections? Hearing none, that's
7	approved. Thank you.
8	2. In Re: Donnell A. Bonnee' - No. PO40057744
9	(appeal)
10	MS. WIMBERLY: I have the next one,
11	too. Assistant Attorney Ashley Wimberly
12	appearing representing the Office of
13	State Police in the matter involving
14	Donnell Bonnee', permit number
15	PO40057744.
16	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Is he here? Is it
17	Bonnee'?

18	MS. WIMBERLY: Bonnee' (different	
19	pronunciation).	
20	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Mr. Bonnee',	
21	Mr. Bonnee'? Can someone check out in	
22	the hall and make sure he's not here.	
23	Did you see him here today?	
24	MS. WIMBERLY: I didn't.	
25	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: The Division is	
	95	
1	taking this appeal?	
2	MS. WIMBERLY: Yes.	
3	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. Go ahead.	
4	MS. WIMBERLY: On March 28th, 2011,	
5	Mr. Bonnee' applied for a non-key gaming	
6	employee permit. On April 25th, 2011,	
7	after a full suitability investigation,	
8	the Division issued a notice of denial	
9	of original application to Mr. Bonnee'.	
10	The Division denied Mr. Bonnee's	
11	application based on both his arrest	
12	record and his failure to disclose such	
13	arrest record to the Division.	
14	On June 20th, 2011, an	
15	administrative hearing was held where	
16	Hearing Officer Reynolds ordered that	
17	the non-key gaming employee permit	
18	application of Donnell Bonnee' be	
19	approved. At issue here is whether	
20	Mr. Bonnee' should be deemed suitable	

21	and issued a non-key gaming employee
22	permit.
23	The Division asserts that an
24	individual with a history of violent
25	behavior poses a threat to the citizens
	96
1	who wish to participate in the gaming
2	industry. Such a finding of
3	unsuitability is not only warranted here
4	but necessary. The Division contends
5	that Mr. Bonnee's propensity for violent
6	behavior is established by his criminal
7	record, and that his arrest and citation
8	should cause him to be viewed as a
9	person of questionable character,
10	honesty and integrity, and thus be
11	unsuitable to participate in the
12	Louisiana gaming industry.
13	The Division also asserts that under
14	Revised Statute 27:28(B)(4), the Board
15	or Division shall grant shall not
16	grant a license or permit to a person
17	who is disqualified on the basis that he
18	failed to provide information and
19	documentation to reveal any fact
20	material to a suitability determination
21	and/or supplied information which is
22	untrue or misleading. Here Mr. Bonnee'
23	on his original application for a

24	non-key gaming employee permit fails to
25	disclose to the Division the existence
	97
1	of his criminal record. Whether or not
2	his failure to disclose such information
3	was intentional or unintentional, the
4	law clearly states that a failure to
5	disclose is grounds for finding of
6	unsuitability.
7	On behalf of the Division, I request
8	that Hearing Officer Reynolds' decision
9	be overturned.
10	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. I'll open
11	it up to questions by board members.
12	Mr. Bonnee' does not have to be here to
13	represent his side. The Division took
14	the appeal, but I'll just state on the
15	record: I don't know that I agree with
16	your contention that his arrest alone
17	warrants unsuitability determination
18	because of the crime, but I do agree
19	failure to disclose those arrests is
20	what is of issue mainly to me and the
21	integrity of the individual. Because
22	you have a tough enough job to do wher
23	they do disclose it, but for him I
24	felt that he was disingenuous in his
25	testimony personally, and I did think he

1	looked like he intentionally did not
2	disclose that.
3	But I'll open it up for board
4	members if there's any questions.
5	MR. STIPE: We're certain
6	Mr. Bonnee' was aware he received
7	notice of the that this matter was on
8	the docket?
9	MS. WIMBERLY: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: I think staff can
11	attest to that, that he received notice,
12	yes.
13	MS. ROGERS: Would you read again
14	I thought I detected some variance in
15	what you said, the final. Would you
16	read the final request that you made.
17	MS. WIMBERLY: Sure. That we on
18	behalf of the Division requesting that
19	the order by Hearing Officer Reynolds be
20	overturned. Hearing Officer Reynolds
21	deemed that Mr. Bonnee' was suitable to
22	participate in gaming.
23	MS. ROGERS: Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Any further
25	discussion? Leave it up to y'all. You
	99
1	heard my position on it.
2	MS. ROGERS: I agree.
3	MR. BRADFORD: I'd like to just say

4	that I read every word of this gigantic
5	thing, and I'm extremely disappointed
6	that Mr. Bonnee' is not here to
7	represent himself.
8	MS. WIMBERLY: As am I. I really
9	thought that he would be here just in
10	conversations we've had.
11	MS. SMITH: I have to check whether
12	he actually received notice, but notice
13	was made as we do in all cases.
14	MR. STIPE: The same notice that he
15	received for the administrative hearing?
16	MS. SMITH: At the same address.
17	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: The question is:
18	Can we continue if we didn't confirm
19	that he received notice? We sent it
20	certified mail?
21	MS. SMITH: I can check, but he also
22	has the option to request a rehearing if
23	there's an allegation that he didn't
24	receive notice or it wasn't properly
25	sent. But it was sent to the address
	100
1	that we have.
2	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Would the record
3	reflect that we received a green card?
4	MS. SMITH: It would. I can check
5	right now. Yeah, our record would, our
6	file at the office would.

7	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: How long will it
8	take to get that information?
9	MS. SMITH: A text.
10	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Maybe go to the
11	next item and then are y'all okay
12	with that?
13	MR. STIPE: That's fine, absolutely.
14	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: We'll go to the
15	next item, and then we'll come back to
16	this one.
17	MS. WIMBERLY: Okay.
18	3. In Re: S. Ross Enterprises, LLC, d/b/a The
19	Suite - No. 3601215834 (appeal)
20	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: The next item is
21	in reference to S. Ross Enterprises,
22	LLC, doing business as The Suite.
23	MS. CHAUBERT: Good morning,
24	Chairman Morgan and Members of the
25	Board. I'm Katie Chaubert, Assistant
	101
1	Attorney General, here representing the
2	Office of State Police in the matter of
3	S. Ross Enterprises, LLC, doing business
4	as The Suite, video gaming license No.
5	3601215834.
6	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Go ahead, sir, and
7	introduce yourself.
8	MR. ROSS: Oh, okay. Good morning.

My name is Spencer Ross. I'm the owner

LO	of The Suite Restaurant & Jazz. From my
l1	understanding that it was a question in
L2	reference to my address that I was being
L3	fined for, and my address haven't
L4	changed. I just wanted to come and make
L5	that known for the record.
16	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Why don't you
L7	introduce the information into the
18	record.
L9	MS. CHAUBERT: Okay. On July 11th,
20	2011, Hearing Officer Reynolds ordered
21	the licensee to pay a civil penalty of
22	\$500 for the licensee's failure to
23	attend a Compulsive Gaming Class in
24	violation of Louisiana Administrative
25	Code 42:III.118(D) and (G), Louisiana
	102
1	Administrative Code 42:XI.2405(B)(7),
2	and Louisiana Administrative Code
3	42:XI.2421(A)(1).
4	Hearing Officer Reynolds furthered
5	ordered the licensee to pay a civil
6	penalty of \$250 for the licensee's
7	failure to update its mailing address
8	with the Division in violation of
9	Louisiana Administrative Code
LO	42:XI.2405(A)11 and 13.
l1	On August 8th, 2011, S. Ross
12	Enterprises filed an appeal of Hearing

13	Officer Reynolds' decision. S. Ross
14	Enterprises was ordered to file a
15	written argument with this board no
16	later than August 25th, 2011. S. Ross
17	Enterprises has not submitted a written
18	argument with this board; therefore, we
19	would respectfully ask that the appeal
20	be dismissed and the hearing officer's
21	decision to be upheld.
22	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Can you briefly
23	state your case for us, tell us
24	MR. ROSS: I didn't have a problem
25	with paying the \$500 fine. When I made
	103
1	the phone call and called, they made me
2	aware an extra 250 was added on because
3	of something dealing with the address,
4	and my address never was changed. I
5	didn't have a problem I wasn't I
6	did make that meeting even though I
7	called. However, for the 250, the
8	address change, my address haven't
9	changed.
10	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: You have attended
11	compulsive gaming?
12	MR. ROSS: No, sir.
13	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: You have not?
14	MR. ROSS: I'm unaware. This is my
15	first time dealing with the gaming

16	situation, so I was unaware that they
17	even had a class.
18	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: What's your
19	current address?
20	MR. ROSS: 4652 Berkley Drive.
21	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: You received
22	notice of all the issues so far, right?
23	MR. ROSS: Yes, sir.
24	MS. CHAUBERT: If I may, Chairman
25	Morgan, in my brief I detail how he was
	104
1	sent a first advisory notice on
2	August 4th, 2011, and those documents
3	were returned stamped "Return to Sender;
4	No Mail Receptacle," and then again on
5	September 30th, 2010, he was sent a
6	second advisory notice by State Police
7	of a requirement to attend the
8	Compulsive Gambling Training Class, and
9	that notice was returned on
10	October 22nd, 2010, marked "Return to
11	Sender; Unclaimed; Unable to Forward."
12	And that's the purpose of the
13	requirement that the licensee maintain a
14	mailing address so that the Division can
15	send them notices and get in touch with
16	them when needed, and the fact that two
17	of the notices came back the hearing
18	officer found warranted a civil penalty

19	of \$250. And we would ask that the
20	Board uphold that?
21	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: So you're
22	appealing the whole amount?
23	MR. ROSS: No, sir, just appealing
24	the 250 as far as the address.
25	MS. CHAUBERT: And, again, we were
	105
1	unaware of the specific appeal because
2	no brief was filed.
3	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Sure.
4	MR. STIPE: What is and I'm just
5	looking through the materials here, and
6	I see 3580 Holiday Drive, New Orleans,
7	Louisiana? What is that?
8	MR. ROSS: The restaurant, sir.
9	MR. STIPE: And what's the correct
10	address?
11	MR. ROSS: It's 3580 Holiday Drive.
12	MR. STIPE: But you get notice at
13	4652 Berkley Drive?
14	MR. ROSS: That's my home address,
15	and during the time, they were mailing
16	to my home address. I wasn't there for
17	personal reasons, but my address has
18	never changed. So I'm aware that they
19	did send the information. I got all my
20	information once I returned back home.
21	MR. STIPE: I mean, I guess if I

22	misstate this, please correct me. I
23	guess the problem is with the regulatory
24	body and regulatory authorities, we need
25	to be able to rely on an accurate
	106
1	address that we send things to so that
2	we know that the regulated entities are
3	receiving the information and then we
4	can the State Police and the Attorney
5	General can perform their duties. And
6	if for some reason you have a personal
7	situation so that the address that they
8	have on file is not accurate, then it's
9	really your responsibility to update
10	that to whatever it needs to be so that
11	they can feel comfortable that when they
12	send they send notice to you, that
13	it's being received; and then if we
14	don't get any response, then if no
15	response is received, then it's because
16	the person who is being regulated
17	doesn't isn't worried about it, okay,
18	or doesn't object to it.
19	I mean, so that's kind of the reason
20	we have that in I guess that would be
21	one of the reasons that this is in
22	place, okay?
23	MR. ROSS: I understand. I'll
24	respect whatever decision you make. My

25	whole thing was I already paid the \$500,
	107
1	and then \$750 was you know, because I
2	know my address haven't changed, but I
3	understand whatever you decide.
4	MR. SINGLETON: If your address
5	I'm still confused. Your address didn't
6	change, but yet you didn't get the
7	information.
8	MR. ROSS: Like I said, I had some
9	personal reasons I wasn't at home, but
10	that's still that's my home. That's
11	where I reside. That's where I live. I
12	just wasn't there at the time.
13	MR. SINGLETON: Who is supposed to
14	be responsible for that?
15	MR. ROSS: No, sir. Like I say, I'm
16	responsible. I take the blame. I
17	respect whatever decision you make. I'm
18	just saying
19	MR. SINGLETON: You just want to pay
20	\$500 and not \$750.
21	MR. ROSS: I'll pay whatever you
22	tell me.
23	MR. SINGLETON: I'm trying to
24	understand your appeal of the 250.
25	MR. ROSS: Well, my appeal is if I
	108
1	can save \$250, I'll come up here in

2 reference to the address. I'd rather 3 pay \$500 than \$250. MR. SINGLETON: I understand that, 4 5 but if that was the address and you were 6 not there --7 MR. ROSS: Yes, sir. 8 MR. SINGLETON: -- then you didn't 9 do what you was supposed to do. 10 MR. ROSS: Okay. 11 MR. SINGLETON: I'm just trying to understand from what you're saying. 12 13 MR. ROSS: I don't want it to seem 14 as I didn't do what I supposed to do 15 because I just neglected it. I just 16 wasn't there, so I never received it. It went to the address. Everything was 17 18 fine, and she's correct in reference to 19 what she's saying. I just didn't get it 20 because I wasn't there. 21 But now that I have it, I mean, you 22 know, I just wanted to let you know that 23 the address hadn't changed. 24 MR. SINGLETON: Okay. 25 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: And it cost you a 109 1 hundred to appeal. 2 MR. ROSS: I didn't pay it. 3

CHAIRMAN MORGAN: You didn't pay

4

that?

- 5 MR. ROSS: No, sir.
- 6 MR. BRADFORD: Sir, I appreciate
- 7 your appearance here today.
- 8 MR. ROSS: Yes, sir.
- 9 MR. BRADFORD: And I'm sympathetic
- to your argument. Even though I do
- 11 agree with what Mr. Stipe said --
- 12 MR. ROSS: I understand.
- 13 MR. BRADFORD: -- I'm going to make
- a motion that we waive the \$250.
- 15 Hopefully Katie won't be mad at me, but
- 16 that's my motion that we --
- 17 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: No, it would be to
- amend the decision.
- 19 MR. BRADFORD: Amend the hearing
- officer's decision to make it 500 total.
- 21 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: The look on
- 22 Katie's face says she doesn't agree with
- it. Anyway, is there a second to the
- 24 motion?
- 25 MR. BRADFORD: There may not be a

- 1 second.
- 2 MS. ROGERS: Yeah, I'll second it.
- 3 I want to make a comment that the cost
- 4 of mailing to you and getting back and
- 5 mailing to you, I hope you can
- 6 appreciate that.
- 7 MR. ROSS: Yes, ma'am, Miss Rogers.

8	I appreciate it.
9	MR. BRADFORD: And make sure that
10	you get now, you may not win this, I
11	don't know, but make sure that you get
12	one address so that this doesn't happen
13	again.
14	MR. ROSS: Yes, sir.
15	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: And the cost to
16	the State of Louisiana for having this
17	hearing for \$250. All right. We have a
18	motion and a second. Is there any
19	objection? [No response.] You're
20	lucky. Okay, that's approved. Thank
21	you.
22	So did we get a response? We got a
23	response on the other case of
24	Mr. Bonnee'. Miss Smith?
25	MS. SMITH: We do not. The office
	111
1	does not send out notices of appeal, you
2	know, the hearing date certified mail.
3	They're sent regular mail; and the
4	notice was sent to the address that was
5	on the hearing officer decision, and
6	that address was used as the decision.
7	MR. STIPE: I would move that the
8	decision of the hearing officer be
9	reversed, and that the denial of the
10	permit application be upheld.

11	MS. SMITH: The reasons for that.
12	There were two reasons.
13	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: The reasons for
14	that, legal reasons?
15	MR. STIPE: Failure to cooperate
16	with the reasons are those
17	articulated by the Chairman in terms of
18	the failure to cooperate.
19	MS. CHAUBERT: Failure to disclose.
20	MS. SMITH: Intentional failure to
21	disclose?
22	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Let me make
23	this the Board would find, if you're
24	in agreement with this, that it was
25	the his intentional act to not
	112
1	disclose the information?
2	MR. STIPE: That's correct.
3	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. Mr. Stipe
4	acknowledges that that's what the motion
5	would be. Is there you wanted to
6	make a substitute? Is there a second to
7	that motion?
8	MS. NOONAN: I'll second.
9	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Miss Noonan
10	seconds that motion. Going once.
11	Any I mean, this was an important
12	matter. Is there any objection to that
13	motion?

14	MS. ROGERS: Yes. I'd like for you
15	to read it again.
16	MS. WIMBERLY: Sure. From the
17	beginning?
18	MS. ROGERS: No, not the whole
19	thing, just your recommendation.
20	MS. WIMBERLY: Oh, that the hearing
21	officer's decision be overturned on the
22	basis that Mr. Bonnee' failed to
23	disclose his criminal record which
24	included arrests for violent behavior.
25	I can list those, if you'd like.
	113
1	MS. ROGERS: No, that's okay. So
2	you're saying your motion well, your
3	recommendation is that the hearing
4	officer's recommendation be overturned?
5	MS. WIMBERLY: Yes.
6	MS. ROGERS: And the motion is that
7	the hearing officer's motion be
8	overturned?
9	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Be overturned.
10	MS. ROGERS: Be overturned? I'm
11	sorry. I misunderstood that.
12	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. So we have
13	a motion.
14	MR. BRADFORD: Is there going to be
15	a vote?
16	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Well, unless

17	there's an objection, there won't be a
18	vote.
19	MS. ROGERS: Ask him to repeat his
20	motion.
21	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: The motion would
22	be to overturn the hearing officer's
23	decision and find that Mr. Bonnee' be
24	found unsuitable because of his failure
25	to disclose to be truthful in the
	114
1	disclosure of his past criminal history
2	on his gaming application.
3	MS. SMITH: Intentional.
4	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: The intentional.
5	MS. ROGERS: And it was seconded,
6	right?
7	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Yes. Is there any
8	objection?
9	MR. SINGLETON: I have a question.
10	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: All right. We
11	have a question.
12	MR. SINGLETON: Does he have a right
13	to appeal again or come back? From what
14	I'm understanding, because he didn't
15	submit something at the beginning, they
16	recommended denial. That's what this
17	comes down to.
18	MS. WIMBERLY: On his original
19	application, he failed to check the box

20	that said he had been arrested and
21	issued citations. We had a hearing, and
22	he explained to Hearing Officer Reynolds
23	why he had not done that. And the
24	Division chose to appeal Hearing Officer
25	Reynolds' decision, and that's what
	115
1	brought us here today. I'm not exactly
2	sure what avenues he would be able to
3	pursue after today.
4	I believe Miss Smith mentioned a
5	rehearing.
6	MS. SMITH: Mr. Singleton, the
7	Division denied the permit application
8	as they can do for non-key. That was
9	appealed. After this body rules, it is
10	subject to Mr. Bonnee' could request a
11	rehearing, if he does that within ten
12	days and, you know, presents grounds for
13	a rehearing, or he can request a
14	judicial review in the 19th. So this is
15	not the end of the road if Mr. Bonnee'
16	chooses to pursue a review.
17	So he can request either a rehearing
18	from this body, or he could take a
19	judicial review Petition for Judicial
20	Review in the 19th.
21	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. So we have
22	a motion and a second. Is there

- objection to the motion?
- 24 MR. SINGLETON: And the motion is
- 25 to?

- 1 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: To overturn the
- 2 hearing officer's decision and to deny
- 3 the application.
- 4 MR. SINGLETON: I guess I'm going to
- 5 object.
- 6 MR. BRADFORD: Objection.
- 7 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Okay. Objection
- 8 by Mr. Singleton, so we'll need a roll
- 9 call vote.
- 10 THE CLERK: Miss Rogers?
- 11 MS. ROGERS: Yes.
- 12 THE CLERK: Mr. Bradford?
- 13 MR. BRADFORD: No.
- 14 THE CLERK: Mr. Stipe?
- 15 MR. STIPE: Yes.
- 16 THE CLERK: Mr. Singleton?
- 17 MR. SINGLETON: No.
- 18 THE CLERK: Miss Noonan?
- 19 MS. NOONAN: Yes.
- 20 THE CLERK: Chairman Morgan?
- 21 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Yes. The motion
- 22 carries. Okay.
- 23 MS. WIMBERLY: Thank you.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Thank you for your
- 25 hard work. All right. We would need

1	to, members, move into Executive
2	Session. I need a motion to move into
3	Executive Session to discuss the issues
4	that are delineated on the agenda.
5	MR. STIPE: I'll move.
6	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Moved by
7	Mr. Stipe, seconded by
8	MR. BRADFORD: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Mr. Bradford.
10	We'll be in Executive Session. I would
11	ask that everyone leave the room if
12	you're not with the Attorney General's
13	Office and particularly that pertains to
14	their supervision and related to this
15	case, the cases that we'll be
16	discussing.
17	(Whereby the meeting went into Executive
18	Session.)
19	* * * *
20	(Whereby the meeting was resumed in open
21	session.)
22	XI. ADJOURNMENT
23	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: We're out of
24	Executive Session. Is there any other
25	business, any board members? A motion
	118
1	to adjourn
2	MR. SINGLETON: I

3	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: by
4	Mr. Singleton. Seconded by
5	MS. NOONAN: I'll second.
6	CHAIRMAN MORGAN: Miss Noonan.
7	The two that had to drive the furthest.
8	Is there any objection? The two that
9	had to drive the furthest. Okay. We're
10	adjourned. Thank you.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	119
1	REPORTER'S PAGE
2	
3	I, SHELLEY PAROLA, Certified Shorthand
4	Reporter, in and for the State of Louisiana, the
5	officer before whom this sworn testimony was

6	taken, do hereby state:
7	That due to the spontaneous discourse of this
8	proceeding, where necessary, dashes () have been
9	used to indicate pauses, changes in thought,
10	and/or talkovers; that same is the proper method
11	for a Court Reporter's transcription of a
12	proceeding, and that dashes () do not indicate
13	that words or phrases have been left out of this
14	transcript;
15	That any words and/or names which could not
16	be verified through reference materials have been
17	denoted with the word "(phonetic)."
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	SHELLEY PAROLA
	Certified Court Reporter #96001
25	Registered Professional Reporter
	120
1	STATE OF LOUISIANA
2	PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE
3	I, Shelley G. Parola, Certified Court
4	Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter, do
5	hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and
6	correct transcript of the proceedings given under
7	oath in the preceding matter on September 15,

8	2011, as taken by me in Stenographic machine
9	shorthand, complemented with magnetic tape
10	recording, and thereafter reduced to transcript,
11	to the best of my ability and understanding, using
12	Computer-Aided Transcription.
13	I further certify that I am not an
14	attorney or counsel for any of the parties, that I
15	am neither related to nor employed by any attorney
16	or counsel connected with this action, and that I
17	have no financial interest in the outcome of this
18	action.
19	Baton Rouge, Louisiana, this 14th day of
20	October, 2011.
21	
22	
23	SHELLEY G. PAROLA, CCR, RPR
	CERTIFICATE NO. 96001